

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Zoning Commission



ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 11-02/11-02A
Z.C. Case Nos. 11-02 and 11-02A

**Application of the University of the District of Columbia for Special Exception Approval of
a New Campus Plan for the Van Ness Campus and Further Processing of an Approved
Campus Plan**
June 27, 2011

This case is an application by The University of the District of Columbia (the “University” or “UDC” or “Applicant”) requesting special exception approval under the campus plan provisions of the Zoning Regulations at 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 210 for a new campus plan for the University’s Van Ness campus and further processing under the approved new campus plan to allow the construction and use of a new student center. In accordance with § 210 of the Zoning Regulations, this case was heard and decided by the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia (the “Commission”) using the rules of the Board of Zoning Adjustment at 11 DCMR §§ 3100 *et seq.* The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. For the reasons stated below, the Commission hereby approves the applications, subject to conditions.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Applications, Parties, and Hearing

1. The property that is the subject of this application is located at 4200 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. It is known as part of Lot 803 in Square 1964 (the “Property” or the “Van Ness Campus”). Jurisdiction over the Property was transferred to the University of the District of Columbia from the federal government.
2. When the UDC campus was first constructed in the 1970s and 1980s, District of Columbia properties were not subject to zoning and accordingly there is no existing campus plan. Once the University received funding from the D.C. Council for a new student center, it embarked on a formal process to develop its campus plan pursuant to § 210 of the Zoning Regulations as well as to secure further processing approval for the proposed student center.
3. On February 8, 2011, the University submitted an application seeking special exception review and approval of a new campus plan for the Van Ness Campus (the “2011 Plan”).

Z.C. ORDER NO. 11-02/11-02A
Z.C. CASE NOS. 11-02 and 11-02A
PAGE 2

The 2011 Plan was assigned Case No. 11-02 and the public hearing was scheduled for May 2, 2011.

4. On February 28, 2011, the University submitted an application for further processing of an approved campus plan in order to construct a new student center (the “Student Center”). The Student Center was assigned Case No. 11-02A and the public hearing was also scheduled for May 2, 2011.
5. Notice of the public hearing was published in the *D.C. Register* on February 18, 2011 (58 DCR 1467) and March 4, 2011 (58 DCR 1653) and was mailed to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 3F and to owners of all property within 200 feet of Lot 803, which encompasses the campus boundaries.
6. Notice of the public hearing was also provided by posting of the Property pursuant to § 3113.14 of the Zoning Regulations. By affidavit, the University submitted evidence that its initial posting was made in excess of the minimum number of days required by the Zoning Regulations, but only advertised Case No. 11-02. By further affidavit, the University submitted evidence that the posting was updated to include Case No. 11-02A two days after the posting deadline. As a preliminary matter, the Commission concluded that such notice was adequate given the alternate forms of notice provided and the substantial evidence that actual notice had been provided to nearby property owners and neighbors in advance of the public hearing.
7. The public hearings on the application were conducted on May 2, 2011 and May 25, 2011. The hearings were conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR §§ 3022 and 3117.
8. In addition to the Applicant, ANC 3F was automatically a party in this proceeding. The representative for ANC 3F submitted a report requesting postponement and, in the alternative, in opposition to the 2011 Plan. The ANC also provided oral testimony at the public hearing. (Exhibits 22, 41.¹)
9. On April 18, 2011, the Commission received a request for party status from Brenda Viehe-Naess. On April 25, 2011, the Commission received an amended party status request from Brenda Viehe-Naess on behalf of the Van Ness Street Residents’ Association (“VNRA”) to be the representative of VNRA and residents in the 3600 block of Van Ness Street. The Commission granted party status to the VNRA. (Exhibits 15, 20.)

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all exhibit references are to Case No. 11-02.

Z.C. ORDER NO. 11-02/11-02A
Z.C. CASE NOS. 11-02 and 11-02A
PAGE 3

10. On April 18, 2011, the Commission received a request for party status from the Van Ness South Tenants' Association ("VNSTA"). The VNSTA represents tenants of the Van Ness South apartment building. The Commission granted party status to the VNSTA. (Exhibit 16.)
11. On April 18, 2011, the Commission received a request for party status from Stephanie and Douglas Kinney. The Commission denied party status to the Kinneys and reasoned that their interests could be sufficiently represented by the VNRA. The Commission encouraged the Kinneys to join the VNRA. (Exhibit 14.)
12. At the May 2 hearing, the University presented evidence and testimony from Barbara Jumper, the University's Vice President for Facilities and Real Estate; Douglas McCoach, qualified as an expert in planning; Erik Thompson, Capital Project Manager for the University; Michael Marshall, qualified as an expert in architecture; Jeff Lee, qualified as an expert in landscape architecture; and Dan Van Pelt, qualified as an expert in traffic engineering.
13. At the public hearing the Commission heard testimony and received a report and supplemental submission from the Office of Planning ("OP") in support of the application. (Exhibits 21, 24.)
14. The Commission received a report and supplemental submission from the District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") conditionally supportive of the application. (Exhibits 26, 47.)
15. The Commission received a letter of concept approval for the 2011 Plan and Student Center from the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts. (Exhibit 30.)
16. The Commission heard testimony and received evidence from persons in support of the application, including students and neighbors.
17. On May 25, 2011, the University filed a submission at the request of the Commission in response to issues raised during the May 2, 2011 public hearing. The University included a revised and updated set of proposed conditions of approval in this submission. (Exhibit 39.)
18. Following the public hearing, on June 13, 2011, the University filed a post-hearing submission to provide additional information in response to the requests of the Commission. (Exhibit 52.) In response to the direction of the Commission, the University also filed a post-hearing submission containing revised plans for the Student Center. (Case No. 11-02A, Exhibit 37).

19. At a public meeting on June 27, 2011, the Commission approved the applications in Case Nos. 11-02 and 11-02A, subject to conditions.

The Van Ness Campus and Surrounding Property

20. The Property is located in Northwest Washington, contains an area of approximately 21 acres, and is bounded generally by Yuma Street to the north; Connecticut Avenue to the east; Van Ness Street to the south; and a portion of the International Chancery Complex to the west. (Exhibit 7.)
21. The University was created in the mid-1970s when Federal City College, Washington Technical Institute, and District of Columbia Teachers College were consolidated. The University currently offers 75 undergraduate and graduate academic degree programs through the College of Arts and Sciences, School of Business and Public Administration, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, and the UDC David A. Clarke School of Law. (Exhibit 7.)
22. The core of the Van Ness Campus is located at its southern end, and consists of 10 academic and administrative buildings organized around Dennard Plaza, a large hardscaped plaza that connects many of these buildings. To the east of the campus core, at the intersection of Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street, existing campus development is set back from the main roadway and a large hardscaped plaza sits adjacent to the Van Ness Metrorail entrance. North of the campus core are buildings and space devoted to performing arts, including an auditorium, amphitheater, and music, dance, and theater space. Further to the north and west are athletic facilities, which include the athletic center, fields, and tennis courts. (Exhibit 7.)
23. The campus site slopes from west to east, dropping over 40 feet from the athletic fields on the west side of campus to the portions near Connecticut Avenue, and the central plaza accommodates the change in grade. Because of the significant natural topography change, there are several bridge components that connect Dennard Plaza with buildings further to the north. (Exhibit 7.)
24. Dennard Plaza and the surrounding buildings sit above a central underground campus parking garage and loading facility. Parking for the Campus is accessed from Van Ness Street, while loading is accessed from Connecticut Avenue via Veazey Terrace. The Van Ness Campus is also accessed from Connecticut Avenue via Windom Place. (Exhibit 7.)
25. Immediately to the south of the Van Ness Campus is Intelsat's administrative headquarters, and immediately to the west is the International Chancery Center, which contains nearly 20 diplomatic buildings. Further to the north and west of Van Ness Campus are low-density single family dwellings. To the east across Connecticut Avenue

are medium-density commercial buildings and high-density residential apartment buildings.

26. The Van Ness Campus is zoned D/R-1-B. The adjoining portion of the Connecticut Avenue corridor is zoned C-3-A, and surrounding residential areas are zoned R-1-B, R-2, and R-5-D. The Van Ness Campus is located in the Local Public Facilities and Moderate Density Commercial land use category on the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

Campus Plan Application

27. In its 2011 Plan, the University sets forth its vision to transform the Van Ness Campus into a flagship institution that will be competitive with other top tier academic institutions, while continuing to meet the comprehensive post-secondary education needs of the residents of the District of Columbia. The 2011 Plan calls for establishing the UDC Van Ness Campus as a landmark main campus hub emerging as an important economic engine for the District of Columbia and the region. The goals of the 2011 Plan are as follows: improve campus visibility from Connecticut Avenue, while improving the entry points to the University; create opportunities to enhance the student experience while creating revenue-generating activities; establish campus zones within the campus to provide distinct yet connected areas that improve convenience, enhance orientation and improve operational effectiveness; accommodate future growth by establishing a commitment to the environment and new technologies; reduce parking need; improve campus open space to effectively maximize the utilization of open space; and strengthen the campus image and character by enhanced public entry to the campus while improving security by establishing a secured campus edge. (Exhibit 7.)
28. The 2011 Plan incorporates four main changes to the Van Ness Campus: (1) construct a new Student Center; (2) provide on-campus student housing; (3) improve environmental sustainability; and (4) increase the population of students. Sustainability goals include the first LEED Platinum Student Center in the country and green roof retrofits on existing buildings. Initially, the University proposed to increase enrollment to 8,000 full-time equivalent (“FTE”) or 10,000 headcount students. In response to community concerns about the extent of the increase, the original enrollment projections were later reduced to 5,000 FTE or 6,500 headcount. (Exhibit 7.)
29. The University presented evidence and testimony that the 2011 Plan was developed through a community-based planning process. The University hosted a series of four open houses, starting in fall 2010. These community forums, which were advertised through community newspapers and websites as well as on the University’s website, allowed direct public input at each stage of the campus plan’s development. Following the filing of the campus plan and further processing applications, the University

presented to the community both the filed campus plan and the design for the Student Center at an ANC “town hall” on March 30, 2011. The University provided a draft of the campus plan and certain exhibits to the community on April 13, 2011, to provide additional time for consideration and review prior to the hearing. (Exhibits 7, 17.)

30. Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 210.1, The University of the District of Columbia is an academic institution of higher learning pursuant to D.C. Law 1-36, which consolidated the Federal City College, Washington Technical Institute, and District of Columbia Teachers College.
31. As required by 11 DCMR § 210.2 and as described in greater detail below, the University demonstrated that the proposed use was located so as not likely to become objectionable to neighboring property because of noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable impacts. Specifically, the University submitted 25 conditions of approval to avoid the creation of adverse impacts as a result of the location of university uses in a residential zone. (Exhibit 39, Tab A.) These conditions of approval were supplemented and refined in response to community and agency comments. The 2011 Plan also incorporated revised transportation demand management commitments to alleviate any traffic impacts. (Exhibit 39.)
32. The Applicant submitted a plan for developing the campus as a whole, showing the location, height, and bulk of all present and proposed improvements, as required by 11 DCMR § 210.4. (Exhibits 7, 17, 39, 52.)
 - a. Buildings and parking and loading facilities. The 2011 Plan identifies two areas for proposed new construction: a new Student Center at the southeast corner and a new student housing facility containing approximately 600 beds in the western portion of the Van Ness Campus. (Exhibit 7.) UDC’s architects and planners considered and studied four alternate sites for the on-campus housing, but the designated site presented the fewest challenges. During the course of the public hearings, UDC expanded the originally designated housing zone in response to the concerns of the community. That adjustment will provide an opportunity to address community concerns about setbacks from Van Ness Street. In addition to the Student Center and housing, the 2011 Plan provides for additional improvements and renovations to Dennard Plaza. (Exhibit 17.) The 2011 Plan does not add any parking spaces or loading facilities to the Van Ness Campus; the proposed new buildings will use the existing parking and loading. (Exhibit 17, Tab H.)
 - b. Screening, signs, streets, and public utility facilities. The 2011 Plan features several improvements and enhancements both interior to and on the streets immediately surrounding the campus. The 2011 Plan recommends a thorough

review of the perimeter conditions for the development of landscape, hardscape, security, and access specific to the various conditions that surround the campus. (Exhibit 7.) In addition, the 2011 Plan recommends a unified system of signage for the campus and the adjacent commercial area. (Exhibit 7.) The 2011 Plan also recommends pedestrian improvements to the intersection of Veazey Terrace and Connecticut Avenue. (Exhibit 17, Tab K.)

- c. Athletic and other recreational facilities. The 2011 Plan anticipates modest changes to the existing range of athletic facilities on campus: the University is in the process of renovating the natatorium. (Exhibit 7.)
- d. Description of all activities conducted or to be conducted on the campus, and of the capacity of all present and proposed campus development. The 2011 Plan divides the campus into six zones:
 - Academic: includes classrooms, laboratories, libraries, faculty offices, administrative offices, auxiliary services and related support functions.
 - Student Center: includes the new Student Center, which will provide student government/activity offices, assembly/ballroom space, student lounges, and food service.
 - Athletic: includes athletic facilities and related support functions.
 - Campus Infrastructure: includes infrastructure and related facilities necessary to support University operations.
 - Student Housing: includes student residences, auxiliary services and related support functions.
 - Arts/Culture: includes performing arts facilities and related support functions.

New construction for the entire 2011 Plan will provide approximately 345,000 square feet of gross floor area, of which approximately 65,000 will be for the new Student Center. (Exhibit 17.)

33. Under § 210.3, the total bulk of all buildings and structures on the Van Ness Campus shall not exceed 1.8 floor area ratio (“FAR”). As required under § 210.8, the University submitted evidence that the development plan would result in an FAR of 1.54, within the FAR limit for the campus as a whole. (Exhibit 17.)
34. The proposed 2011 Plan calls for building heights that are complementary to the surrounding residential context. Proposed buildings would be three or four stories in height. The new Student Center will have a height of approximately 56 feet, not including the architectural embellishment. (Exhibit 17, Tabs A & M.) All buildings will fully comply with the Zoning Regulations.

35. Under the proposed development plan, the University will occupy approximately 36% of the Campus lot. (Exhibit 17, Tab M.)
36. Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 210.5, the University did not propose an interim use of land.
37. Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 210.7, the University provided evidence that the 2011 Plan was not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including the designation of the Van Ness Campus as “Local Public Facility” and “Institutional” and related provisions endorsing change and infill on university campuses consistent with campus plans. The University also provided evidence that the 2011 Plan was not inconsistent with other elements of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Education Element and the Rock Creek West Area Element. In particular, the Van Ness Campus provides an opportunity for new educational opportunities, which is specifically endorsed by the Comprehensive Plan. The Commission finds that the proposed 2011 Plan will further the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. (Exhibit 7.)
38. Pursuant to § 210.9, the Commission received reports in support from OP and DDOT regarding the campus plan. (Exhibits 21, 24, 26.)

Section 210 Evaluation

Noise

39. Activities within the campus plan boundaries are located to minimize objectionable impacts due to noise. The bulk of the campus to the west, south, and east is surrounded by commercial and institutional uses that are generally not sensitive to noise. Further, these buildings are largely devoted to academic and administrative uses that, by and large, do not generate noise levels that have the potential to become objectionable.
40. As part of the 2011 Plan, the University has incorporated a series of campus perimeter improvements that will improve landscaping, buffering, and wayfinding at the campus edges. (Exhibit 17.) Specifically, the University proposes to improve upon the sound buffers of mature trees and dense landscaping along the northern and western edges of the Van Ness Campus through the extension of the sidewalks along Yuma Street and introduction of additional trees along the streetscape to buffer this side of the Van Ness Campus from the nearby residential uses. Representatives for the University testified that landscaping around the student housing site would be constructed in conjunction with the student housing itself.
41. The University selected sites for both the Student Center and the new housing that have minimal impact on nearby residential areas. (Exhibit 17, Tab E; Exhibit 52.) At the public hearing and in its post-hearing submission, the University presented evidence that

the adjacent property to the west is located at least 30 feet higher than the University due to a significant topographic change. Furthermore, the University presented evidence that the closest residential properties to the west are hundreds of feet away.

42. The Commission does not credit the ANC's testimony regarding potential objectionable noise impacts. At the public hearing, representatives for the ANC and parties generally alleged that the campus plan could generate objectionable impacts due to noise. The ANC and parties did not, however, present evidence that current University operations generated adverse noise impacts. Instead, the noise complained of was generated by embassy events, which are located (1) closer to the residential neighborhood and (2) at an elevation significantly higher than the University campus. Furthermore, the ANC representative testified that to the extent that the University did generate noise, any concerns were quickly addressed.
43. Service activity generally occurs in the interior of the campus, north of Building 38 where Veazey Terrace meets the Van Ness Campus. This area is directly accessible from Connecticut Avenue and is behind commercial development and removed from residential areas. (Exhibit 17, p. 9.)
44. The Student Center will be located along a commercial corridor, adjacent to commercial and high-density residential property. The majority of the mechanical equipment for the Student Center will be located in the cellar of the proposed building and will not generate objectionable noise impacts.
45. For the reasons set forth above, the Commission finds that the 2011 Plan and the proposed Student Center are not likely to become objectionable to neighboring property due to noise.

Traffic

46. The Campus is located immediately adjacent to the Van Ness Metrorail station, which provides an alternative transportation mode for students, faculty, and staff. Metrobus, Capital Bikeshare and Zipcar serve the Van Ness Campus as well. The entrance to the parking facility is located on the institutional side of campus off Van Ness Street, which is directly accessed from Connecticut Avenue. (Exhibit 17.)
47. The University testified at the public hearing that the 2011 Plan's transportation goals are to improve pedestrian safety, to promote transit and reduce auto-dependency, and to reinforce sustainable practices.

48. The University's traffic expert testified, and the Commission finds, that the 2011 Plan will not generate significant changes to nearby roadway volumes and operations, so its impact on traffic will be minimal. (Exhibit 17, Tab I.)
- a. The University will implement a transportation demand management ("TDM") program, which includes commitments and goals intended to improve mode choice, encourage alternatives to driving, and ensure that impacts of university operation will not become objectionable. Key features of the TDM program include increasing on-campus parking rates for faculty, staff and students; providing preferred parking for carpools and alternative fuel vehicles; providing an electric vehicle charging station; maximizing the SmartBenefit commitment; charging a student fee for transportation; and increasing the availability of bike parking throughout the campus. (Exhibit 17, Tab I.)
 - b. The Commission agrees with the conclusion of the University's traffic expert and finds that approval of the 2011 Plan is not likely to become objectionable to neighboring properties with respect to traffic because of the TDM program, the campus location among many transit options, and the proposal to provide more on-campus services. The Commission credits the testimony of the University's traffic expert regarding the sufficiency of the proposed TDM program, as detailed in Tab A of the University's June 13, 2011 post-hearing submission.
 - c. The Commission also credits the testimony of DDOT at the public hearing that the agency was generally supportive of the campus plan and that the University's efforts to enhance its TDM were laudable.
49. The Commission finds that approval of the 2011 Plan will not create conditions objectionable to neighboring property because of parking. The Commission finds that the Van Ness Campus will provide an adequate number of parking spaces for the proposed uses. The Commission also finds that University operation will not create objectionable impacts on residential neighborhood streets due to parking.
- a. The 2011 Plan includes new parking provisions that will discourage driving to Campus. All students, staff, faculty, and visitors to the Van Ness Campus who drive are required to park in University or other commercial parking facilities on or near the Van Ness Campus. In addition, the University will prohibit, to the extent permitted by law, students from parking on residential streets surrounding the Van Ness Campus, and will encourage all visitors attending special events at the Van Ness Campus to use transit or park in University facilities or other nearby parking facilities. (Exhibit 39.)

- b. The 2011 Plan also includes new parking policies that will optimize the use of the University's parking supply by University students, faculty, and staff, and discourage use of the parking supply for non-University related parking, through adjustments to pricing and the introduction of automated control.
- c. No additional parking or vehicle infrastructure is proposed as a part of the 2011 Plan, in light of its provisions intended to minimize the number of vehicle trips to and from the site. Parking is available on the Van Ness Campus as well as at a University facility across Yuma Street. The new Student Center will add services for students and staff, which will reduce the number of daily trips to the site. Existing parking will accommodate events in the ballroom of the new Student Center. Further, the provision of student housing on campus will decrease the number of students commuting to campus for class or other activities. (Exhibit 17.)
- d. The Commission does not credit the testimony of the ANC and parties in opposition regarding alleged objectionable impacts due to parking. The Van Ness Street neighborhood is located between two major commercial corridors and adjacent to multiple uses other than the University that generate potential on-street parking impacts. Some neighborhood residents testified that their property contained off-street parking. The neighborhood's street parking supply is managed through a residential permit parking program that restricts non-residents from parking for over two hours without a valid permit. The Commission credits testimony by DDOT that any spillover parking would be occasional and could be mitigated by the University through measures that addressed special events. Finally, the Commission credits the multiple efforts proposed by the University as likely to minimize the potential impacts of the University due to parking, particularly during special events.

Number of Students

- 50. Under the 2011 Plan, the University originally proposed a maximum full-time equivalent ("FTE") of 8,000 students or 10,000 headcount students, which is the number the Campus was originally designed to accommodate. (Exhibit 17.) However, in response to community concerns and based on the University's projections, the University has agreed to limit enrollment to 6,500 students on a headcount basis and 5,000 students on a FTE basis. (Exhibit 39.)
- 51. The Commission finds that the approval of the 2011 Plan will not tend to create conditions objectionable to neighboring properties because of the number of students. During the hearing, the University demonstrated that the proposed number of students will not result in objectionable impacts due to the many existing and proposed measures implemented by the University to mitigate noise, lighting, traffic, parking, and other impacts. The Commission finds that this Campus has previously accommodated the

requested number of students. The Commission also finds that the University's proposed method of counting headcount and FTE students is adequate.

Other Objectionable Conditions

52. On-Campus Housing. The location for the proposed on-campus housing is in the western portion of the Campus, adjacent to other institutional uses and away from the residential neighborhood. This location is also proximate to the core of the Campus and most student activity, which will direct student activity into the heart of the campus, rather out towards the perimeter of the Campus. The University will improve buffering from neighboring institutional uses through the re-establishment of a woodland edge condition. Prior to constructing the residence facility, the University will return to the Commission for further processing approval, which will provide agencies and neighbors an opportunity to provide further feedback during the planning and design of the housing itself. (Exhibit 17, pp. 4, 5.)
53. Off-Campus Housing. Because the proposed new on-campus housing facility will not be constructed immediately, the University will continue to provide some off-campus student housing. In the near term, the University will continue to master lease approximately 31 units with beds for approximately 86 students in the nearby Van Ness South apartment building. The University monitors student activity within these units to ensure they do not become objectionable to neighboring residents due to noise or other impacts, and maintains an administrative presence in the building through resident assistants ("RAs") who reside in the building to monitor behavior and respond to issues. Complaints are referred to and addressed by University staff, and the Student Code of Conduct applies to off-campus student behavior. At the public hearing, representatives for the University testified that disciplinary actions were taken against students who violated the code. In response to some residents' objections, the University has agreed to refrain from entering into any new leases at the Van Ness South and to end all of its master leasing activity once the new on-campus residence project is completed. UDC's leases for any off-campus units will be phased out prior to the first full semester following the completion and occupancy of the new residence project. If necessary before completion of the new on-campus residence facility, the University may lease additional apartment units in buildings other than the Van Ness South within one mile of the Campus and will provide RAs for those buildings. However, the University will not lease more than a total of 100 off-campus apartments within a one-mile radius. (Exhibit 39.)
54. Student Behavior. The 2011 Plan incorporates many new policies relating to student conduct, both on- and off-campus, to address any objectionable impacts that may arise from student misconduct. (Exhibit 39.)

55. Perimeter. The 2011 Plan incorporates many enhancements to Van Ness Campus edges over a phased implementation schedule. Enhancements include new trees, ornamental plantings, bioinfiltration plantings, evergreen hedges to hide ramp walls, and new woodland plantings. The campus plan incorporates additional landscaping along Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street as part of the new Student Center. (Exhibit 17, Tab G.)
56. Sustainability. The University incorporates sustainability elements into the 2011 Plan, including pervious pavers, rain gardens, bioswales, and 95,000 square feet of green roofs. More than two acres of pervious area will be added to the Campus. In addition, the new Student Center will contain a geothermal well field to reduce energy use for heating and cooling. The campus plan incorporates additional landscaping features as well. (Exhibit 17, p. 7.)
57. Trash. In its presentation, ANC 3F alleged that the University created objectionable impacts due to trash. However, the Commission was not persuaded by the ANC's testimony, in part because the alleged "objectionable" impacts were not large and did not affect neighboring property.
58. The Commission finds that approval of the proposed campus plan will not create other conditions objectionable to neighboring property due to multiple features of the 2011 Plan that address the student housing, student behavior, and environmental features of the Campus.

Further Processing for the New Student Center

59. Along with the 2011 Plan, the University submitted a further processing application for the construction of a new on-campus Student Center. Located at the corner Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street, the facility is anticipated to be a hub of student activity and to provide resources for the local community. The Student Center will contain a mix of uses, including a welcome center, a ballroom, space for student government and activity offices, assembly space for university programs, and spaces for student leisure and socializing. It will also contain restaurants intended to cater to a planned mix of residential and commuter customers, undergraduate and graduate students, as well as faculty, staff, and visitors. (Exhibits 7, 17.)
60. The proposed Student Center will contain approximately 65,000 square feet of gross floor area and will attain LEED Platinum standards. (Exhibit 17.)
61. The proposed new Student Center will be a signature building that will improve the relationship of the campus to the Connecticut Avenue commercial corridor and will be the gateway to the Van Ness Campus. The building will meet Dennard Plaza via a grand

staircase up the 20-foot grade change with a front lawn and an informal amphitheater in the front. Along Connecticut Avenue, the new building will be marked by a clock tower. The all-glass Connecticut Avenue façade will be light to counter the concrete of the other buildings, with transparency to invite people inside. The building will be clad in terra cotta metal panels with some parts in dark grey metal. Clear glass and spandrel glass will complement the metal, and any new brick will match the existing buildings. The building and streetscape design will animate the public realm at ground level through activity related to the Student Center, and it will create a strong visual, functional, and symbolic connection between the campus core and Connecticut Avenue. The proposed location of the Student Center will permit easy interconnection to existing campus parking and loading facilities, resulting in no change in vehicular and truck circulation patterns that use Van Ness Street and Connecticut Avenue. (Exhibit 17.)

62. The Commission finds that the proposed Student Center is not likely to become objectionable because of noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable impacts. The Student Center will be located and designed to harmonize with existing campus development and will enhance the Van Ness Campus.

Office of Planning

63. By report dated April 25, 2011, and by testimony at the public hearing, OP conditionally recommended approval of the University's application for a new campus plan and further processing to permit the construction of the Student Center. OP reviewed the application under the standards for special exception approval for a campus plan and further processing under § 210, as well as the general standards for special exception approval under § 3104. OP concluded that the University satisfied the burden of proof but recommended that the University satisfy eight additional conditions. Many of OP's conditions recommended clarifications and modifications to the 2011 Plan. (Exhibit 21.)
64. By supplemental report dated April 29, 2011, OP indicated that the University satisfactorily addressed all but the fourth condition in the original report. In addition, OP recommended changes to the 2011 Plan and to the University's proposed conditions of approval. (Exhibit 24.) By further testimony at the May 25, 2011 public hearing, OP stated that all conditions proposed by OP had been satisfied.
65. The Commission credits OP's report and testimony. The Commission concludes that the University satisfied the additional campus plan modifications recommended by OP in its April 29th report and that the University has included them in their proposed conditions of approval.

District Department of Transportation

66. By report dated April 20, 2011, DDOT conditionally recommended approval of the University's application. DDOT recommended three conditions in its approval: establishments of safeguards to protect neighborhood parking; provision of a transportation performance monitoring study; and an immediate increase in the rates for parking on the Campus. (Exhibit 26.) At the May 25, 2011 public hearing, DDOT testified that it was generally supportive of the campus plan and that the University had proposed laudable action items as a part of its efforts to enhance its TDM.
67. By supplemental report dated May 27, 2011, DDOT submitted data about the number of parking citations for streets near the Van Ness Campus. (Exhibit 47.)
68. The Commission credits DDOT's report and testimony. The Commission finds that University's TDM commitments are sufficient to address any possible objectionable traffic and parking conditions.

ANC 3F

69. At a regularly scheduled meeting on April 25, 2011, with a quorum present, ANC 3F voted to request postponement of the University's campus plan public hearing. (Exhibit 22.) ANC 3F requested postponement to allow: (1) ANC 3F's further review of the 2011 Plan; (2) ANC 3F's hiring of a traffic expert; (3) UDC's amendment the 2011 Plan; and (4) UDC's supplementing of the 2011 Plan with additional information that would meet the expectations of ANC 3F. (Exhibit 22.)
70. Also at its April 25, 2011 meeting, ANC 3F voted in the alternative to oppose the 2011 Plan. The ANC's opposition was based on the following objections: UDC's alleged non-compliance with zoning procedures by not showing specific locations of proposed buildings, UDC's alleged inability to maintain the grounds of the campus, UDC's alleged inadequate planning for additional parking and traffic, the potential for increased noise, the potential for adverse impacts related to the proposed enrollment cap, and the size of the proposed student residence. In addition, the ANC requested that the campus plan include the commercially zoned Building 52. (Exhibit 22.)
71. After hearing the concerns of the ANC, the Commission voted to deny the request for postponement of the campus plan hearing. The Commission found that the University reached out to the community in drafting the campus plan and provided sufficient and timely information to the ANC to review before the hearing. In denying the postponement request, the Commission decided to provide the ANC's traffic consultant adequate time to review the 2011 Plan.

72. David Fields of Nelson/Nygaard, a traffic consultant, provided oral testimony behalf of ANC 3F. Mr. Fields raised the following traffic and parking issues: students and faculty will park legally in residential areas at parking meters; Metro cannot handle additional capacity for more students; and traffic at intersections around the Van Ness Campus is already unacceptably busy. Mr. Fields provided suggestions that the University should adopt to address his concerns.
73. In response to ANC 3F's objections and concerns, the University agreed to make significant changes to the 2011 Plan. The University provided a campus map with refined "zones" for proposed uses, a plan for landscaping and perimeter improvements; proposed additional TDM commitments and conditions for parking and traffic; and reduced the originally proposed enrollment cap. The University also agreed to implement several measures to engage the community and ANC 3F in further processing and amendments to the 2011 Plan as well as the creation of a University-Community task force. The University did not, however, agree that conditions specifically relating to noise were necessary since the 2011 Plan incorporates measures to limit the impacts of noise on nearby residences. In addition, the University did not agree that Building 52 should be included in the 2011 Plan because it is commercially zoned, where university use is permitted as a matter of right. Further, the University enlarged the area for the proposed new student residence to allow for maximum flexibility in addressing potential concerns. (Exhibit 39.)
74. The Commission finds that the University's responses to ANC 3F's concerns adequately address the issues raised by ANC 3F. The University has provided details on proposed building placement sufficient for a campus plan and has incorporated landscaping plans. Further, the University's revised TDM commitments and reduced enrollment cap incorporate the majority of the suggestions from the ANC's traffic consultant and address objections raised by ANC 3F. The University incorporated measures to continue community involvement in the future development of the Campus. The Commission concludes that proposed 2011 Plan, as revised by the University's changes and proposed conditions of approval, is not likely to become objectionable to neighboring property, so no additional restrictions relating to ANC 3F's objections are necessary.

Other Testimony in Support

75. At the hearing, the Commission heard testimony in support of the application from students and from a resident of Veazey Terrace, who stated that the University made efforts to keep the community informed of its intentions and that the campus plan will benefit the community.

Testimony in Opposition

76. The VNRA presented written and oral testimony in opposition to the 2011 Plan. In its written testimony VNRA objected to the University's alleged failure to satisfy § 210, inadequate notice and neighbor engagement, inadequate parking, inadequate traffic planning, increased noise, off-campus housing, on-campus housing, issues with access to the Metro, and the University's failure to include data supporting expansion goals. During oral testimony, VNRA stated that it supports the ANC's traffic recommendations and would like a trigger for enrollment increases. VNRA also requested massing studies for the proposed residences, stated that the neighborhood cannot support "group houses," and testified that noise from athletic events will be an issue.
77. The VNSTA presented written and oral testimony in opposition to the 2011 Plan. The VNSTA's written testimony concerned the following: UDC modified units in Van Ness South to allow four people to live in one-bedroom units; the modification proceeded without proper permits, resulting in fines to UDC; units are not contiguous or even on the same floor; students do not lease from the building owner, so different rules apply to students; leasing to UDC takes rent-stabilized units away from low-to-moderate income renters; and the units provided to students benefit students primarily from outside the District; Building 52 should be part of the campus plan; more study is needed regarding traffic planning and management; and UDC has a poor facilities maintenance record. The VNSTA's oral testimony concerned objections based on the following: the apartments were converted to dorms, which removed in-apartment living spaces and resulted in excessive use of the building's common areas; neither Archstone (the building's owner) nor UDC police accepted responsibility for dealing with complaints; and UDC did not have discussions with the tenants before they placed students in the building. VNSTA noted that they support on-campus housing.
78. The Commission received written testimony from individuals opposing the 2011 Plan. Much of this written testimony concerned the same issues: inadequate community engagement and notice; concerns about activities at the Student Center; insufficient traffic analysis; parking availability; traffic, noise and quality of life impacts; off-campus student behavior; and expense to the District. Many of those who submitted written testimony in opposition also supported on-campus housing. (Exhibits 19, 25, 35, 36, 37, 38.)
79. Some individuals provided oral testimony in opposition to the 2011 Plan at the public hearing. They opposed the 2011 Plan based on such issues as lack of notice, lack of community involvement, insufficient parking, and off-campus housing at Van Ness South and other apartment buildings.

80. The Commission finds that the University made reasonable modifications to and adopted reasonable policies and conditions in the 2011 Plan. The University adopted most of the suggestions from ANC 3F's traffic consultant. The University adequately studied VNRA's proposed housing locations on the Campus and found its own expanded housing zone proposal to be the most viable. The University's changes to the 2011 Plan ensure that it is not likely to become objectionable to VNRA or VNSTA or other nearby property owners.
81. No other testimony in opposition was presented at the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Applicant requested special exception approval, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 210, 3035, and 3104, of a new campus plan for a term ending December 31, 2020 and further processing of the approved campus plan for a new Student Center. The Commission is authorized under the aforementioned provisions to grant a special exception when, in the judgment of the Commission based on a showing through substantial evidence, the special exception will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Maps and will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Zoning Maps. A special exception to allow use as a college or university in a residential zone district may be granted subject to the provisions contained in § 210, including that the university use must be "located so that it is not likely to become objectionable to neighboring property because of noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable conditions," and that maximum bulk requirements may be increased for specific buildings, subject to restrictions based on the total bulk of all buildings and structures on the campus. (11 DCMR § 210.2 – 210.9.)
2. Based on the above Findings of Fact, the Commission concludes that the University has satisfied the burden of proof for special exception approval of the proposed new campus plan in accordance with § 210. The 2011 Plan will provide limited new development that is not likely to become objectionable because of noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable impacts. The 2011 Plan is also consistent with many provisions of the Comprehensive Plan. The University has made reasonable accommodations in the 2011 Plan to address the concerns of parties and persons in opposition. Finally, the 2011 Plan will include conditions of approval to avoid creation of adverse impacts or objectionable conditions and in response to community and agency comments.
3. Based on the above Findings of Fact, the Commission concludes that the University has satisfied the burden of proof for special exception approval of further processing of the 2011 Plan in accordance with § 210. The 2011 Plan is modest in scope and is not likely

to become objectionable due to noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable conditions. The Student Center is consistent with the 2011 Plan and has been sited and designed to serve as a prominent and functional addition to the Campus. The Commission concludes that the location and design of the project is not likely to become objectionable due to noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable conditions.

4. The Commission accorded the recommendation of OP the “great weight” to which it was entitled pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 6-623.04 (2001). As discussed in this Order, the Commission generally concurred with the recommendation of OP to grant the University’s applications, subject to conditions. The University has satisfactorily addressed all of OP’s conditions.
5. The Commission accorded the issues and concerns raised by ANC 3F the “great weight” to which they are entitled pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-309.10(d) (2001). In doing so, the Commission fully credited the unique vantage point that ANC 3F holds with respect to the impact of the proposed campus plan on the ANC’s constituents. However, the Commission concludes that the University has made significant changes to the originally proposed 2011 Plan to address the ANC’s issues and concerns. The ANC has not offered persuasive evidence that would cause the Commission to find that the University’s revised 2011 Plan does not adequately address ANC 3F’s objections. Under the 2011 Plan, the University’s planned landscaping/perimeter improvements, student enrollment maximum, plan for community involvement, locations of planned buildings, plans for on- and off-campus student housing, student conduct measures, and TDM commitments result in a campus plan that is not likely to become objectionable due to noise, traffic, number of students, or other objectionable impacts.
6. The Commission concludes that § 2106 of the Zoning Regulations permits parking for college or university uses approved by the Commission pursuant to § 210 to be established by the university as a part of its campus plan. Additional parking is not required for specific buildings.
7. The Commission notes that § 210 applies to university uses in a Residence zone, and does not apply to commercially zoned property or preclude a university’s use of property, consistent with the Zoning Regulations, outside the boundaries of a campus plan. *See Glenbrook Rd. Ass’n v. D.C. Bd. of Zoning Adjustment*, 605 A.2d 22 (D.C. 1992); *Watergate West, Inc. v. D.C. Bd. of Zoning Adjustment*, 815 A.2d 762 (D.C. 2003). The Commission also notes that the Board of Zoning Adjustment has voted to deny an appeal alleging that the University’s use of apartment units in Van Ness South for student housing turned those units into a dormitory. *See* Appeal No. 18151 (vote taken April 5, 2011).

DECISION

In consideration of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia **ORDERS APPROVAL** of the 2011 University of the District of Columbia Campus Plan for the Van Ness Campus (the “2011 Plan”) and the level of University operation it describes until December 31, 2020 as well as **APPROVAL** of the further processing of the approved Campus Plan to allow construction and use of a new Student Center, subject to the following conditions:

Enrollment

1. For the duration of the 2011 Campus Plan, the maximum enrollment on the Van Ness Campus shall not exceed 6,500 students on a headcount basis, and shall not exceed 5,000 students on a FTE basis:
 - a. For purposes of the above, headcount shall include all students enrolled in a course that is offered at the Van Ness Campus;
 - b. For purposes of the above, FTE shall be determined by assigning a fraction to part-time students based on the number of credits they are taking on the Van Ness Campus compared to a full-time course load (currently, 12 credits) and adding the number of full-time students; and
 - c. The University shall provide ANC 3F with its Van Ness Campus enrollment by November 1st (for fall semester enrollment), April 15th (for spring semester enrollment), and August 1st (for summer enrollment).

Housing

2. The University may construct the proposed on-campus housing as described in the 2011 Campus Plan, subject to further processing review and approval pursuant to § 210 of the Zoning Regulations:
 - a. The location shall be within the area identified on Tab B of the University’s May 25, 2011 supplemental submission;
 - b. The number of stories, gross floor area, and lot coverage shall be generally consistent with the development summary indicated on Tab C of the University’s June 13, 2011 post-hearing submission; and
 - c. As a part of the further processing application, the University shall provide the following documentation:

- i. Interim report on student enrollment and faculty/staff counts;
 - ii. Interim report on the implementation of the student conduct measures detailed in conditions 7-12;
 - iii. Interim report on transportation issues, including:
 - 1. Information on implementation of the transportation demand management plan detailed in condition 14, including mode split data;
 - 2. Information regarding utilization of campus parking resources as well as implementation of the parking policy detailed in condition 14; and
 - iv. Interim report on perimeter improvements detailed in conditions 19 and 20.
3. The University shall provide the community with notice and an opportunity to review the proposed design of the on-campus housing at least 60 days prior to filing of the application for further processing:
- a. Notice of the University's intent to file the application ("Notice of Intent") shall be provided by U.S. Mail to ANC 3F and all owners of all property within 200 feet of the campus. In addition, the Notice of Intent shall be provided by U.S. Mail to all residents within approximately one block of Lot 803.² The Notice of Intent shall state that the University intends to file an application to secure further processing approval to construct dormitories on University property, and shall provide the proposed number of beds, maximum square footage, description of common areas, and planned pedestrian and vehicular access to the dormitories. In addition, the Notice of Intent shall provide a website address (URL) where more information about the proposed dormitories may be found, and the contact information (name, phone, and email) for a University representative that can be contacted for additional information. Finally, the Notice of Intent shall indicate the date, time, and location of the Preliminary Design Review meeting described below; and
 - b. At least 45 days prior to the filing of the application for further processing, the University shall hold an open community meeting to review the preliminary design of the housing with interested community members ("Preliminary Design Review"). This meeting shall be specifically noticed in the Notice of Intent, and shall also be noticed in the *Northwest Current*, on neighborhood listservs, and on the University's website.

² The "Nearby Residents" shall include all addresses along Upton, Van Ness, and Warren Streets, Veazey Terrace, and Windom Place between Reno Road/36th Street and 37th Streets; all residents along 36th Street and Reno Road between Upton Street and Yuma Street; all residents along Yuma Street between Connecticut Avenue and 37th Street; and all residents of 35th Street between Yuma Street and Alton Place. Notice shall also be provided to the Van Ness South Tenants Association, Van Ness North Condominium Association, and Van Ness East Cooperative Association.

4. The proposed on-campus housing shall be limited to no more than 600 beds. The University shall end its off-campus leasing program no more than one semester after the completion and occupancy of the on-campus housing. The University shall be permitted to continue to provide referrals for off-campus living options to students who are interested in living off-campus in privately owned or leased properties.
5. Prior to the completion and occupancy of the on-campus housing, the University agrees to take the following measures regarding its off-campus leasing program:
 - a. The University shall lease no more than 31 units in the Archstone Van Ness apartment complex. The University shall continue to monitor and address complaints regarding student behavior and maintain at least four resident advisors as an administrative presence in the apartment complex;
 - b. The University shall be permitted to lease additional units in other buildings, up to a total of no more than 100 units within a mile of the Van Ness Campus. The University shall provide at least one resident advisor for every eight units to serve as an administrative presence;
 - c. The University shall provide to ANC 3F, on an annual basis, an accounting of the number of leased residential units and number of students housed in those units. The University shall also identify the building or buildings in which these units are located; and
 - d. In multifamily residential buildings where the University intends to acquire a leasehold interest for use as student housing, the University shall provide notice to the building management and tenant association of such intent at least 60 days prior to the actual occupancy of such units by students.
6. The University shall not lease any additional units at Van Ness South beyond what it is currently leasing for off-campus student housing.
7. The University shall terminate its leasing of units at Van Ness South in coordination with the completion of the on-campus housing:
 - a. The University shall end its leases prior to the first full semester during which the on-campus housing is in operation; and
 - b. If permitted under the terms of its lease, the University shall remove the internal walls that were constructed by the University within those units.

Student Conduct

8. All students at the Van Ness Campus, whether living on campus, off campus in housing leased directly by the University, or off campus in privately owned or leased property, shall be required to comply with the University Code of Conduct. Within three months of approval of the campus plan, the University shall evaluate and collect input from the Task Force on revisions to the Code of Conduct that will address the impacts of students living on or near campus.
9. The University shall use disciplinary intervention for acts of misconduct committed by students (i.e., violations of the Code of Conduct) in the surrounding community, regardless of whether the student lives on campus or off campus, and even if the students are not in properties owned or controlled by the University. The University shall act on incident reports submitted by persons including residents, ANC 3F, community associations, tenant associations, building management, University security officers, and the Metropolitan Police Department.
10. The University shall establish and maintain an outreach program with neighboring apartment buildings occupied by University students (including but not limited to apartment buildings in which the University leases residential units), to educate management companies and tenant associations on the University's disciplinary program and its reporting requirements, to facilitate effective use of its program.
11. The University shall establish and publicize (through appropriate written and/or electronic communications) a hotline to receive calls about student conduct issues and safety and security concerns. The University shall maintain a log of all calls received and all actions taken, including referrals made to the appropriate University departments for their attention. A quarterly report summarizing the hotline efforts shall be provided to ANC 3F.
12. The University shall establish and maintain a mandatory program for all students living on-campus or off-campus within one mile of the Van Ness campus that will address "good neighbor" issues, educating students about appropriate conduct in the off-campus community. This program will especially emphasize objectionable noise both inside and outside of buildings, restricted parking in the surrounding residential neighborhoods, illegal underage drinking, and respect for personal and real property of the residential and private business communities.
13. The University shall establish and maintain an outreach program with the Metropolitan Police Department to secure referrals on all reports of complaints, infractions, or arrests of University students living on-campus or in off-campus housing near the University. The University shall maintain a log of all referrals received and all actions taken.

Transportation and Parking

14. The University shall manage its on-campus parking supply and encourage all students, faculty, staff, and visitors to use transit and other alternatives to single-occupancy vehicles through the implementation of the TDM measures detailed in Finding of Fact No. 48 and in Tab A of the Applicant's June 13, 2011 Post-Hearing Submission (Exhibit 52), which reflects all of the commitments made by the University.
15. The University shall require all students, faculty and staff to park in University or other commercial parking facilities on or near the Van Ness Campus:
 - a. The University shall prohibit, to the extent permitted by law, students from parking on the residential streets adjacent to and surrounding the Van Ness campus. To accomplish these purposes, the University shall employ a system of administrative actions, penalties, and fines for violations of this policy; and
 - b. All students residing on campus shall not be permitted to garage their vehicles on the Van Ness campus. The University shall work with the Department of Motor Vehicles to prohibit students residing on campus from applying for residential permit parking stickers for the residential neighborhoods surrounding the Van Ness Campus.
16. The University shall encourage all visitors attending special events on campus to use transit or park in University or other area parking facilities. The University shall work with area institutions and commercial parking operators as well as use attendant parking to provide additional parking as needed during these events. Non-University events in the Student Center ballroom shall be subject to the following additional conditions:
 - a. For weekday non-University events that are likely to draw more than 100 persons, the University shall direct potential users to notify event guests that parking will not be available on campus or in the surrounding community and that driving is therefore discouraged. The University shall direct potential users to encourage event guests to travel to the Van Ness Campus by other means such as transit, bus, walking, or taxi:
 - i. For purposes of this condition, "weekday events" are events that begin between 8:00 am and 4:00 pm, Monday through Friday; and
 - b. For weeknight non-University events that are likely to draw more than 100 persons, such events shall not be permitted to begin between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m.

- i. For purposes of this condition, “weeknight events” are events that begin after 5:00 pm, Monday through Friday.
- 17. The University shall work with area institutions regarding the scheduling of special events expected to draw more than 100 visitors to the Van Ness Campus.
- 18. The University shall direct all construction traffic to avoid routes through the adjacent residential neighborhoods through contract provisions or similar mechanisms.

Perimeter Improvements

- 19. Subject to availability of funding and other required approval from or coordination with District agencies, the University shall undertake the improvements detailed on Exhibit G of the University’s April 18, 2011 pre-hearing submission, and as modified by the University’s June 13, 2011 submission, in accordance with the implementation schedule detailed on said exhibit. The University shall have the flexibility to modify the final design and layout of these improvements based on approval from or coordination with District agencies.
- 20. Following the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the Student Center, the University shall use good faith efforts to work with District agencies and other stakeholders to promote the construction of improvements to the intersection of Veazey Terrace with Connecticut Avenue as shown in concept on Exhibit K of the University’s April 18, 2011 pre-hearing submission.

Community Outreach

- 21. **University-Community Task Force:** Within one month of approval of the campus plan, the University shall establish a Task Force that includes representatives of the University officials, ANC 3F, residents of the surrounding Van Ness and North Cleveland Park neighborhoods (including residents of both the single-family neighborhoods and high-rise buildings near campus):
 - a. The Task Force shall meet quarterly in order to encourage dialogue regarding campus planning, student conduct, traffic and parking, construction activity, and similar issues;
 - b. The meetings shall be open to the public and shall be noticed at least two weeks prior through advertisements in the *Northwest Current*, on neighborhood listservs, and through the University’s website. Notice of such meetings shall also be provided to authorized representatives of neighborhood community associations, tenant associations, or other building associations. Said notice shall identify the

preliminary agenda for each meeting, though this preliminary agenda shall not preclude the discussion of additional issues or concerns;

- c. The University shall keep minutes of all Task Force Meetings as well as a log of all attendees; and
- d. Within one month of each Task Force meeting, the University shall circulate the minutes of the meeting to ANC 3F, authorized representatives of neighborhood or building associations, and any other participant requesting the minutes at the meeting. The University shall also post the minutes on its website.

22. Notice Regarding Future Zoning Applications:

- a. The University shall provide Nearby Residents (as defined in footnote 2) and ANC 3F with notice of its intent to file any future application for an amendment to the campus plan at least 60 days prior to the filing of the application. Such notice shall describe the proposed amendment, including any relevant new construction, alteration, or change in use associated with the amendment. The notice shall also identify the name, phone number, and email of a University representative that may be contacted for further information. Finally, the Notice of Intent shall indicate the date, time, and location of the Preliminary Review meeting described below; and
- b. At least 45 days prior to the filing of the application for amendment of the campus plan, the University shall hold an open community meeting to review the proposed amendment (“Preliminary Review”). This meeting shall be specifically noticed in the Notice of Intent, and shall also be noticed in the *Northwest Current*, on neighborhood listservs, and on the University’s website.

23. Notice Regarding Future Campus Plan:

- a. The University shall provide Nearby Residents (as defined in footnote 2) and ANC 3F with its notice of intent to commence the planning process for any future campus plan at least 60 days prior to the community kickoff meeting for the planning process;
- b. The community kickoff meeting shall take place at least six months prior to the filing of the future campus plan; and
- c. The notice shall indicate the date, time, and location of the community kickoff meeting, as well as include a preliminary schedule for future community meetings and an estimated date for filing of the campus plan.

24. The University shall offer an hourly rate for the use of tennis courts and the natatorium for non-University users who do not want to purchase an annual membership for use of these facilities.

Student Center Design

25. The Student Center shall be constructed in accordance with the plans included as Exhibit A of the University's April 18, 2011 pre-hearing submission, as modified by the plans filed by the University on May 25, 2011, and as further modified by the plans filed by the University on June 13, 2011, provided that the University shall have flexibility to modify the design as follows:
 - a. Modify the design of all interior components of the building;
 - b. Vary the final selection of exterior materials within the color ranges and materials types proposed based on availability at the time of construction;
 - c. Vary the size, location, and design features of building entrances, including the size, location, and design of windows, doors, awnings, canopies and similar features, to accommodate the needs of specific tenants and uses;
 - d. Vary the size, location, and other features of proposed building signage;
 - e. Make minor refinements to exterior details and dimensions to comply with Construction Codes or that are otherwise necessary to obtain a final building permit;
 - f. Modify the exterior design of the building as required to address field conditions such as the presence of WMATA-related facilities below grade; and
 - g. Modify the exterior design as required to address comments from the National Capital Planning Commission ("NCPC"), the Commission of Fine Arts ("CFA"), and the Historic Preservation Review Board ("HPRB").

Such flexibility may include changes to the building footprint, height, and density, provided that the building design shall remain substantially the same and continue to comply with all relevant provisions of the Zoning Regulations

26. The Student Center shall be designed to the LEED Platinum standard.
27. The University shall not be permitted to lease space in the Student Center to a tenant seeking to operate such space as a nightclub, lounge, or similar use.

28. In accordance with the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977, as amended, D.C. Official Code §§ 2-1401.01 et seq. (Act), the District of Columbia does not discriminate on the basis of actual or perceived: race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, personal appearance, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, familial status, family responsibilities, matriculation, political affiliation, genetic information, disability, source of income, or place of residence or business. Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination which is prohibited by the Act. In addition, harassment based on any of the above protected categories is prohibited by the Act. Discrimination in violation of the Act will not be tolerated. Violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

On June 27, 2011, upon motion of Chairman Hood, as seconded by Commissioner Selfridge, the Zoning Commission **ADOPTED** the Order in Case No. 11-02 at its public meeting by a vote of **4-1-0** (Anthony J. Hood, Peter G. May, Greg M. Selfridge, and Michael G. Turnbull to adopt; Konrad W. Schlater to oppose by absentee ballot).

On June 27, 2011, upon motion of Commissioner Turnbull, as seconded by Commissioner Selfridge, the Zoning Commission **ADOPTED** the Order in Case No. 11-02A at its public meeting by a vote of **5-0-0** (Anthony J. Hood, Peter G. May, Greg M. Selfridge, and Michael G. Turnbull to adopt; Konrad W. Schlater to adopt by absentee ballot).

In accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR § 3028, this Order shall become effective upon publication in the *D.C. Register*; that is on July 29, 2011.



ANTHONY J. HOOD
CHAIRMAN
ZONING COMMISSION



JAMISON L. WEINBAUM
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ZONING

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of Zoning



Z.C. CASE NO.: 11-02/11-02A

JUL 26 2011

As Secretary to the Commission, I hereby certify that on _____ copies of this Z.C. Order No. 11-02/11-02A were mailed first class, postage prepaid or sent by inter-office government mail to the following:

1. *D.C. Register*
2. Dave Avitable, Esq.
Allison Prince, Esq.
Goulston Storrs
1999 K Street, N.W. Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20006
3. ANC 3F
4401-A Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Box 244
Washington, DC 20008
4. Commissioner Adam Torpe
ANC/SMD 3F01
3F01@anc.dc.gov
5. Commissioner Karen Lee Perry
ANC/SMD 3F02
3003 Van Ness Street, N.W. #W-118
Washington, D.C. 20008
6. Gottlieb Simon
ANC
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
7. Councilmember Mary M. Cheh
8. DDOT (Martin Parker)
9. Melinda Bolling, Acting General Counsel
DCRA
1100 4th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20024
10. Office of the Attorney General (Alan Bergstein)
11. Van Ness Street Resident's Association
c/o Brenda Viehe-Naess
3625 Van Ness Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008
12. Van Ness South Tenant's Association
c/o David Wilson
3003 Van Ness Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

ATTESTED BY:


Sharon S. Schellin
Secretary to the Zoning Commission
Office of Zoning

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 200-S, Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 727-6311

Fax: (202) 727-6072

E-Mail: dcoz@dc.gov

Web Site: www.dcoz.dc.gov