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11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS
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14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
Request for Proposals No. GF-2013-R-0101 for Design Build Services for Architectural Program Consolidation, Building 32, Level 2 - Phase II at the University of the District of Columbia Van Ness Campus, is hereby modified as follows:

1. Questions and Answers (Attachment A).
2. Page 16 is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the attached page 16 (Attachment B).
3. Page 48 is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the attached page 48 (Attachment C).
4. Page 60 is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the attached page 60 (Attachment D).
5. Attachment J.2.5 Disclosure Statement is hereby deleted and replaced with the attached Disclaimer Form (Attachment E).
6. The drawings referenced in Section C.7, pages 11 and 12 (Attachment F).
7. The proposal submission date is hereby changed from Tuesday, May 14, 2013, to Friday, May 17, 2013, 2:00 p.m.
8. All other Terms and Conditions remain unchanged.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 5A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect.
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ATTACHMENT A TO AMENDMENT NO. 2 OF RFP NO. GF-2013-R-0101

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
GF-2013-R-0101
ARCHITECTURAL PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION, PHASE II LEVEL 2
ATTACHMENT A (QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS)
TO AMENDMENT 002

What is the scope and extent of furniture procurement, selection and relocation required in each of the following areas?

1. Architectural studios, jury room, IT lab, gallery/lobby

   **Answer:** The contractor is to provide 27 systems type workstations in the two (2) Architectural studios. Fifteen (15) in the 4th year student studio and twelve (12) in the graduate studio. Each workstation is to include durable work surfaces, two under counter full depth storage units and task lighting. Each workstation is to have electrical and data outlets for desktop computer and task power receptacles for student use.

2. Architectural Research Institute (ARI) (Bldg. 32, Suite C10)

   **Answer:** The contractor is responsible for relocation of existing equipment and to provide additional power and data at equipment locations if needed. There is no new equipment or furniture purchase requirement for the ARI relocation. The suite currently contains systems furniture to be relocated to storage. See question #3.

3. Gerontology suite (Bldg. 39, Level 1) Institute of Gerontology (IOG)

   **Answer:** The contractor is responsible for survey of existing systems furniture in the current 32/C-10 IOG suite and the systems furniture stored in building 52 on the Van Ness Campus; the contractor is responsible for providing design/build efforts as needed for relocation and final installation of the surveyed systems furniture for use by IOG. The systems furniture design shall accommodate twelve (12) to fifteen (15) workstations and include power and data cabling and termination devices.

4. Architectural Offices (Bldg. 32, Level 1)

   **Answer:** There is no new equipment or furniture purchase requirement for the Architecture Administration Suite building 32 Level 1.

5. Architectural Learning Resource and Storage Room (Bldg. 32, Room 105)

   **Answer:** The extent of the scope for building 32 rooms 105 is as follows; new interior finishes to include walls, floor, and new ceiling grid, tile and lighting fixture upgrade to the current university standard.

6. SEAS labs (Bldg. 42, Room 111)

   **Answer:** There is no new furniture purchase requirement for the SEAS Lab in building 42-111. The contractor is responsible for relocation of power and data to support the existing computer workstations. The existing workstations are being relocated within the suite to accommodate the division of the space into separate spaces. The contractor is responsible for design and installation of
an air filtration system such as HEPA to create a clean room environment for the photolithography lab.

7. Engineering space conversion (32C-05 and 32C-05A)

**Answer:** There is no new equipment or furniture purchase requirement for 32-C05 C05A space conversion. The extent of the scope is to demolish C-05A creating a single room and to upgrade the existing finishes to include walls, floor, and new ceiling grid, tile and lighting fixtures to the current university standard.

8. Controls Lab (43-A10)

**Answer:** The work in A-10 has been deleted.

9. Is an anti-static dissipative flooring and sufficient ground system required in Bldg. 42, Room 111 for the SEAS labs?

**Answer:** No

10. Can you please clarify the requirement to survey, design and coordinate with appropriate officials for a new sprinkler system and fire control room for Bldg. 32, Level C?

**Answer:** This phase of the Architecture Studio Renovations the contractor is to provide design and construction as needed to install an approved sprinkler system through the entire second floor building 32 suite. The existing supply for building 46E (Auditorium) sprinkler shall be surveyed for use in supplying the required water and housing the equipment. The system including any required booster pump(s) shall be sized in a manner that will allow future expansion of the system to provide sprinkler coverage for buildings 32/42, 43, 46E & 46W.

11. If a new sprinkler system and fire pump are necessary, we do not feel that 21 calendar days for design and coordination with WASA and DCFD will be sufficient.

**Answer:** The University expects the Design/Build team to develop and employ a strategy that allows beneficial occupancy by August 19, 2013. If required the sprinkler system and any post beneficial occupancy construction shall be 100% completed no later than September 30, 2013.

12. Can you please confirm if the final submission (Permit Set) is to include one (1) full-size Mylar set of drawings?

**Answer:** No. A Mylar set is not required.

13. Since this a Design-Build RFP, can we submit a detailed subcontracting plan as outlined in Section-K.4 within 10 days of the CO’s request?

**Answer:** Section K-4 of solicitation No. GF-2013-R-0101 does not allow for the submission of a detailed subcontracting plan within 10 days of the CO’s request. The solicitation requires that a Notarized Subcontracting Plan be submitted with the offerors proposal.
14. In Amendment No. 1, Attachment A, Section C.7, Fire Suppression System, it mentions to design a booster pump to accommodate additional sprinkler capacity for Buildings 32/42, 43, 46F & 46W. Can you please provide us the square foot of the floor areas from these buildings that are intended to be covered.

**Answer:** The University is requesting that the booster pump be sized to accommodate future expansion if feasible to the following buildings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Buildings</th>
<th>GSF</th>
<th>No. of Floors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building 32 (Architecture/Math)</td>
<td>62,890</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 42 (Engineering)</td>
<td>91,087</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 43 (Power Plant)</td>
<td>45,100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 46 East and West</td>
<td>74,583</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Auditorium)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART I

SECTION F - DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

F.1  **CONTRACT TYPE:**

This is a Design Build with a Guaranteed Maximum Price contract. Offerors will be required to offer a total Design Fee, Design-Builder Fee, General Conditions Budget and Construction Cost. Offerors should submit with their proposal and Offer Letter in substantially the form of Attachment J.2.1.

F.2.  **TERM OF CONTRACT:**

_The work shall be completed for beneficial occupancy not later than August 19, 2013 and 100% completion is required not later than September 30, 2013._
L.4.3 Technical Proposal

L.4.3.1 Relevant Experience and Capabilities

Similarity of Projects – List up to five (5) projects that the General Contractor or Joint Venture Team has worked on in the last 5 years. Each qualified proposal shall include examples of comparable completed projects. For the purposes of this requirement, projects shall be considered similar in scope if the project is a school, university, office building or multi-purpose center that has achieved LEED certification or higher. Project submitted must be the similar in square footage of at least 20,000 sq ft or greater, with dollar value $1.5 Million or greater. For the purpose of this requirement, “similar projects” does not include churches, manufacturing plants, storage facilities or similar facilities. The Offeror shall provide the following information for each project:

L.4.3.1.1 Name and location of the similar project;
L.4.3.1.2 Contact person name and telephone number;
L.4.3.1.3 Description of the work performed by the Offeror; including comparisons to the work of this solicitation and constraints on performance of the work;
L.4.3.1.4 Time period of the construction;
L.4.3.1.5 Completed size in SF;
L.4.3.1.6 Award and final construction cost (provide actual figures for completed projects). Address items such as timeliness of completion of project and cost control; and whether the project was delivered on-time and on-budget.
L.4.3.1.7 Previously completed projects should include renovations within an occupied building.

L.4.3.2 Project Delivery Schedule

Each Offeror should prepare a delivery schedule that shows how the Offeror intends to complete all tasks on the project in a timely manner and meet the August 19, 2013, substantial completion/occupancy timeframe.

Schedule - The Offeror shall provide a CPM schedule with durations and logic, representative of the identified project challenges. This information should include; at a minimum, the proposed start of site preparation activities; procurement of all major trades, manufacturing, delivery and erection of structural elements; anticipated building enclosure; delivery and installation of major mechanical elements; installation of interior finish materials; proposed date of substantial completion project closeout. The plan should demonstrate that the Offeror understands the project and has a workable plan and methodology to deliver the project with the stated timeframe. The plan and schedule should be satisfactorily complete, practical, comprehensive and achievable.
ATTACHMENT D TO AMENDMENT NO. 1 OF RFP NO. GF-2013-R-0101

Sub-factor 1 – Similar projects in the last five years (20 points)

Sub-factor 2 – Achieved at minimum LEED Silver certification (10 points)

Sub-factor 3 – Past Performance Evaluations/Reference (1) for each of the submitted Projects (5 points)

Factor 2 - Project Delivery Schedule (25 points)

Each offeror should prepare a delivery schedule that shows how the Offeror intends to complete all project tasks in a timely manner and meet the August 19, 2013, completion/occupancy timeframe. The schedule should be prepared using a Critical Path Method (CPM) and should show key logic ties and activity durations. The schedule should demonstrate that the Offeror understands the project and has a workable method to deliver the project within the stated timeframe. The schedule should be reasonable and how the offeror will satisfactorily complete the project, practicable, comprehensive and achievable. The schedule should also show sufficient level of detail so as to demonstrate that the Offeror is familiar with the key issues related to the Project. This element of the evaluation is worth twenty five (25) points.

Sub-factor 1 - Comprehensiveness and Practicality of Schedule/Milestones to Achieve Delivery Timeframe (15 points)

Sub-factor 2 – Project Understanding & Construction Task Identification & Description (10 points)

Factor 3- Key Personnel (20 points)

The University desires that personnel be assigned to these projects that have experience in completing construction projects on-time and on-budget. The availability and experience of the key individuals assigned to this project will be evaluated as part of this element. This element of the evaluation will be worth up to twenty (20) points.

Sub-factor 1 - Key Personnel Experience (10 points)

Sub-factor 2 – Key Personnel’s Availability Matrix for Project (5 points)

Sub-factor 3 - Past performance reference letters (2) for each key personnel (5 points)

M.3.1 Factor 4- Volume 2 - Price Proposal Evaluation (20 points)

The price proposal evaluation will be objective. The Offeror with the lowest price will receive the maximum price points. All other proposals will receive a proportionately lower total score. The following formula will be used to determine each Offeror's evaluated price score:
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DISCLAIMER FORM
CAPITAL PROCUREMENT DIVISION

ATTACHMENT E TO AMENDMENT NO. 002 OF RFP GF-2013-R-0101

DISCLAIMER

This is to confirm that ____________________________ has no legal claim(s) against the District of Columbia. If we in fact have pending claims against the District of Columbia, the claim no. and status is listed below.

Printed Name, Title & Signature

Date
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DRAWINGS REFERENCED IN SECTION C.7, PAGES 11 AND 12
1) Phase I renovated area is to be included in the fire sprinkler system as part of Phase II.
2) All above ceiling work within the phase I studios is to be completed after hours and weekends.
3) Studics finishes are to be fully protected from damage during sprinkler installation work.
4) Studios and Model shop are to be thoroughly cleaned for use daily.
5) Contractor is responsible for returning ceiling grid and tiles to new condition upon completion of the sprinkler work.
6) Remove wall blanks and doors at 5 location along corridor and replace with storefront glass door and entry system.
7) Reuse existing Salto security locks.
Remove wall in-fill blanks and temporary doors at these five locations and replace with storefront glass system as shown. Salvage and reuse existing Salto entry locks.