1. Welcome: C. Webster
-C. Webster - Encouraged senators to invite their department-members to senate meetings. Noted that IAS chair Shelley Broderick is responsible for the coffee and cake.

2. Senate Roll Call: G. Musgrove

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Present / Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Alexander, Robin</td>
<td>UDC Law School</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Behera, Pradeep</td>
<td>Civil &amp; Mechanical Engineering Department</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brown, Brenda</td>
<td>UDC-CC 1: Mathematics</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chen, Li</td>
<td>Computer Science &amp; IT Department</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cousin, Carolyn</td>
<td>Department of Biological &amp; Environmental Science Department</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ezeani, Eboh</td>
<td>Dept of Accounting, Finance and Economics</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Fleming, Jeffery</td>
<td>Mathematics Department</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Garret, Willie Faye</td>
<td>Languages and Communications Disorders</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Hanff, William</td>
<td>Dept. of Mass Media, Visual &amp; Performing Arts</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Harris, B. Michelle</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Johnson, Wilmer</td>
<td>Education Department</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Johnson, Eugene</td>
<td>Psychology and Counseling</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Jones, Edward</td>
<td>Learning Resources Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Khatri, Daryao – Vice Chair</td>
<td>Chemistry &amp; Physics Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Madkins, Steven</td>
<td>UDC-CC 2: Graphic Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Mahmoud, Wagdy</td>
<td>Dept. of Electrical &amp; Computer Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Musgrove, G. Derek - Secretary</td>
<td>Dept. Urban Affairs, Social Sciences &amp; Social Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Myers, Patricia</td>
<td>UDC-CC 3: Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Odumosu, Madiana</td>
<td>UDC-CC 4: English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Pearson, Clarence</td>
<td>Architecture Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Tannen, Michael</td>
<td>Dept. of Management, Hospitality &amp; Graduate Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Taylor, Lori</td>
<td>UDC-CC 5: Nursing-Respiratory Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Turpin, Cherie Ann</td>
<td>English Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Vermillion, Les</td>
<td>Dept. of Marketing, Legal Studies &amp; Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Webster, Connie-Chair</td>
<td>Nursing Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>not yet filled</td>
<td>Adjunct Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>not yet filled</td>
<td>President of the Student Government Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>not yet filled</td>
<td>President of the Graduate Student Government Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>no yet filled</td>
<td>CCDC Student</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Review and Approval of Minutes from April 26, 2011 and September 13, 2011
Meetings
Sept 13 Minutes
-Khatri – correction page 1: Asked that the line “(correction: the IAS approved the changes)” be deleted because it is misleading.
-Pearson – correction page 2: Asked that the title of the Dept. of Architecture and Urban Design be corrected
-A motion to adopt is made by G. Musgrove, seconded, and passed by a voice vote.

April 26 minutes
- Brown, correction page one: asked that the copy of the proposed UDC-CC charter sent to the IAS be clearly identified as a draft.
-A motion to adopt is moved by Garret, seconded by Hanff, and passed by voice vote.

5. Senate Chair’s Committee Recommendations
Webster, explained the process by which she vetted and selected chairs: she requested interest, sent questions to those who expressed interest, held interviews, and then selected the people she wished to recommend to the senate to be chairs. She recommends the following people.
2. Admissions and Retention: B. Harris
3. APPSC: W. Garrett
4. UDC-CC: (Webster has not spoken to person she has identified so she will withhold the name until she has spoken to that person)

Discussion of chairs recommendations
Khatri – Wants the committees to elect the chairs
Musgrove- the senate, when passing the bylaws intended to give the power to appoint chairs to the chair, and stated as much in the bylaws.
W. Johnson – why didn’t you give the power to the committees to elect their chairs?
Webster – I want the power to select chairs and I have created a process that will allow me to identify the people who are best suited to serve as chair.
Brown – FS is a deliberative body. It should seek input. Believes the senate should hear from the people who are going to be appointed to be chairs.
Chen – [off topic] APPSC is too big. Can we split the committee into one for standards and one for policies? Also, I believe that the selection of the committee chairs should be transparent.
Hanff – [off topic] ASPPC, is big because it is the busiest committee. Supports a discussion about the chair candidates.
Harris – ???????
E. Johnson – to Webster: explain your method of selecting chairs.
Webster – my role is rooted in my duties as described in the bylaws. I was looking for people who would be responsive to the FS chair. I wanted the committees to be stable – with the proposed realignments there are some people who may not be senators (so she shied away from selecting them as chairs). The people I spoke with had to be able to identify specific things that they wanted to work on so that they could hit the ground running.
Brown – I though chairs were members of the executive committee?
Musgrove – they are
Brown – I heard chairs must be members of the senate
Webster – they are
Pearson – move to approve slate of chairs; seconded by Musgrove
Khatri - asked for discussion
W. Johnson – Selections suggest that the Webster does not trust certain people on the senate. [off topic] We need another room to sit in.
Khatri – wants to make a substitute motion to suspend senate rules.
Turpin – asks a question about her personal committee assignments
Vermillion – supports idea of openness in committee chair selection. Believes, however, that the charter and bylaws committee should take up the issue of the chair’s power to select chairs later this semester. Opposes Khatri’s motion.
Webster called the question: in favor of suspending bylaws?
Aye: 8
No: 10
Abstentions: 0
Khatri motion is defeated.

Webster calls the question of the Pearson motion: Webster selected slate be approved?
Aye: 10
No: 6
Abstentions: 3
Pearson motion is passed and Webster slate of chairs is confirmed.

Webster - would like to meet with named committee chairs at the close of the meeting. She will speak with her selection for the UDC-CC committee in the intervening weeks.

6. Old Business

Academic Standards –BA French and BA Spanish
Webster - discussion on this issue
Garrett - ASPPC was not able to finish debate on this issue and send a report to the senate.
Baxter – Correction to Garrett. The committee did report the issue out in the spring. She has the report.
Webster – We must table the issue until the report from the IAS, ASPPC can be forwarded to the entire senate.
Khatri – believes that the report is not official because it is not signed.
Garrett – I will find the report.

Graduate Council Report – Masters of Science in Clinical Psychology
Hartline (chair Graduate council)– GC recommendation is to terminate the clinical psych program. Request to place the program in *abeyance* was submitted to GC by department. Teach-out has already begun. Program has been dormant for three years. Doctorate is
needed to practice in DC and the department does not have the resources to create a doctorate. Very few courses will be eliminated by this move. GC votes 11 to 12 for termination.

Turpin – is it possible to get this program reinstated?
Pearson – asked the same thing in committee. He was convinced that it was not possible so he voted for termination.

Brown – heard some contradictory statements. It is up and coming but we are criticizing it because it has few students. A masters program feeds into a Ph.D. program so why isn’t it a good program?

E. Johnson (Chair of Department when report was sent to GC) – put the program I abeyance. Do not terminate it.

Hartline – GC believed that termination not abeyance was the better course.

Turpin – since the program is going to be terminated and a doctoral program will be created in its stead, what is the timeline for resources; what is the timeline for building a doctoral program?

Hartline – the department can answer better than I. The timeline for building a program is long as it requires, gaining grants, building a research portfolio and growing the faculty. The entire process would have to be coordinated with middle states.

Eboh – I understand that there is demand for this program. Wouldn’t a masters become a foundation for movement of students to a Ph.D. program?

Hartline – GC discussed the issue for about 1 and ½ hours. 11 of 12 members agreed that termination was the better idea if a Ph.D. program was going to be built in the current program’s stead.

Musgrove – can we please defer to the departments and the committee’s of jurisdiction in these matters?

Johnson – a majority of the program did not vote for termination.

Webster – we will table the discussion until the next meeting so that all senators can read the report before they vote.

Baxter – the program review revealed that UDC has too many programs for too few students. These are important choices and we can not have all of the programs we once had. There is no such category as “in abeyance.” You can choose to “discontinue” a
program for a short or long period of time but you cannot hold one in abeyance.

Hartline – rhetorical question: which would you prefer: rejuvenate a six year old masters program into a Ph.D. program or create a new Ph.D. program? She argues that creating a new program would be a better choice.

Benson Cook (current Chair of Psychology) – I know a document was put forward and I ask that the senate review the document produced by the department. That document called for holding the program in abeyance.

Hartline: this document was submitted to the GC and was in the GC report to the IAS. The GC did not endorse abeyance but rather suggested termination.

Turpin – I would like to see the document. Supports Webster’s call to table until next meeting.

Khatri – motion to table, second by E. Johnson.

Mahmoud – the program has no money or faculty. We should not spend any more time on this. Terminate the program.

Webster – called the question on tabling the issue (Khatri motion)

Aye: 14
No: 4
Abstentions: 1

Motion carries and the discussion is tabled until the next senate meeting.

Hartline: [off topic] the graduate school fair will take place this Thursday in the windows lounge

- Communications Taskforce (chaired by trustee Crider)

Baxter - The Working group (it is not a task force) created by the president to address the issue of communication between the UDC-CC and UDC has been active. The reason for the group was that there was confusion between the two bodies about polices and procedures and we needed to gain clarity.

UDC-CC is a college of the university just like CAS or engineering unless and until it gains independent status. It is, however, different as regards enrollment, tuition, and curriculum (it has all of the developmental classes for instance). The coming report will
clarify all of these issues. UDC-CC has an “academic senate.”

Musgrove – there should not be, indeed can not be based on the FS charter, a CC senate.

Turpin – [to Baxter] what do you want us to do? What are your recommendations?

Baxter – to help us bring down the rancor between the two groups.

Brown – an IAS-CC was created at the same time as the IAS (UDC)

Musgrove – correction the CC did not exist when the IAS was established

Brown – The CC has been working on creating a CC for several years. The CC has a right of “free speech”!

Baxter – asks that the FS think of what we can do to help the CC do better.

Vermillion – is the communication taskforce also working on gen ed?

Baxter – yes, it is. We do have to conform the gen edu offered at cc to that offered at the flagship.

Musgrove – motion to adjourn; Hanff second. Passed by voice vote.

Webster - adjourned