## FACULTY SENATE
### UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
### Monthly Meeting: January 13, 2015
### MINUTES

### ATTENDANCE SHEET FOR SENATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENATORS</th>
<th>In attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genell Anderson</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Anglim</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leroy Barton, Jr.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia R Benatti</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brittain</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Brown, Vice Chair</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anika S Burtin</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Elhelu</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva Green</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Goward</td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William A Hanff Jr.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Harvey, Secretary</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew M Howard</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne M Jean-Baptiste</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinclair Jeter</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmer Johnson</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Jowers</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlene King-Berry, Chair</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Klein</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lily Liang/replacement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven R Madkins</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagdy H Mahmoud</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Maida</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vonda Rogers</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosie A Sneed</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Vermillion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philicia Wilson-Jefferson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmet Zeytinci</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodore Willite</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Cossow</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FACULTY SENATE DECEMBER MEETING (01/13/2015) MINUTES

(1) The January meeting of the Faculty Senate for the Academic Year 2014-15 was held on January 13, 2015 at 2:00 PM, Building 44, Room A-03. Dr. Arlene King-Berry called the meeting to order around 2:10 PM.

(2) Prof. Barbara Harvey, the secretary of the Senate, took the roll call and announced the presence of a quorum.

(3) Dr. King-Berry called for the approval of the minutes from the December 09, 2014 meeting. With only one correction, the Faculty Senate approved the minutes by a voice vote.

(4) At this point, Dr. King-Berry reported that,
   a. She wanted to complete the Senate business by 3:30 PM to allow the Senate to discuss the Characteristics of a president.
   b. She announced that the regular Board meeting is scheduled on 1/27/2015, and encouraged senators to attend the Board’s meeting.
   c. She announced that General Schwartz, chair of the Academic and Student Affairs Committee (ASAC) indicated that he would like to have the witness testimonies two weeks in advance. In this regard, Dr. King-Berry announced the agenda for this committee was announced only two days ago. There appears that the expectations of the board may be unreal when it comes to receiving written testimonies from the witnesses two weeks in advance of the meeting.
   d. She also announced that she still has not received any communication from the Board regarding the Faculty Senate recommended composition of the Presidential search committee even after a second reminder
   e. She announced that the ASPPC has to return some of the proposals to the originating units and/or to the UDC-CC committee. Additionally, she announced that the Faculty Senate newsletter should be completed soon.

(5) Dr. Philicia Jefferson, chair of the Graduate Council, presented the report from the Graduate Council. The report presented today is from the November 2014 meeting of the Graduate Council. She identified a number of concerns including the need of a Graduate Dean that the administration needs to address.
Towards the end of the report, she made a motion that the Masters Program in Mechanical Engineering as presented to the council and the Faculty Senate be approved.

The motion was seconded by Dr. Wagdy Mahmoud.

During discussion of the motion, Dr. Khatri stated that he supports the motion. He further stated that although the Graduate Council sent a copy of the proposal, the Graduate Council did not provide a report to the Senators ahead of the Senate meeting as required by the Bylaws of the Faculty Senate. Somehow, this comment did not register with the Senators, and a vote was taken.

The motion passed with 2-abstentions.

(6) Dr. William Hanff, Chair of the Research and Development Committee, introduced several documents (R & D Proposal, announcement of incentive grants, assessment rubric, Nexus Grant Review), including the following resolutions:

a. BE IT RESOLVED that two different rubrics for evaluation, different committees to evaluate the different proposals.

b. BE IT RESOLVED that the grant application allow faculty to apply for the UDC Seed Grant Program.

c. BE IT RESOLVED require both the UDC Seed Grant Program and the Curriculum Development & Active learning Incentive Grant Program recipients to provide a final written report that would state what external grants they applied for, what refereed journals they submitted to, or what new courses they have developed (and sent forward into the New Course Approval procedure).

d. BE IT RESOLVED that awarded funds be dispersed so that awarded faculty would have access to summer funding when they would have the time to devote to the project.

e. BE IT RESOLVED that the University create or (or identify an existing) program or symposium that would enable faculty to share the results of their funded (internal and external) research to the UDC community.

After some discussions and amendments regarding the visiting professors and clinical faculty, the proposed language in the second resolution was modified; 6(b) as reported in these minutes was the final agreed-upon and amended language.
It was also suggested that we change the award amounts from $2,500 to $3,000; from $5,000 to $7,500; and the total amount from $10,000 to $15,000. In addition, suggestions were made to change the language in other R & D reports to be consistent with today’s Senate actions.

The motion passed by voice vote (22-Yes; no abstention)

Dr. Zeytinci, chair of the Charter and Bylaws committee (CBC), presented the report from the CBC, and made the following motion:

MOTION

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the senate authorize replacement of “each academic department or division” by “each current academic discipline/program1”. The new language will be as follows:

One full-time faculty representative from each current academic discipline/program of the University of the District of Columbia, one faculty representative from the David A. Clarke School of Law, one faculty representative from the Learning Resources Division, and one full-time faculty representative from each of the academic units of the Community College of the District of Columbia, elected at-large by their peers.

1List of Disciplines/Programs

1. Accounting
2. Education
3. Architecture
4. Biology
5. Business Law
6. Business Management
7. Chemistry
8. Civil Engineering
9. Computer Science
10. Counseling
11. Criminology
12. Economics
13. Electrical Engineering
14. English
15. Environmental Science
16. Food Science/Nutrition
17. Foreign Languages
18. Graphics
19. History
20. Management Information System
21. Marketing
22. Mass Media
23. Mathematics
24. Mechanical Engineering
25. Music
26. Nursing
27. Philosophy
28. Physics
29. Public Health and Health Education
30. Psychology
31. Public management
32. Sociology
33. Speech

The motion was properly seconded by Dr. Johnson, and it passed with voice vote (17-yes) after an extended discussion with minor changes in the language; the changes are inserted in the motion.

Dr. Wilmer Johnson, chair, ASPPC, introduced motion#1, and Professor Brenda Brown seconded the motion. Specifically, the motion was:

**MOTION # ASPPC-1**

*THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED*, that the Faculty Senate approve the changes as requested by the Department of English and World Languages provided the current form for “new programs, program changes, and program suspensions/ deletions” (approved on 2/12/2012 by the Faculty Senate) is used and properly signed.

The motion was seconded by Dr. William Hanff. There was no discussion, and the motion passed with 20-Yes votes.

**MOTION # ASPPC-2**

*THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED*, that the Faculty Senate approve the new course, Peer Tutoring (ENGL-470, as requested by the Department of English and World Languages provided the current form for “new programs, program changes, and program suspensions/ deletions” (approved on 2/12/2012 by the Faculty Senate) is used and properly signed.
The motion was seconded by Dr. Patricia Maida.

With a minor change, the motion passed with 21-Yes votes.

**REPORT # ASPPC-3**

JUST A REPORT (does not require Faculty Senate action)

Regarding the new program proposals from Digital Media and the Arts, ASPPC appointed a subcommittee of three members (Drs. Hanff, Khatri, and Maida) to study issues related to these submissions, and report back to ASPPC at the earliest possible, but no later than the end of the month.

(9) Professor Leroy Barton, chair, Admission and Retention Committee, reported that the committee met and had productive meetings with University officials of Admission and Retention and Enrollment Management. He also stated that the committee has been very active and will continue to meet with university officials to resolve any outstanding issues related to student admission and retention. The next committee meeting is scheduled on January 15, 2015.

(10) Around 3:25 PM, Dr. King-Berry requested all non-faculty personnel to leave to facilitate a free discussion regarding the characteristics of a new president from faculty perspective. At this time, Dr. King-Berry requested Dr. William Hanff to take Senate minutes.

There were two representatives from the Association of Governing Boards (AGB) to listen to faculty discussion. An informal vote was taken as to the requirement of a terminal degree (Ph.D.) for the new president. The body was unanimous in stating that the new president should have a terminal degree (Ph.D.) in the field as a requirement. According to the discussion, the faculty was united that the terminal degree must be requirement and any mention of "preferred rather than required" needs to be changed in the official advertisement.

(11) The senate adjourned around 4:05 PM.