

**Minutes
Faculty Senate, April 10, 2012**

I. Welcome/ Call to order

Called to order 2:10pm by Webster

II. Attendance

Department	Senator	Attendance
1. UDC-CC, 1	Brenda Brown	y
2. UDC-CC, 2	Madkins, Steven	n
3. UDC-CC, 3	Patricia Myers	y
4. UDC-CC, 4	Madiana Odumosa	y
5. UDC-CC, 5	Lori Taylor	y
6. Computer Science	Daryao Khatri	y
7. Environmental Science	Thomas Kakovitch	y
8. Nutrition and Food Science	B. Michelle Harris	y
9. Architecture and Urban Design	Clarence Pearson	y
10. Public Administration	Unfilled pending internal review by the College of Business	n/a
11. David A. Clark School of Law	Robin Alexander	y
12. Accounting / Finance	Eboh Ezeani	excused
13. Biology and Chemistry	Carolyn Cousin	y
14. Management / Marketing	Les Vermillion	y
15. Communications	Willie Faye Garrett	y

16. English	Cherie Ann Turpin	y
17. Education	Arlene King-Berry	n
18. Center for Urban Education	No full time faculty as of 1/24/12	n/a
19. Criminal Justice, Sociology and Social Work	Margaret Moore	excused
20. Political Science, History and Global Studies	G. Derek Musgrove	y
21. Nursing	Connie Webster	y
22. Learning Resources Division	Ed Jones	y
23. Electrical and Computer Engineering	Wagdy Mahmoud	y
24. Psychology and Counseling	Eugene Johnson	y
25. Math and Applied Statistics	Thomas Bullock	n
26. Visual and Performing Arts	Lennie Smith	y
27. Civil and Mechanical Engineering	Pradeep Behera	y
28. Adjunct Faculty	None selected by Council of Deans as of 1/24/12	n/a
29. President of the SGA	Tolu Onasanya	n
30. President of the GSGA	None elected by students as of 1/24/12	n/a
31. UDC-CC Student Representative, elected at large	None elected by students as of 1/24/12	n/a

18 members are present. We have a quorum

III. Review of Minutes (March 13 Meeting)

Webster, lists several corrections to the body and gives written copy of changes to secretary

Motion to approve with corrections and additions, Turpin. Second, Khatri.
Approved unanimously by voice vote.

IV. Chair Announcements

a. Impending Senate elections in selected departments:

- i. UDC-CC 4, UDC-CC 5, Computer Science, English, Political Science History and Global Studies, Environmental Science, Architecture and Urban Design, Law School, Biology and Chemistry, Management / Marketing, CUE, Criminal Justice Sociology and Social Work, LRD, Visual and Performing Arts**

b. Follow-up from Provost (re: Program re-organization)

-Webster - Spoke to the Provost over the break. He plans to move forward with the reorganization but wants input from the Senate asap. Provost hopes to meet with ASPPC before the end of the month.

-Meeting is tentatively scheduled for April 18 at 1:00. Location TBD.

-Chairs asks that ASPPC create a written document for the Provost in preparation for this meeting.

c. May meeting?

-We will be having a May meeting – on May 8 at 2:00pm in the regular room. Before that meeting I need each of the chairs to prepare an exit memo that summarizes your work over the past year and lists any outstanding issues.

d. Training Session

-Chair will make an announcement for this training (for possible members of the EC) soon. Anyone can attend.

e. Honorary degrees nominees

-Two new nominees have been proposed: Lisa Jackson and Hilda Solis, both of the Obama Administration.

f. Letters from the Senate to the outside offices

-Chairs asks that we take a cooperative tone in these letters as these letters are requests not subpoenas and we need other campus groups to want to cooperate with us. They are not required to do so.

e. Board meeting

-Wednesday April 11 – Board will be discussing a change to the Charter. We are not sure what specific change they plan to discuss. Senators are encouraged to attend.

V. Committee Reports

a. ASPPC

i. New CAUSES courses proposal

Garrett: argues that courses are very well organized and prepared. The committee approves of them and requests that the Senate approve the report

Motion to approve by Garrett
Second by King Berry

Discussion

Kakovich: page 6 notes that CAUSES has four full time faculty members. That should be corrected to list three faculty members, including the dean of the college. Also, on pg. 3, second paragraph, third line. Courses should be identified as “electives”

Call the question

Approved unanimously by voice vote.

ii. Program changes guidelines, corrected report

We have corrected the report [secretary’s note: an incorrect version of the report was given to senators by mistake at the previous meeting]

Motion to accept report Garrett
Second by Turpin

Discussion

Mahmoud - wants the report to specify that these rules apply to undergraduate programs only. Offered as a friendly amendment.

-Add the word “undergraduate” between “any” and “academic” in the first line of the report.

Pearson – agrees with Mahmoud that for the sake of clarity these rules pertain to undergraduate program only.

Garrett – accepts the friendly amendment.

Call the question

Approved unanimously by voice vote.

iii. Online Teacher Certification

Garrett - LRD already has an online teaching teacher certification course. They have shortened that pre-existing course. ASPPC approved the proposal to shorten the course. We also encouraged the LRD to create an online version of this course sometime in the near future.

Motion to approve the changes to the course by Garrett
Second by King-Berry

Discussion

Turpin – when will online be offered?

Suzan Harkness – perhaps next academic year because we need time to develop it.

Call the question:

Approve unanimously by voice vote.

New issue

Garrett - ASPC has received a CUE proposal for a teaching institute and will forward it to the graduate council if it is purely a graduate program

b. Charter and By Laws Committee

i. Elimination of adjunct member

Alexander: reads report

Motion to adopt by Alexander

Second by Khatri

Musgrove: we have a large number of long-term adjuncts and if we are going to do this we should explore alternative ways of soliciting their input.

Brown: CC does have large number of adjuncts. Full-time faculty can properly represent them.

King-Berry: adjuncts can come to the meeting but they should not be members.

Turpin: ad hoc committee could be formed to consider this issue.

Call the question

Aye 20

No: 1

Abstentions: 0

Motion carries

ii. Clustering of small departments for purposes of representation

Alexander: reads report

Motion to approve by Alexander

Webster – I hear two motions. Can we entertain them one at a time? Offered as a friendly amendment.

Accepted by Alexander.

Alexander - New Motion: deals exclusively with moving the language about senate membership from one section of the bylaws to another

Motion by Alexander

Second by Johnson

No discussion

Call the question

Unanimously passed by voice vote

Alexander - Second new motion: deals exclusively with creating an ad hoc committee to study the possibility of combining small departments.

Musgrove - we do not need to amend the bylaws to create an ad hoc committee.
(suggested as a friendly amendment)

Pearson - agrees with Musgrove

Alexander – accepts the friendly amendment

Motion with friendly amendment by Alexander
Second by Johnson

Discussion

Harris – expresses displeasure with the existence of small department in and of themselves.

Hartline – suggests possible language for amendment to deal with this issue.

Wilmer Johnson – purpose of amendment is to give guidance to body.

Kakovich – suggests rotating senatorship between several departments as a way to solve problem.

Musgrove – we do not need an ad hoc committee and we should not proscribe what idea they should come up with to deal with this problem.

King-Berry – change the motion language to give departments the option to combine if they want.

Brown – Do we have an ad hoc elections committee? [Secretary note: the senate does not have such a committee]

Alexander – withdraw the pending motion for now and recommending (making a new motion) to the senate that it appoint an ad hoc committee to research the issue of grouping small departments.

Second by Garrett

Discussion

Garrett – let the ad hoc committee be open to all possibilities – do not dictate what it can look at. Offers it as a friendly amendment

Musgrove – agree with Willie Faye

Mahmoud – charter must be changed before the bylaws

Alexander – we agree

Brown – why can't the charter and bylaws do this (instead of an ad hoc committee)?

Musgrove – agree we do not need an ad hoc committee

Alexander – (does accept friendly amendment from Garrett and Musgrove, does not accept friendly amendment from Brown and Musgrove) new Motion: create an ad hoc committee to study the issue of small departments

Call the question

9 ayes

4 no

2 abstentions

The Motion carries

iii. Moving the power to appoint committee chairs from the Senate Chair to the Committee membership

Alexander – reads report

Motion by Alexander

Second by Khatri

Discussion

Vermillion – does convener have to be a senator?

Alexander – yes

Garrett – disagrees with motion. Thinks senate chair should be able to choose her team for the sake of making the senate run well.

Brown – the proposal is designed to make sure that the committee chair represents the committee, not the senate chair.

Khatri – argues that proposal enhances work of the senate and it is democratic.

Mahmoud – agrees with proposal

Musgrove – this proposal could undermine the smooth functioning of the senate by putting people in decision making positions who do not agree with or work well with the chair, or worse, are incompetent.

E. Johnson – supports the proposal based on the notion of deep democracy

Vermillion – concurs with Khatri

Call the question

10 Aye

5 No

3 abstention

Motion carries

c. Admission and Retention – none

d. Graduate Council – none

VI. New Business (Open)

No time to discuss

VII. Adjournment

Khatri - motion to adjourn (4:01pm)

Webster -second