UNIVERSITY OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF TRUSTEES
FACILITIES COMMITTEE
+ + + + +
REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

Tuesday, January 7, 2014

The meeting convened at 6:00 p.m. in Washington, DC, Christopher Bell, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEMBERS PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER BELL, Chair JOSEPH ASKEW REGINALD FELTON

JEROME SHELTON
KENDRICK CURRY, Ex Officio
JAMES LYONS, President
ALSO PRESENT:

BEVERLY FRANKLIN, Executive Secretary

BARBARA JUMPER, Vice President of Facilities

& Real Estate

CASSANDRA PARK

SHERRY QUASHIE

ERIK THOMPSON, Senior Project Manager for

Capital Construction

	Page	2
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	Call to Order and Roll Call	3
4		
5	Approval of Minutes	4
6		
7	Facility Construction Planning	5
8		
9	Motor Coach	31
10		
11	Other Business	7
12		
13	Adjournment	4
14		

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

	Page 3
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	6:08 p.m.
3	CHAIR BELL: Hello, everyone.
4	Happy New Year to you. Nice to see everyone.
5	All right.
6	This is the meeting of the
7	Facilities Committee of the Board of Trustees.
8	Today is Tuesday, January 7th, 2014 and I ask
9	Beverly to call roll to make sure we have a
10	quorum.
11	MS. FRANKLIN: Mr. Bell?
12	CHAIR BELL: Present.
13	MS. FRANKLIN: Mr. Felton?
14	TRUSTEE FELTON: Present.
15	MS. FRANKLIN: Mr. Shelton?
16	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Present.
17	MS. FRANKLIN: Mr. Askew? Dr.
18	Curry?
19	TRUSTEE CURRY: Present.
20	MS. FRANKLIN: Dr. Lyons?
21	PRESIDENT LYONS: Present.
22	MS. FRANKLIN: Mr. Chair, you do

	Page 5
1	Any objections? All those in
2	favor?
3	(Ayes.)
4	CHAIR BELL: Seeing no objections,
5	the motion carries unanimously.
6	Okay. So, those minutes will be
7	approved.
8	I'd now like to go to item four on
9	the agenda Facility Construction Planning if
10	that's okay with you, Barbara, for
11	MS. JUMPER: Um-hum.
12	CHAIR BELL: a report from you
13	on that.
14	MS. JUMPER: Okay. So, good
15	afternoon. Happy New Year to all.
16	The purpose of putting this on the
17	agenda today was to basically seek some or
18	give you an update of where we believe we are
19	as relates to some of the items primarily that
20	were addressed in the Strategic Plan document.
21	Our understanding based on the
22	last meeting that actually voted on the

Strategic Plan in some part basically gave the Vice President for Facilities and Real Estate Office the authority to proceed with campus housing. At least, that was my understanding after asking for another interpretation of that.

With that said, our next step in the sequence of moving this forward would be to move forward with initiating a solicitation for a public/private partnership. This would be necessary to determine who would actually be working with the University to provide funding, to negotiate with the City in terms of its use of our land, to determine how we would seek financing should we move forward.

I wanted to take this opportunity
to make sure that I have the best
understanding of where we are with this issue
and the reason being is this would, in fact,
net or yield some cost to the University to
move forward and it is not a small amount of
money.

CHAIR BELL: Okay.

MS. JUMPER: So, we need to be very clear that we understand as it relates to campus housing how we should proceed.

Based on what I heard, and I would appreciate correction, from the last meeting is that we already had approval to move forward with the campus housing and should keep doing what we're doing.

So, we're operating under the understanding that with the master plan approval that was the understanding from the Board that that was authority to keep doing what we were doing and we are moving in that direction.

But, obviously, to move forward with a solicitation would be actually publicly notifying interested parties of our interest to move forward with campus housing on our property.

It would also be probably not working in good faith if we moved forward

One, as you may recall, we have a requirement to go back to the State Department as it relates to where housing would be positioned and to discuss the actual design of housing to insure that they are actually comfortable with what we're presenting.

We have given schematics and we presented that to the Board several months ago, but the next step is actually entering into a contract with the developer to actually architecturally design what the housing complex will look like and it is a cost that the University will incur.

So, that's a major issue. Also, the next step obviously would be to have dialogue with the City stakeholders.

Primarily, the Chief Financial Officer to bring out partner to the table to say how, in fact, we will work the financial workings of a deal for land use and any other nuances that they would need to have satisfied to give us

1 the blessing and move forward with financing.

So, those are the elements that right now -- before we move in that direction,

I think it would not be wise to have those discussions with City stakeholders. For example, should we not have the full blessing of the Board.

Understanding that you do not have to sufficient information now to say, in fact, you bless the financial scheme because we don't know what that is yet.

But, conceptually, do you bless us moving forward? I think that's kind of the issue at the table.

CHAIR BELL: So, I'll try to take a stab at it because I guess there has been some confusion.

I think what the Trustees were saying when we were doing the Strategic Plan was that there was not a need per se to reference the housing in the plan in the way that it was being mentioned because we support

1 the housing.

The concept of the housing on the campus, and by all means, Trustees, please step -- feel free to step in, the concept has been approved in our master plan. So, the idea of housing on the University is not something that the Trustees object to. We, in fact, support that.

We're the only University that has such approval for such housing. I mean for a master plan like that in D.C.

I think where the concern was was are the factors there to justify the housing at this time and several Trustees had expressed concern about seeing a growth in student population. Because until we see a stabilization, if you will, in increases in the student population both at the community college and at the flagship, there was real concern about moving forward with concrete steps or incurring costs to bring about that

authority to go forward, it's just like you're bringing us a piece of it. Really, it's a whole package and the whole package you're telling me that it's going to cost us to work with the developer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

How much is -- what are we talking about? How much of our budget are we attacking?

Those are the reasons why I was supportive of not closing the door. I wanted to see the whole package. How much the projected cost would be. Your best guess. Not what it's going to be for real, but your best professional guess as a total cost to The different phases initiate this project. of the project. So, that we could begin to look at it in a realistic term and then we could decide whether or not we're prepared to go now and if we decide to go at a later time, we will have foundation information to make a better informed decision. But, we needed data.

Just saying that we want to have it and a survey that we did to see about the current situation is still not enough to jump fully into it, but if we say no, it stops the consideration and that's what I was arguing against. Is stopping the consideration until we got a solid plan of what was going to happen if and when we did housing.

The display of the models that were demonstrated was fine, but I didn't see any dollar signs. I don't recall any dollar signs. Let me say it that way because I know your team does it job. Okay.

CHAIR BELL: And if I could just jump in on that because I may not have made it clear. I understand that you have to spend money in order to help realize this. So, it's not that there can't be any cost incurred.

Let the record reflect that Trustee Askew has come into the room.

Happy New Year, Trustee Askew.

So, we understand that cost will

have to be incurred in order to help realize the vision and to lay the framework. So, in that respect, I'm in full agreement with what Trustee Shelton has said.

Just the narrow part with respect to the Strategic Plan, I think that there was a sense that it was too much information in there about the housing because as a concept we supported the housing, but in the plan, it talked about whether we would do HBCU fund, whether we'd go to Department of Education or what have you and we didn't feel that all of that needed to be in there.

We still said that housing should be in there, but it was to include it from the perspective that when the conditions are right, we would do it as opposed to kind of talking about the nuts and bolts of housing and how it was financed because we didn't think that necessarily that needed to be in the plan.

202-234-4433

But, I don't want you to think

that there's any disagreement among the Board about housing. What the disagreement is I think or what the concern is is making sure that we've got the student population there that will help the housing.

But, doing the studies, getting the support, talking about where it would be satisfying requirements to the housing, I think that's all part and parcel of getting to that point for the housing.

Trustee Felton.

TRUSTEE FELTON: Yes, I actually

-- I'm not sure if I participated in the

discussion during the retreat, but I thought

there were some discussions we had about

what's really going to drive this are the

financial package options that are available.

So, to me, it was just as important to say

yes, sure we're interested in housing, but,

you know, what's the likelihood of the kind of

financial packages that we need to be

concerned with because we're not -- it's not

1 like just go buy another building.

I thought we were looking at -and I can't, sorry, remember the name of what
you call this thing, but it's -- you know, we
have the lay-in and we work a deal with the
private sector and they build it and you have
a X number of year lease and I mean so we're
looking for like what are some of the ways
that we could do this that would be -- have at
least a financial impact and I think the more
of that kind of information the more
comfortable a Board Member feels as opposed to
saying well, let's go for it regardless of
whatever it costs.

CHAIR BELL: I think also --

TRUSTEE FELTON: That was my

17 recollection.

CHAIR BELL: Right and it had been pointed out that it was -- it should be revenue neutral because --

TRUSTEE FELTON: Yes.

CHAIR BELL: -- we had pointed out

MS. JUMPER: What I'm asking is understanding that the Board to move this forward would be basically blessing an investment that may not be a minor investment, to actually go to the next step, to provide you that level of detail.

17

18

19

20

21

22

I do want to recall or remind. I want to be very careful how I choose my words.

preliminary pro forma of what it would involve and we have had the opportunity to share that. It is cost neutral. It is, however, without the next step of knowing what that financial scheme would be.

But, what has been presented today has spoken to a cost neutral. In fact, a revenue generating opportunity if we were to look into 307 beds. That's what this document speaks to. Which I won't -- unless you want a copy of it. But, it did speak to covering all of our cost and, in fact, being an upside position of basically earning revenue.

The next step, however, the reason
I'm raising this is I just want to be clear as
we move forward that from what I heard at the
last meeting that we have the blessing of the
Board to move forward with now a formal
solicitation to look into the next step which

1 will be a partnership.

We've not and never have presented a scenario where the University would incur the cost. That we would actually enter into a development relationship. The developer, in fact, would most likely take on the burden financially, but working through the University and the City, the City would have to bless this scenario because we don't have the ability to do our own financing. The City would have to bless that.

so, we're -- basically, before we go in that direction, it's imperative that we understand that the Board is comfortable with us moving because this now, one, exposes us publicly with a formal solicitation and we want to make sure that we're doing it in good faith and that it's not done just to -- for an exercise. That is it -- so, that's a concern I had.

CHAIR BELL: Okay.

MS. JUMPER: Okay.

	Page 21
1	CHAIR BELL: And it's hard to say
2	I'm sorry. Trustee Askew, do you want to
3	go?
4	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Well, so, we're
5	having a conversation about whether or not
6	it's okay to go ahead with a formal
7	solicitation. Is that correct?
8	MS. JUMPER: I was seeking
9	clarification of what I heard from the last
10	Board meeting as it relates to campus housing
11	and what we perceive as the next step to be is
12	a solicitation and
13	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Right.
14	MS. JUMPER: whether or not if
15	we moved in that direction would that be
16	viewed as in opposition of what the Board's
17	thinking as of right now.
18	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Oh, so, there's a
19	question there.
20	MS. JUMPER: It's a question.
21	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Okay. Right. So,
22	it's a discussion. Right. Right.

1 About it.

PRESIDENT LYONS: And, Mr.

Chairman, we want to be sure that as we make

these next steps that, you know, the Board is

beyond those steps.

I mean the solicitation is one,
but if we're going to sit down with the State
Department and go through that discussion with
them and talk about whether the facility needs
to be moved further to the east or further to
the west and really get into that, going down
talking to the folks in the Wilson Building,
we don't want there to be any misunderstanding
about why we're doing and moving in that
direction.

And we at least want to be sure that we have the blessing of the Board to continue moving forward. Because if we don't, then there's no need to go through all of that scheduling meetings with the State Department and invoking the D.C. Government and doing the solicitation.

So, we're just trying -- because we were not certain when we left. We all have been hanging on that quote of keep on doing what you're doing. I won't say who mentioned that. Said that, but since the meeting, I mean we all -- and when we got back here we found out that everybody had a different definition and understanding of keep on doing what you're doing, you know.

So, that's why we wanted to come back and get some clarification.

CHAIR BELL: It's a difficult question and I see why you guys are having some confusion about this. I mean I will say again I think the Board of Trustees supports housing.

What I don't know if there's uniformity about is the time frame for that housing.

So, you could go in and do your feasibility studies. You can engage in an RFP with a developer, but I don't know if when

that's all done if the circumstances on the ground will align such that the Board would get to the point where they would say yes.

So, I do think you need to -because of enrollment. I think that's the big
factor.

So, I do think that you have to do those basic steps, but what I can't tell you is that, you know, after there's an RFP for a developer and a developer comes in and they do their work, then once they're done they say okay, we're ready and if the enrollment is still stagnant or if it's still the same, I don't think that you're going to find support among the Board to do the housing at that time. It doesn't mean that they don't support housing, but they don't think that the factors align.

So, yes, you should do housing. I actually -- I'm not even sure that I even view this as something that needs to come to the Facilities Committee at this point because

we've said that we're committed to housing.

I view this as an operational issue. This is what you do to run your business. You do studies. You do feasibility. You review. You get the facts together on the ground.

engage in with your developer is over X amount of dollars, well, then obviously, the Board is going to have to approve it. But, I mean this is a day-to-day business operation that involves long-term planning for housing on the University and what I'm reluctant to say is that by hiring this developer once that developer is done, everything will align for the housing.

Yes, I don't know that it will. I don't know that it will be there three years or five years. Maybe it will be. I don't know.

But, if you hire that developer and they do their work and come up with the

architectural plans and we've satisfied the

State Department, but, in fact, the enrollment
is exactly the same or if it's even less, I

don't think housing's going to go anywhere at
that time. That would just be my thinking.

Because I think we'd want to see that there is evidence that it could be sustained, the housing and that there's a population for that housing. I could be wrong. I don't know, but I feel like you're asking, you know, once we go down this road, you know, that you're --

MS. JUMPER: No, I'm not asking that, Trustee Bell.

CHAIR BELL: Okay. Okay.

MS. JUMPER: I'm not.

CHAIR BELL: Okay. So -- okay.

MS. JUMPER: My question is the next sequence of events based on what has been done to date because we've spent a sizable amount of money. We've spent a lot of time on this issue and from what I heard in the last

Board meeting, it suggested that we could proceed as we have been.

But, yet, there's always been -the question is the next step should logically
be a solicitation. The solicitation will
likely be some cost to incur. I can't tell
you and I don't know if my team can tell me at
this point what that will entail.

I also now that the next logical step beyond that is to engage stakeholders from the City.

My concern is to have that level of detailed discussion without some affirmation for that specific dialogue from the Board and I think that's a reasonable question that I think would be helpful as we move forward.

Not to say that we're looking for a firm commitment. Because clearly, the outcome will dictate how we move forward.

Clearly, the enrollment will be determined and possibly could be an element for attracting

students if we could say we're moving in that
direction.

So, I'm not looking for that level of, you know, finality. I'm looking at an affirmation to proceed and I think I'm hearing you say we can.

But, it would be helpful to me,
just so there's no ambiguity as we move
forward, to know that that's how the Board is
seeing us moving forward in a still
exploratory mode. But, there is potentially
cost to be incurred and a broader audience to
be engaged with the City's involvement. So,
that's really the issue.

You with me?

CHAIR BELL: I'm with you. I'm with you.

TRUSTEE FELTON: I was going to say, you know, it seems to me and maybe not, but it seems to me what the -- what they're asking for is sort of a little more specifics about what moving forward means. So, that it

says yes, reaffirm our support for housing.

We believe that we should do X which might

mean solicitations. We believe there should

be discussions with stakeholders.

I mean it seems to me it has to be a little more than the one sentence because I could see that the concern would be as soon as somebody who, you know, outside of these walls, disagrees, you know, are we going to then say oh, well, let's reconsider. Because it wasn't clear that you could take four steps. It was only clear that you could take one step and I think that's a hard way to do business.

So, either we have to be very clear about how far she can go or it seems to me it's not worth taking that first step.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: How far are we to go?

MS. JUMPER: I mean I've laid out the way I see it. Perhaps there's a different opinion of the Board.

expanded. So, we haven't been stagnant in my

22

1 view. So, we've been building on this.

We're also as a part of that gathering data. Right? The demand and all this other kind of stuff.

For me, I'm cautious about moving too fast or too aggressively. Because when you say the cost of the solicitation and again, we don't know that today. So, how could we make a decision on whether solicitation is the way to go because we don't know what the financial cost is.

The solicitation because we don't

-- we're not clear on what that plan is. I'm

not sure today at least on what would be

included in the solicitation. Are we going or

is the solicitation to build 200 rooms, then

300 rooms? I don't know that.

TRUSTEE FELTON: The scope.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: What's the scope?

I don't know that.

MS. JUMPER: The scope is the same as it has always been. It was based on the

	Page 32
1	original approval from the master plan of 600
2	beds in phases of 200 beds per. So, there
3	would be three buildings. Based on the model
4	that was shown to the Board, it was three
5	different buildings each having 200 beds per.
6	So, it would gradually come on
7	board as we have demand and that's what was
8	the original plan.
9	I mean we yes.
10	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Didn't we
11	approve that?
12	MS. JUMPER: No, sir, we did not.
13	TRUSTEE SHELTON: At no point did
14	we approve I'm sorry.
15	MS. JUMPER: It was never
16	approved.
17	TRUSTEE SHELTON: At no point did
18	we approve the model that
19	MS. JUMPER: I don't recall any
20	approval. I will say we didn't ask for an
21	approval. I think it got to a point
22	TRUSTEE SHELTON: You just made

1 the presentation.

MS. JUMPER: The presentation was made and it kind of got off into some other issues. So, we didn't get to a real discussion on that.

But, that was not the final design. The reality is at some point -TRUSTEE SHELTON: Those are just schematics. Yes.

MS. JUMPER: -- we came out to get the State Department's. I didn't really intend to spend all day on this discussion, but it is a valid and it's a real necessary discussion for me and my team in terms of how to proceed.

The State Department we can't, you know, forget that there's a very serious element there that needs to see something in order to say, in fact, we bless it being on our campus because they have that -- or they believe at this point they have that authority and we have made a commitment to give them a

1 design to look at.

So, we are at a place where I

don't have a design to give them. I can show

them the schematic, but that doesn't give them

the comfort that it does address the security

concerns.

So, the bottom line is how do you as the Facilities Committee see us proceeding based on the most recent vote as it relates to housing to answer the issues for the State Department and the community?

In a couple of days, we have a meeting with them. They're going to ask questions about housing.

So, just help me navigate that so we will know how to move forward in answering those questions.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: So, for me, you know, again, I mean I -- and I think that there's so many different moving pieces to this in making this decision, you know. So, now, that, you know, we have an understanding

of the scope, for me, I guess the question
just becomes -- you know, because if there's
finances involved I mean again, you know, we
got to look at the timing of this and other
things that we're doing and again, I guess
whatever the cost of the solicitation will be.

You know, even though I think the State Department and the community are things that I think we could care for, they're not mandating that we do something right now that would cost us -- that makes us want to -- we have to run out and just throw out some money to do something that we're not financially in a position to do.

And so, again, everybody supports student housing. I support doing it, but I support doing it in a way that we have some level of comfort that we're not having to take two more steps back because we continue to take -- you know, we do something and then all of a sudden we got to take two or three more steps back to deal with the issue.

The student housing will get built. The builder will build it. He'll finance it.

But, at the end of the day, we're going to be left on the hook for filling those rooms and that really does have to go to enrollment and right now, we don't know what enrollment is. We know that the law school -- I mean I'm getting -- and it's not anywhere near what was projected last year, the year before that and it's a small portion.

But, there are some certainties.

I think there's a little bit more comfort in what those numbers are going to look like based upon what's happening nationally.

So, I'm in full support of student housing, but I'm -- you know, it's just the timing and making sure that we are in a situation that if we do this, you know, we're not looking at ourselves, you know, two/three years down the road saying oh, wow, why did we do this? We got this building. It's built.

serious manner, but I mean how long are we going to talk about this and I'm not sure we're any further along today than we were when I arrived. Well, yes, we are because we had a demonstration and we've seen a model and so forth.

But, at what point -- I guess, you know, we want to bring some things to closure.

I mean I'm getting ready to ask you about 801, you know, I mean and this is the easy one right here. You know, start talking about 801.

I mean I -- but, I mean, you know, how do we move? We got to be concerned about the numbers and I'm hearing and I'm understanding at least more now because of the enrollment, how the enrollment and we talked about that in our meeting this morning. The importance of enrollment.

So, the fact that our enrollment
-- overall enrollment is not moving up gives
you some concern about whether we'll fill the

beds. I mean that's legitimate. I mean that makes sense and it helps us understand.

But, there are some things if
we're going to make this happen we need to
move. There are some people we need to talk
to and do some things.

Like I said, we did not want you to pick up the paper and hear we've met with the folks from the State Department and having these conversations and have someone come back and say wait a minute. You know, why are you all over there talking to the State Department. Getting them upset. We never did such and such.

So, we need to move ahead. I think this is a great project.

You know, 200 beds, I guess I don't see that as a problem. I guess I'm thinking about the 600 and how we bring the second two buildings on line, but the first building with the 200 beds, I guess to me that doesn't -- I don't see that as a major issue.

The question that I have, you know, as we talked to bring in a partner, et cetera, you know, is how can we phase this in a way that doesn't commit us to 600 beds if we've only got enough to fill 400 beds, you know.

So, but those are things that you discuss with the partners when they come in and put paper to pencil and that's going to cost. I mean you don't know right now what the cost will be, but I don't how we can avoid that and move forward the project. I mean I think we're there, you know, and that has to be the next step.

No one is rushing us to do this, but people are asking us questions. You know, how long are you going to talk about it?

We're talking to students. I
think that the absence of housing and some may
disagree with that. I think that the option
of actually having on-campus housing may take
care of one or two of the pieces of this

element. I mean because we do -- it's anecdotal and I know that some were not convinced that the surveys we did for the previous presentation -- old water. I'm not -- you know, some of you all thought they were kind of shaky to be truthful, but, you know, I think just anecdotally we know.

I got three new students right now
I met on the red line, you know, who are at
Virginia State and would have been here by
their own testimony had we had housing. Well,
we can't market that.

But, I asked them sitting on the Metro why are you all carrying book bags from Virginia State? We wanted to stay close to home, but we wanted to be in a residential environment. So, we didn't even apply to UDC. They applied to Trinity, Howard and Virginia State. You know, so, you know --

CHAIR BELL: I think we need to have more discussion because, you know, I don't see any contraction between what you and

1 Joseph said, you know, and so --

PRESIDENT LYONS: And I wasn't implying that.

CHAIR BELL: No. No, but -- no.

No, but I mean you're just saying but how long are we going to keep talking about this. We need to move and what I heard Joseph saying was we want to move, but we're just -- we're leery of the expenses.

So, in my mind, you go out and you get the studies. I mean I understand that it's going to cost dollars in order to do this. I don't think the Board ever said that you can't spend money to do this. I mean this is part of the operations. This is what you do as facilities and as part of your work, you're going out. You're doing studies.

You're reviewing. Stuff like that.

But, if at the end of those studies, what I'm concerned about is that people think well, okay, now that these studies are all done, we're ready to go and

I'm just saying I'm not sure that at the end of those studies we will be ready to go. We may be, but I'm not sure that we will.

So, I mean I think that you should go out and get an RFP and seek developers and designers to come on. I have no problem with that. I think that that's important stuff to do.

But, while that's going on,
hopefully, enrollment is going up and other
things are being addressed. Because what I'd
hate to see is that headline that says the
University is continuing to slip in
enrollment, is losing program certification
and now wants to build 200 dorms. You know,
it's a difficult thing to reconcile when we're
got all these competing tangents. But, I
absolutely -- tangents.

But, I absolutely think that we should be moving forward progressing with housing and I don't think anyone has said that you shouldn't. I guess you're just -- your

	Page 44
1	concern is about just how committed we are?
2	MS. JUMPER: No. No. I want to
3	be very, very clear of what I've put on the
4	table and want to definitely not suggested
5	that you are saying that anything other than
6	I'm seeking clarification of how to
7	proceed. It's pure and simple as that.
8	CHAIR BELL: But, what do you need
9	the Board to tell you to proceed? Because
LO	MS. JUMPER: Because we have to go
L1	to parties outside of the University.
L2	CHAIR BELL: Right.
L3	MS. JUMPER: Now having concrete
L 4	discussions with stakeholders in the District
L5	and
L6	CHAIR BELL: Right.
L7	MS. JUMPER: Joe, you know very
L8	well that means a lot now. That's a different
L9	issue. When you start having discussions, it
20	means it's more it's very close to being
21	real.
22	CHAIR BELL: Right.

1 MS. JUMPER: Okay. So, we don't
2 have those discussions --

CHAIR BELL: Yes, but you go to the State Department and you talk to the City. We'd like to have housing ideally in three to five years. This is what I think we're going to need. We want to get a developer. This is what we're looking at. I have no problem with any of that.

I think that you can do that.

MS. JUMPER: Okay.

CHAIR BELL: But, if you're going to the State Department and saying we're going to have housing by 2017, that might be a check that we can't cash because we don't know what the enrollment's going to look like.

But, I have no problem with you right now going to the State Department. We have a master plan that's been approved that says we're going to put housing. This is the location of the housing. This is what we're thinking about the housing. This is what we

want to do. We've got a developer here that's got these schematics for us to look at.

I don't think anybody would object to that because that's not obligating the University in a way that, you know, if the facts on the ground don't align that we can't do it.

So, I think you can talk to the City. I think you can talk to the State

Department. I think you can do your RFP.

That's part of doing facilities for the

University.

But, if, you know, six months from now, you were come up, and I know you wouldn't, but six months, you're going to say okay, we've got a proposal to build 200 units. Board we need your approval. You said you were on board. I'm going to be like no, that's not what we were on line with unless that we know that the enrollment and other factors were there to help support that. So, that you have to do the due diligence to get

	Page 47	
1	there.	
2	So, I mean are we talking over	
3	each other or no?	
4	MS. JUMPER: I'm just	
5	clarification, Trustee.	
6	CHAIR BELL: But, I'm trying to	
7	clarify. Is it that	
8	MS. JUMPER: I think I've heard	
9	that you want us to proceed. So, I think	
10	we're clear that you're saying proceed and	
11	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Formal	
12	discussion at this level should be sufficient	
13	to go. This is the Committee that would make	
14	the terminal recommendation. So, the	
15	Committee is	
16	MS. JUMPER: That's all I'm	
17	seeking.	
18	TRUSTEE SHELTON: clearly	
19	and by its not saying something formally,	
20	gives you your professional authority to go	
21	forward I thought.	
22	TRUSTEE ASKEW: That's a	

	Page 48
1	MS. JUMPER: I don't know about
2	CHAIR BELL: But, I mean to your
3	point, Barbara, I would not be concerned if a
4	week from now I read even if we didn't have
5	this discussion, if I read UDC officials are
6	talking with the State Department about
7	housing in the future. That doesn't concern
8	me.
9	If you went out and said UDC
10	official talks with, you know, \$10 million
11	investment for housing that's going to happen
12	on I'd be like, Barbara, you really
13	shouldn't have said that.
14	But, you can go and talk about
15	housing with the State Department or with the
16	City Council and feasibility of the study.
17	That's what you're suppose to do.
18	TRUSTEE FELTON: But, my concern,
19	you know, is that we cannot control what is
20	said about the meetings.
21	CHAIR BELL: Right.

TRUSTEE FELTON: We know what our

22

	Page 49	
1	intent is.	
2	CHAIR BELL: Right.	
3	TRUSTEE FELTON: We know what our	
4	intent is	
5	CHAIR BELL: Right.	
6	TRUSTEE FELTON: by going into	
7	the meetings.	
8	CHAIR BELL: Sure.	
9	TRUSTEE FELTON: But, if from the	
10	meeting, they interpret or misinterpret that,	
11	you know, UDC Board is ready to commit to a	
12	600-bed residential, you know, living, what	
13	does that mean?	
14	I mean maybe what we should say is	
15	exactly what your restriction is.	
16	CHAIR BELL: Well, but, I mean we	
17	can't control what people are interpreting.	
18	TRUSTEE FELTON: No. No. No, but	
19	I'm saying you can say	
20	CHAIR BELL: I mean no matter	
21	what	
22	TRUSTEE FELTON: that where we	

you might need some additional authority.

22

1 But, again, that's my thought.

MS. JUMPER: I appreciate clarification because that has not always been my understanding how we were going to proceed with this. So, that's why I'm seeking that.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: Again,
discussion in the minutes gives you, you know,
supportive documentation if you will -- I'm
sorry. The minutes of our discussion -- okay.

CHAIR BELL: Go ahead, Trustee.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: No, again,
that's where I am. What can we do to give her
the assurance short of the Board of Trustees
making a formal vote? Unless that's what's
required.

CHAIR BELL: Trustee Askew.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: Well, I mean, you know, again, conversations. You do have to be cautious about some of these conversations.

Because I'm going to tell you right now when you go to some of these members at the Council and you mention you want to go through -- you

want housing and you got financial enrollment problems, you're going to get a negative reaction. I already know three right not who are going to blow that -- who are going to exacerbate that to a level.

And right now, given at least from the legislative side, I mean we don't have a lot of friends. All right. Nobody's running to protect us.

All I'm saying is, you know, again, you know, to Chris's point, I just think you just got to -- I'm not -- we're not saying -- I'm not even saying not to do that. What I'm saying is that we have to be very clear on what's the basis and why we're coming in and what we said. Because if it is interpreted or if it's communicated in a different way, it's going to create -- it could potentially create some, you know, public attention that we really don't need.

Because the reality of the matter is that, you know, we have a Master Plan as

you said that's been approved. presented kind of what you thought the process should be as we, you know, go about our Master Plan. You know, I am feeling, you know, a little bit more comfortable now and particularly about the discussion about the potential idea of a solicitation though I would want to know what the cost associated with that is and whether or not it's pulling from something else that is of value for the University and again, I don't know what the number would be at all. I mean I don't know what the range would be. So, I can't even begin to guess what that would be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, I mean -- in the State

Department, I mean again I'm with, you know,

Chairman Bell on this. I don't know -- and

particularly talking to that stakeholder

because it's not like they're going to -- I

don't know that they will jump out. We

haven't seen them just jump out and just start

talking about a conversation that we've had

with them about various things in the past.
So, I don't necessarily --

MS. JUMPER: They've had some discussions with us.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: Right. Typically, right, it is -- I thought that that was a discussion that's usually a little bit more contained than it would be once you start having conversations with public officials and particularly at a time when some of them are running and what they will try to do to gain some points.

So, for me, you know, if it's just okay, you know, is it all right to go out for solicitation, for me, okay, I'm open to that.

We want to know what the numbers are.

You know, if it's about talking to people, again, I just think you just got to be very clear and I don't know how you structure that to make sure that you're very clear.

I'm supportive of that and I think most of the Committee Members are supportive

of that and I think from what you said that gives at least a little bit more guidance because you didn't know whether or not the solicitation was okay.

MS. JUMPER: Yes, this does help.

This is a very helpful discussion. So, I do

-- I will table my concerns.

I think I've heard that the consensus is that I have authority to proceed with the solicitation with an understanding that we're seeking a developer partnership.

The costs associated with it if it meets the threshold would come back before this

Committee anyway. If not, I will certainly make sure our Chair's mindful of the cost associated with it. Most likely will be out of capital that it would be funded.

I have no idea what that dollar value is. I have no idea. I'm looking at -- anybody have any idea? But, we will certainly let you know as we proceed.

As it relates to engaging

Page 56 1 stakeholders, that will be some time down the 2 road. We don't have to do that immediately 3 because we would take our partner to those 4 meetings. 5 So, that would be probably realistically a nine-month period probably by 6 7 the time we do the solicitation and make a 8 selection and have somebody on board. 9 Sherry, I'm looking at you. 10 But, I think that's a safe range 11 to work within before we actually have a 12 dialogue with stakeholders downtown with 13 details. 14 TRUSTEE ASKEW: Yes, but before you do the solicitation, you'll come back to 15 16 the Committee so we'll know what it's going to 17 cost -- what it's going to cost to put out the 18 RFP of the solicitation. Right? 19 MS. JUMPER: If that's your 20 I mean I thought only if it's a request. 21 million dollars.

CHAIR BELL: Yes, I mean if it

22

	Page 57
1	exceeds I mean this is a business activity.
2	Right. Unless it exceeds I don't want us
3	to be
4	MS. JUMPER: Yes. We don't
5	normally do that.
6	TRUSTEE ASKEW: I don't know what
7	the number is.
8	CHAIR BELL: Yes.
9	MS. JUMPER: If it will be if
10	it's a million dollars
11	CHAIR BELL: You got to come back.
12	MS. JUMPER: we would well,
13	it's \$4 million technically because of the
14	capital use of capital dollars we would
15	come back. If anything under that, we
16	technically do not have that requirement to
17	come back before the Board.
18	If you're asking that we do in
19	this instance, I think we could make a
20	exception obviously.
21	I don't know if that's what you're
22	asking, but the threshold suggests it would

1 have to be	e over \$4 million.
--------------	---------------------

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

TRUSTEE ASKEW: Well, again, I'd like to know what that number is. I'm not actually looking for a -- I'm not looking for necessarily approval.

6 MS. JUMPER: We can provide that.
7 Um-hum. Sure. I understand.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: But, I am looking to at least be able to say -- to know what that number -- what the number is.

MS. JUMPER: That's fair.

PRESIDENT LYONS: We could let you know. We could let you know what the amount is. The amount, you know --

MS. JUMPER: Yes, I understand.

We could certainly. Definitely. No problem.

17 TRUSTEE SHELTON: And again, as a
18 Committee as we meet, information sessions.

MS. JUMPER: Absolutely.

TRUSTEE SHELTON: Information to the Board. This -- you know, we look at a color scheme. I mean, you know, I have no

Page 59 1 idea professionally what's entitled, but we've 2 done this on the project. 3 MS. JUMPER: Okay. 4 TRUSTEE SHELTON: We belong this 5 way. 6 PRESIDENT LYONS: As long as we're 7 not sitting at a Board meeting debating color 8 schemes. 9 TRUSTEE SHELTON: You know, I 10 don't expect to do that. I just --11 PRESIDENT LYONS: Then we're all 12 in trouble. 13 CHAIR BELL: No, I mean and that's 14 one of the things that, you know, I am mindful I don't want the Board to be accused of 15 16 micromanaging. 17 So, and the other thing that I 18 want to make clear and hopefully that's coming 19 through, although the University's in a difficult time right now, we cannot stop 20 21 thinking about the future and where we want to 22 be and how we're going to get ourselves there

on it, we did respond to 801 in some fashion through the Strategic Plan in terms of some

21

22

1 recommendations for consideration.

One of the recent questions we had from a not-to-be-named trustee is what is the status of 801? We had a trustee -- one of the Trustees asked the question of me to respond to the status of 801 North Capitol and I'm not sure how to respond to that.

recommendations made. One was, in fact -well, there are several factions. One, move
some faction of the community college to
Backus to free up space. One was to backfill
some of that space with incubator businesses.
There was discussion, but not actual
recommendation to move everyone back to the
campus here. One, time prohibitive. Cost
prohibitive perhaps in the short term.

So, not that it wasn't thought about. It's just as we calculate it will be at a minimum \$11 million dollar venture.

There is so many moving parts to doing that. I guess we would like to have at

more dialogue. Maybe not tonight. But, how we proceed with that discussion. But --

PRESIDENT LYONS: Time to --

MS. JUMPER: No. No, Dr. Lyons.

Not at all. But, that was my reason for putting this on the agenda. Not to certainly take that away from Dr. Lyons.

I'm sorry, Dr. Lyons.

PRESIDENT LYONS: No, I'm glad you put it on the agenda because there's another wrinkle to this.

At the last meeting, we were -when we had the discussion about athletics and
we were directed to find \$4 million. In the
event that athletics is not eliminated, how
would we fill that finance gap and in order to
do that, we developed some options.

We used the work that Facilities had done and shared a draft with the Chair.

I shared a draft with the Chair just to say here's what our thinking is. Here's where

	Page 63		
1	we're heading and the question came up about		
2	the fact that we concluded that 801 would not		
3	be a part of the \$4 million backfill because		
4	of the time that it would take to address that		
5	and in my conversation with the Chair, you		
6	know, we		
7	CHAIR BELL: You mean like		
8	PRESIDENT LYONS: Yes.		
9	CHAIR BELL: Okay.		
10	PRESIDENT LYONS: I'm sorry.		
11	CHAIR BELL: I was thinking the		
12	chair		
13	PRESIDENT LYONS: No. No.		
14	I'm sorry. I apologize.		
15	CHAIR BELL: Okay. You mean the		
16	real Chair.		
17	PRESIDENT LYONS: In my		
18	conversation with		
19	CHAIR BELL: Okay.		
20	PRESIDENT LYONS: with Dr.		
21	Crider		
22	CHAIR BELL: The important chair.		

1 Okay.

PRESIDENT LYONS: The question

came up well, you know, we've never really

decided and it's another one of these

questions, you know, we keep talking about 801

and so, when we concluded that that probably

would not be an item in the \$4 million

backfill and we said well, there are reasons

for that.

First of all, the Board has never formally decided that we ought to move the community college back to Van Ness. Okay.

That's the first thing.

CHAIR BELL: Um-hum.

PRESIDENT LYONS: Secondly, if the Board votes and decides to move the community college back to Van Ness, we would need to do a number of things.

One, we would need to talk to the U.S. Department of Education and probably ask them to waive their policy that a branch is geographically separate. Okay. Because

	Page 65	
1	that's the definition now of a branch. That	
2	it's geographically separate. So	
3	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Now, let me just	
4	before you get past that point, we've asked	
5	that question before.	
6	PRESIDENT LYONS: Okay.	
7	TRUSTEE ASKEW: And asked your	
8	predecessor.	
9	PRESIDENT LYONS: Okay.	
10	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Said when he	
11	asked, the answer was is that it would be	
12	okay. Because he's the one that presented the	
13	first right sizing and it was presented. The	
14	Board did make a the Board did the first	
15	exercise.	
16	PRESIDENT LYONS: The Board voted	
17	to move it.	
18	TRUSTEE ASKEW: The Board it	
19	was included in the	
20	CHAIR BELL: Right-sizing plan.	
21	TRUSTEE ASKEW: plan. The	
22	right-sizing plan.	

1 PRESIDENT LYONS: Okay.

CHAIR BELL: The question was asked and also, I don't know if it was asked of the --

TRUSTEE ASKEW: And he did ask and he said -- and they said he asked specifically if we were to move it to back right here as long as it was in a separate building like we -- and this --

CHAIR BELL: Could have it's own postal address.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: Yes. He said -- what the Board was told that they said that that would be fine.

CHAIR BELL: And we also asked

Middle States about -- well, we asked Middle

States about it and then the consultants that

we had from Dow Lohmes who were formerly of

Middle States had also thought that the

geographical requirement was met if it was an

isolated building capable of having it's own

postal address.

Page 67 1 So, I don't know if they went to 2 the Department of Education. PRESIDENT LYONS: 3 Well, I 4 appreciate the feedback because the thing that 5 made me conclude that we needed to go to the USDE was because when I talked to the folk at 6 7 Middle States --8 CHAIR BELL: Yes. 9 PRESIDENT LYONS: -- they said 10 well, we would have to get the USDE to waive 11 in a sense that requirement. So, my 12 assumption is that if it had already been --13 the request had already been made, Middle 14 States would have known something about it and 15 we wouldn't have it. 16 So, that's why --17 TRUSTEE ASKEW: And just let me 18 because I'm not clear on --19 PRESIDENT LYONS: Yes. 20 TRUSTEE ASKEW: -- whether he 21 asked Middle States or the U.S. Department of 22 Education.

1	PRESIDENT	LYONS:	Okay.
---	-----------	--------	-------

TRUSTEE ASKEW: But, I know he went to one and again, I mean, you know, the people have changed over at Middle States as well.

PRESIDENT LYONS: Sure. Sure.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: So, he may have went to Middle States and gotten an answer, but now, as you know, the people have changed and their strategies and the way they look at institutions have changed as well.

PRESIDENT LYONS: Okay.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: So.

PRESIDENT LYONS: So, for the sake of discussion then, there would have to be that approval. U.S. Department of Ed would have to agree then that if we moved here, moved into our own building, had a separate mailing address, et cetera, et cetera that they would be comfortable with that and that we would not loose the branch status.

I indicated to Dr. Crider we'd

also have to deal with the numbers. So, this
Vice President has projected that it could
cost as much as \$11 million to move the
community college back, to renovate space for
them, to renovate space for the people you'd
have to move out of building 44 into their new
location, et cetera, et cetera. So, we had to
cost that out and identify where that money
would come from.

Then we would have to deal with the City in terms of -- well, we'd have to deal with the owner of the building. I'm sorry. We'd have to deal with the owner of the building because right now we're the tenant and if we move out, we're still obligated to pay the rent.

So, there would have to be some agreement with the owner of the building to allow us to move, to find somebody else to come in and lease the space and/or we would have to go to the City and see if they would move another state agency into the building

freeing us from the lease, et cetera, et cetera.

So, that is why we didn't feel that this would be timely enough to be a part of the backfill for the 4 million that -- you know, I won't call the trustee's name either who said you all can surely find 4 million in your budget, you know, and we're looking, but that isn't one of the options because that could take who knows how many years and we don't control it.

So, and the dollars that we need to move the Strategic Plan forward, we need to identify sooner than later so that we can pump them into a program that you heard about earlier. The kinds of things that we wanted to do. So, I did not --

CHAIR BELL: Well, of those three, the one that concerns me the most is the cost. Because the Department of Education is dealing with HBCUs that are struggling and they're trying to do it.

So, I mean it seems like to me if
we were to talk with them and explain to them
the financial situation of the University and
how this can help to facilitate and articulate
a pathway where those community college
students could then go to the four-year
university or would perhaps be more likely and
that we'd have shared cost that would be
reduced as a result of that.

I think -- I don't know what's in the waiver process, but I would think that there's a lot of factors that align for us that would help us out and I don't know that this is the first request. There are others that are doing it. So, that one hopefully we'd be able to address.

With respect to the lease, I mean the landlord can only go by what's in the lease and we have the right to assign it to another agency. We'd have to find that agency, but we have the right to assign it to an agency and then if it's a non-agency --

but, it's reasonable approval. So, they -- I
mean they can't be arbitrary or capricious.

They can't condition it. It's a reasonable
approval.

And then if it's a non-government agency, I think -- actually, I think it's for a non-agency they have approval. Right? I think if it's another government agency, I thought we could do it.

Now, that doesn't mean we can produce a government agency. You know, you could try to assign and sublet as well.

So, I think that there's options with respect to the lease.

Finding \$11 million, you know, that's the harder one. I mean we have what? Seventeen years left on that lease, you know.

And when this was first talked about, it was about \$8 million in savings. It was the 5 million from 801. Then it was P.R. Harris and then it was Backus and it wasn't leaving the wards where we were at with those

	Page 74
1	MS. JUMPER: It's 17-year lease.
2	So, two years already been a year. So.
3	TRUSTEE ASKEW: And it's costing
4	how many a year how much a year? Five
5	million?
6	MS. JUMPER: It's 5 million
7	overall.
8	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Yes.
9	MS. JUMPER: That's why
10	TRUSTEE ASKEW: So, I mean that's
11	a yes, so, I mean but you did preface it by
12	saying the short term. You did say that.
13	MS. JUMPER: Pardon me.
14	TRUSTEE ASKEW: You did say short
15	term. You said \$11 million. It would cost us
16	\$11 million in the short term. That would be
17	the exact
18	MS. JUMPER: That's what we're
19	projecting, but
20	TRUSTEE ASKEW: on the short
21	term, but the long term, you know, by staying
22	in it, I mean that's

Page 75 1 CHAIR BELL: You'd spend far more. 2 TRUSTEE ASKEW: -- it's an expense and does it escalate any more than what it is 3 4 today? 5 MS. JUMPER: Yes. 6 TRUSTEE ASKEW: So, then it gets 7 -- so, then it gets -- it gets higher than 8 that. Yes. 9 CHAIR BELL: So, Jim, were you 10 looking for just -- were you raising the issue 11 or do you -- is this something that you think 12 we need to talk about more in the Executive 13 Committee or something like that? 14 PRESIDENT LYONS: Well, I think 15 that, you know, this keeps coming up, you 16 know, in all of our meetings. I mean it keeps 17 coming up 801. Move 801 back. Move 801 back 18 and I didn't know that the Board had ever 19 taken action to do that and certainly, if 20 you're going to start down that path, we would 21 need, in fact, the authority and direction

from the Board.

22

This is what we want to do and this has nothing to do with the politics. We haven't even -- I hadn't even gotten into that. I'm not even talking about the politics.

6 CHAIR BELL: You notice I left
7 that out.

PRESIDENT LYONS: Right. Well, we're not there yet because there's enough -- there's enough on the table, you know, to try to make that happen.

Because I'm not sure how DOE would respond to that only because you're asking -if, in fact, they have established the definition for branches, you know, even if they were emotionally supportive whether they would want to open that door up to waiving that definition and saying well, you know, a branch can be in the same building with you. You know, it doesn't matter. You know, I mean whether they want to -- whether they would want to be --

	Page 77
1	CHAIR BELL: They don't even have
2	to be emotional about it. I mean literally
3	PRESIDENT LYONS: I said
4	emotional. Desiring to help us, but
5	CHAIR BELL: I mean
6	PRESIDENT LYONS: Whether they'd
7	want to open that up, I'm not sure, you know,
8	but before going to the
9	CHAIR BELL: Yes.
10	PRESIDENT LYONS: But, I will
11	research to see if, in fact, that request was
12	made. But, I know if it was made, people have
13	changed in Middle States. You're absolutely
14	right. But, they appear not to be aware of
15	the fact that that's
16	CHAIR BELL: Nothing has gone to
17	the Department of Education.
18	TRUSTEE FELTON: If there were a
19	request that would be in writing. The records
20	will reflect that.
21	So, I mean I don't think we have
22	to spend a lot of time. If there's no record,

1 it didn't happen.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: And I do want to make sure I'm clear. You know, the 801 -- I actually like the location of 801. You know, I have other concerns about this capacity issue. I like the location. So, really I like all that.

To me at the end of the day, it's all about the expense associated with it. Has nothing to do with the location, whether I like the building.

You know, if you ask me about P.R. Harris, I got a different opinion about that.

Right. Because I do believe that there's probably some other space that would be better than what we have today.

MS. JUMPER: More conducive to our needs.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: Right. More conducive to our needs.

So, that's, you know, it is and, you know, P.R. Harris still has an expense

element plus it just had facilities completely just -- so, I'm not against 801 North Capitol Street.

I am just -- I continue to be very concerned as to the expenses associated with it and whether or not it is something at least in the current -- where we are today where there's something that we can continue to bear unless we also have some strategies. Okay.

We also need -- if we're going to keep it, then I think we got to look beyond -- we got to look at figuring out well, how are we going to -- how are we going to bear that burden because it does continue to escalate and so, we need to figure out how we're going to do that. So that, you know, whether that means we're still -- there's still an opportunity to lease some space. I don't know what it may look like, but I do think that we've got to find some help in subsidizing the cost of that location.

CHAIR BELL: So, then, why don't

Plan, it was referenced in there. But, the

that's why I think there's this gap because

discussion wasn't there about it and so,

20

21

22

Page 81 1 there was never any -- there was never a 2 request to act upon what was in the rightsizing plan because that was thrown out there. 3 4 So, I think it is fair to say that there 5 should be some type of action or some type of statement from the Board to address this. 6 7 So, but I think the way to do that 8 is to get the Committees Members, Executive 9 Committee and you and Barbara together, talk 10 about it some more and then figure out what we 11 want to do and get something to the Board and 12 it'll need to come to the Facilities I think 13 first. 14 Okay. Does that make sense? 15 PRESIDENT LYONS: Yes. Thank you. 16 CHAIR BELL: All right. Any other 17 construction planning facility business under 18 that topic? 19 Thank you. 20 MS. JUMPER: I think we go to 21 buses now. 22 CHAIR BELL: Okay. So, Trustee

Page 82 1 Askew, we had changed the agenda a little bit. 2 So, I think what we can do now is go back to the Motor Coach Buses' contract. 3 Talk about 4 that. All right. MS. JUMPER: Oh, I was corrected. 5 There are two items that we will bring before 6 7 you in the --8 CHAIR BELL: Okay. 9 MS. JUMPER: Two items for 10 consideration. I'm sorry. Thank you. Two items for contract 11 12 consideration would be presented. One will be 13 the -- oh, gosh, I lost it real quick. 14 Contract for the -- Engineering Program 15 contract will come before you and the other 16 one is Backus. That's right. The next phase 17 of Backus. So, those are two contracts 18 that'll be coming and we'll be seeking your 19 approval for March. 20 CHAIR BELL: Okay. 21 MS. JUMPER: So, just giving you a 22 heads up on that.

1

CHAIR BELL: Okay.

2

3

MS. JUMPER: Motor Coaches.

4

two buses for the University's use. We have

Before you a request to consider approval for

5

historically had two buses in the fleet for

6

the University. They are probably 25 and 28

7

-- 25 and 30 years respectively in their age.

8

We have attempted to -- yes, they

9

are very old. They definitely have out used

10

-- I mean outlived their usefulness.

11

life cycle has been exceeded many times over

12

by trying to get it refurbished. They've been

13

towed down to Lorton, Virginia on numerous

14

occasions. The buses have actually literally

15

broken down en route on transit on the

16

interstate with students on board on numerous

17

occasions and we'd have to call another bus to

With that said, this issue has

18

rescue our students.

19

20

been long standing. We actually got to a

21

place last spring where my staff brought to me

22

a request for purchasing new buses long before

the right sizing and I'll say that for a reason.

The need for reliable bus service existed then and now and we do believe it's more cost effective to move forward and to get students a more reliable method for transit.

So, we have gone out and sought solicitation for two buses as we have two now. The primary users of our buses vary. One obvious user -- predominant user is athletics. However, we do have 4H. We have our student government association causes and I have a list here somewhere. Kind of got off on 801, but we have numerous program utility for the bus that goes beyond athletics and I say because I will assume that some of the questions will come with the thinking that this is done or being purchased to support athletic activities only. I will say they are the predominant user.

The reason why two buses are needed is that there -- if athletics remains

which as far as I know right now, they will, there are instances where two buses are transit at the same time as we have multiple teams.

But, there are also times when athletics may be using it and Workforce Development, for example, had necessity for use of our buses. PASS has use for our buses. Faculty and staff retreats. So, we have numerous or various opportunities to use our buses.

It's still opportunities to maybe lease out our buses for revenue generating purposes.

But, the bigger factor I think is one, the buses are aged. We lose an opportunity to advertise the University when we use rental buses which we're doing right now because those buses are basically with no utility.

We will sell those buses. So, we will get some revenue from that.

But, we advertise. We get a beautiful bus. University of the District of Columbia. Not only in the City. It goes on the transit of 95 and any other place we have to go. A lot of times going North 95 because we're in the conference that goes up to Connecticut and New York. It's a great advertisement tool.

With that said, I put before the Committee the request for consideration of approval for two buses for the University.

CHAIR BELL: All right. Thank
you. I'm just going to open this up. So, if
anybody wants to ask any questions, feel free.

Trustee Felton.

TRUSTEE FELTON: Yes, I have a question. Life cycle, I mean I understand we have far exceeded that, but I suppose each year it came before us we simply didn't have the money or what? And tell us what we spend on rental versus purchase. I mean.

MS. JUMPER: We're going to call

1 Cassandra Park up who's over transportation.

I'll be candid with you. For the last five years, I've been pushing this discussion back as a priority. We're just at a point now where buses have broken down so many times it's embarrassing to see a UDC bus on I-95 with students waiting for someone to come rescue them.

So, this is an issue of just really outliving it's usefulness. We're leasing now. That has been candidly problematic with the last vendor that we had.

We have a new one now who's far more reliable, but candidly, I'm not sure if that's how the University will want to proceed.

But, I'll allow her to speak to the cost.

MS. PARK: Hi. Cassandra Park.

So, far last year, we know that we spent about \$100,000 in renting the buses.

202-234-4433

TRUSTEE FELTON: One year.

Page 88 1 MS. PARK: One year. 2 So, Barbara --CHAIR BELL: Thank you, Cassandra. 3 MS. JUMPER: 4 CHAIR BELL: -- where is the money 5 coming from for the buses? Is it out of the capital budget? 6 7 MS. JUMPER: Yes, so, we made a 8 special request to the Office of Budget to be 9 able to use our capital for the purpose of 10 purchasing the bus. They gave us the 11 authority to do that. 12 So, we are using capital Paygo 13 money which will allow us to make this 14 purchase. 15 CHAIR BELL: And if it's not used, 16 we lost it. Right? 17 MS. JUMPER: Not really. It'll be 18 used for another --19 CHAIR BELL: It has to be reallocated to another --20 21 MS. JUMPER: It will be 22 reallocated for another use. Yes.

1 TRUSTEE SHELTON: That was my 2 I'm glad. I was concerned that we question. were getting a four-bus fleet and I was trying 3 4 to explain to myself why we would have a four-5 bus fleet. MS. JUMPER: Four buses? 6 7 TRUSTEE SHELTON: Yes. 8 MS. JUMPER: Oh, no. 9 TRUSTEE SHELTON: Two that we already had and --10 11 MS. JUMPER: Oh, no. No, we're 12 going to dispose by way of getting revenue back for the sale of those because that's 13 14 salvageable parts for somebody. Somebody -a nice church might like the bus. Try to fix 15 16 it, but they are --17 TRUSTEE FELTON: Will you talk 18 about the maintenance and the drivers and 19 operations? So, yes, the 20 MS. JUMPER: 21 maintenance of the buses right now -- and I'm 22 going to step out on this one, but I believe

Page 90 1 we will continue to have a maintenance. 2 will be under warranty for some period of time. 3 4 Sherry, can you speak to the 5 warranty? 6 MS. QUASHIE: That's correct. 7 That's correct. 8 MS. JUMPER: Okay. So, five-year So, most of the service for the bus 9 warranty. at least for the first five years will be 10 11 covered under warranty. 12 We will still hire by way of a 13 contract someone to actually drive the bus. 14 We are kind of trying to determine whether or not it's cost effective to hire a full-time 15 16 bus driver to sit and wait for -- to be 17 engaged. I think it's cheaper to actually 18 hire someone, but we'll see. 19 Two new buses, maybe the activity will increase. Maybe it will justify the need 20 21 of hiring a full-time bus driver, but right 22 now, our thinking is to continue on the track

	Page 91
1	of hiring a CDL bus driver to come in and
2	actually transport our students.
3	One, they're certified. The
4	liability is with the company. It's not with
5	the University.
6	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Because the
7	insurance for groups for athletics is very
8	high. So
9	MS. JUMPER: That's correct.
10	TRUSTEE SHELTON: one of the
11	things I heard you say was that it's going to
12	be carried by the carrier.
13	MS. JUMPER: That's correct.
14	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Okay. Not by
15	the University because that was another
16	concern.
17	MS. JUMPER: I'm sorry. Sherry,
18	is there something I'm saying incorrectly?
19	Did I say something?
20	Yes, the buses, but the drivers
21	are also insured by virtue of their company.
 22	TRUSTEE SHELTON: But, the riders
41	INSSILL SHLLTON. Ducy the little

	Page 92
1	of the buses, who's covering that insurance?
2	MS. QUASHIE: That would cover
3	that would be covered by the University.
4	MS. JUMPER: Sherry. Sherry.
5	TRUSTEE SHELTON: That's okay.
6	That's is that expense included in the
7	pricing?
8	MS. QUASHIE: Good evening.
9	Sherry Quashie. Good evening. Sherry
10	Quashie.
11	That cost would be covered that
12	cost, that would cover the students. The
13	insurance that we use to cover the buses would
14	cover the students.
15	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Okay. Excuse
16	me. In the past, there was a school insurance
17	that we have on all of our students. Do we
18	still have that? You know, does every college
19	student have to have insurance?
20	I'm talking from my public school.
21	MS. QUASHIE: Yes, I
22	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Please don't

	Page 94
1	MS. JUMPER: Yes, I'm not at
2	yes, I'm not sure I can respond to that.
3	MS. QUASHIE: Not to my knowledge.
4	TRUSTEE SHELTON: I'm just saying
5	that there's it's usually there's
6	usually a transportation insurance package
7	MS. JUMPER: Um-hum. That makes
8	sense.
9	TRUSTEE SHELTON: for carrying
10	kids or students to events.
11	MS. JUMPER: That's correct.
12	TRUSTEE SHELTON: And sometimes
13	it's carried by the parents and sometimes it's
14	carried by the organization.
15	MS. JUMPER: I will step out there
16	and we have to confirm this. I don't think
17	anyone can answer that right now, but that
18	there is a policy that would cover our
19	students in our University insurance policy.
20	I'm just not at the point of
21	TRUSTEE SHELTON: It's not it's
22	not okay and that's just something.

	Page 97
1	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Okay.
2	CHAIR BELL: Are there any other
3	questions?
4	TRUSTEE FELTON: So moved.
5	CHAIR BELL: Okay. Motion has
6	been moved
7	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Seconded.
8	CHAIR BELL: and seconded.
9	Okay. All those in favor?
10	(Ayes.)
11	CHAIR BELL: Opposed?
12	Abstentions? Okay. The motion carries
13	unanimously.
14	All right. So, I believe the next
15	item on our agenda is other business. Is
16	there any other business?
17	TRUSTEE ASKEW: Mr. Chairman. Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	CHAIR BELL: Okay.
20	TRUSTEE ASKEW: No other business.
21	Well, let me just say this. We did have a
22	conversation as we started our right-sizing

Page 98 1 discussions about the University's housing for 2 the President and I don't think we've had that on the agenda. But, I do believe it was one 3 4 of those areas where, one, it needed further 5 discussion and then two, because the discussion would include whether or not it 6 7 makes sense to sell it. Whether -- you know 8 and gain some revenue that way or whether to 9 keep it. 10 TRUSTEE FELTON: Although we would 11 not get the revenue. 12 MS. JUMPER: That is correct. 13 TRUSTEE FELTON: Right. 14 TRUSTEE ASKEW: Right. We would Right. Well, that's true. 15 not. 16 MS. JUMPER: We would have to seek 17 approval from the City to retain the use of 18 those dollars. 19 TRUSTEE ASKEW: Right. Okay. 20 So --21 MS. JUMPER: And they may or may 22 not do that.

TRUSTEE ASKEW: -- I only bring it
up just to keep the conversation going because
I know we say we were going to get back to -and then as we look for our permanent
president, that issue will need to -- will
resurface and so, I just want to make sure
this Committee is taking a look at it.

CHAIR BELL: No, I appreciate

that. I don't know that we talked about it at

the last meeting or not. I mean we are aware

of the fact that the money would go back to

the City unless we get a waiver.

The other issue, of course, is

finding housing that's within the two-mile

curtilage of the University so they could

qualify for the tax -- the favorable tax

treatment for the president. So, you know,

we've talked about is there some type of flop

or exchange or something that we could

theoretically do if we could find something in

the price range for the University, but that's

something that I guess we'll need to follow up

Page 101 1 TRUSTEE ASKEW: Because there are 2 -- even though, you know, we do have expense associated with it now and then we have 3 4 limited utility out of it. 5 TRUSTEE FELTON: And a housing allowance would probably be cheaper. 6 7 TRUSTEE ASKEW: Yes, and again, 8 I'd like to explore, you know, yes, a 9 conversation on all those issues. TRUSTEE SHELTON: And this is an 10 11 agenda item, as a follow up, you know we have 12 a full year of parking money. 13 MS. JUMPER: Yes. 14 TRUSTEE SHELTON: And that was my 15 question before. Is that -- we have some 16 experience now with parking. So, we could do some -- I never did find out how much income 17 18 we generated and again, I'm just asking us to 19 start presenting that such that we're aware of 20 the benefits of all renovations to parking. 21 MS. JUMPER: Sure. 22 TRUSTEE SHELTON: In the past, we

Page 103 1 TRUSTEE SHELTON: And I've said 2 that before. Okay. CHAIR BELL: Okay. I'd like to 3 4 know about the student center. 5 MS. JUMPER: Yes. 6 CHAIR BELL: How are we doing? 7 MS. JUMPER: That's a great 8 question. The student center, we do now have 9 approval from the City Council and for 10 whatever reason, new practice or new policy, 11 the Mayor had to sign off on it. 12 I'll just say there was some delay 13 in getting everything done, but we now have 14 been told officially that the 20 percent's approved and we are moving forward. 15 I'll look to my team if they want 16 17 to add anything, but you will see vigorous 18 movement if we can get it above freezing. We finally have everything we need 19 20 to move this forward. So, you will -- we'll 21 still talking fall -- spring. Come on. 22 Please my frame is frozen.

	Page 104
1	TRUSTEE SHELTON: You've been
2	waiting patiently to get
3	MR. THOMPSON: Erik Thompson,
4	Office of the Vice President.
5	We're still aiming at taking
6	occupancy in late later part of this year,
7	December, with a ribbon cutting or opening
8	event in the spring of '15.
9	TRUSTEE SHELTON: Excuse me.
10	Merry Christmas.
11	CHAIR BELL: Okay. Does any
12	Trustee have any other questions? No?
13	Barbara or Jim, you got any questions for us?
14	TRUSTEE SHELTON: You got
15	everybody here now. It's a good time
16	MS. JUMPER: No, sir, you all have
17	been very
18	CHAIR BELL: Well, you answered
19	yes to everything.
20	MS. JUMPER: Yes, thank you. No,
21	thank you.
22	CHAIR BELL: All right. Well, if
	3 ,

	Page 105
1	there's no other questions, we'll take a
2	motion for adjournment.
3	TRUSTEE SHELTON: So moved.
4	TRUSTEE FELTON: Seconded.
5	CHAIR BELL: Motion for
6	adjournment and seconded. Okay. We are
7	hereby adjourned.
8	Thank you, everyone, for
9	participating.
10	MS. JUMPER: Thank you.
11	CHAIR BELL: We appreciate it.
12	(Whereupon, at 7:27 p.m., the
13	meeting was adjourned.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A	agency 69:22 71:20	83:3 86:11 98:17	association 84:12	basically 5:17 6:1
\$10 48:10	71:21,22 72:6,8	103:9	assume 84:16	18:19 19:16 20:12
\$100,000 87:21	72:11	approve 25:10	assumption 67:12	85:19
\$11 61:20 69:3	agenda 4:5 5:9,17	32:11,14,18	assurance 51:13	basis 52:15 102:11
72:15 73:6 74:15	62:7,11 82:1	approved 5:7 11:5	athletic 84:19	bear 79:8,13
74:16	97:15 98:3 101:11	32:16 45:19 50:4	athletics 62:14,16	beautiful 86:2
\$4 57:13 58:1 62:15	aggressively 31:6	50:8,10 53:1	84:10,15,22 85:6	beds 19:12 32:2,2,5
63:3 64:7	ago 9:9 50:11	103:15	91:7 93:2	39:1,17,21 40:4,5
\$8 72:19	agree 8:11 68:17	arbitrary 72:2	attacking 13:8	believe 5:18 12:3
ability 20:10	agreement 15:3	architectural 26:1	attempted 83:8	29:2,3 33:21
able 12:7 58:9	69:18	architecturally	attention 52:20	78:14 84:4 89:22
71:16 88:9 93:3	ahead 4:6 21:6	9:11	attracting 27:22	97:14 98:3
absence 40:19	39:15 51:10	areas 98:4	audience 28:12	believed 30:20
absolutely 43:18,19	aiming 104:5	arguing 14:5	authority 6:3 7:13	Bell 1:12,14 3:3,11
58:19 60:14 77:13	align 24:2,18 25:15	arrived 38:4	13:1 33:21 47:20	3:12 4:2,21 5:4,12
96:20	46:6 71:12	articulate 71:4	50:22 55:9 75:21	7:1 8:4,8 10:15
Abstentions 97:12	allow 69:19 87:17	asked 41:13 61:5	88:11	12:11,14 14:14
accept 4:19,22	88:13	65:4,7,11 66:3,3,6	available 16:17	17:15,18,22 18:16
Accident 93:7,8	allowance 101:6	66:15,16 67:21	avoid 40:11	20:21 21:1 23:12
accused 59:15	ambiguity 28:8	73:11	aware 18:13 77:14	26:14,15,17 28:16
act 81:2	ambiguous 12:16	Askew 1:15 3:17	99:10 101:19	30:13 37:11,14,17
action 75:19 81:5	amount 6:21 25:8	14:20,21 21:2,4	102:3	41:20 42:4 44:8
activities 84:19	26:21 58:13,14	21:13,18,21 30:17	Ayes 5:3 97:10	44:12,16,22 45:3
activity 57:1 90:19	and/or 69:20	30:18 31:19 34:18		45:12 47:6 48:2
actual 9:4 61:14	anecdotal 41:2	47:22 51:16,17	<u>B</u>	48:21 49:2,5,8,16
add 103:17	anecdotally 41:7	54:5 56:14 57:6	back 9:2 23:6,11	49:20 50:18 51:10
added 30:21	annual 102:11	58:2,8 60:11,15	35:19,22 39:10	51:16 53:17 56:22
additional 50:22	answer 34:10 62:1	65:3,7,10,18,21	55:13 56:15 57:11	57:8,11 59:13
address 8:22 34:5	65:11 68:8 94:17	66:5,12 67:17,20	57:15,17 61:15	63:7,9,11,15,19
63:4 66:11,22	answered 104:18	68:2,7,13 73:14	64:12,17 66:7	63:22 64:14 65:20
68:19 71:16 81:6	answering 34:16	73:18 74:3,8,10	69:4 75:17,17	66:2,10,15 67:8
addressed 5:20	anticipate 60:5	74:14,20 75:2,6	82:2 87:4 89:13	70:18 73:17,21
43:11	anybody 46:3	78:2,19 82:1	99:3,11	75:1,9 76:6 77:1,5
adjourned 105:7	55:20 86:14	95:20 96:4,9,13	backfill 61:12 63:3	77:9,16 79:22
105:13	anyway 55:14	96:17,20 97:1,17	64:8 70:5	80:8,11 81:16,22
adjournment 2:13	apologize 63:14	97:20 98:14,19	Backus 61:12 72:21	82:8,20 83:1
105:2,6	appear 77:14	99:1 100:12,18	82:16,17	86:12 88:2,4,15
Administrator	applied 41:18	101:1,7	bags 41:14	88:19 95:2,4,11
50:10	apply 41:17	asking 6:5 18:17	ball 102:13	95:17 97:2,5,8,11
advertise 85:17	appreciate 7:6 51:2	26:11,13 28:21	Barbara 1:21 5:10	97:19 99:8 102:13
86:1	67:4 95:21 99:8	40:16 57:18,22	48:3,12 80:2 81:9	103:3,6 104:11,18
advertisement 86:8	105:11	76:13 101:18	88:2 104:13	104:22 105:5,11
affirmation 27:14	approval 2:5 4:6,14	assign 71:19,21	base 37:5	belong 59:4
28:5	7:7,12 11:9,11	72:12	based 5:21 7:5	benefits 101:20
afternoon 5:15	32:1,20,21 46:17	associated 53:8	26:19 31:22 32:3	best 6:17 13:12,14
age 83:7	50:21 58:5 68:16	55:12,16 78:9	34:9 36:15	better 13:21 78:15
aged 85:16	72:1,4,7 82:19	79:5 101:3	basic 24:8	Beverly 1:20 3:9
J	l	Ī	I	1

	 	l	 	l
beyond 22:5 27:10	builder 36:2	Capitol 60:19 61:6	64:14 65:20 66:2	44:3 47:10 52:15
79:11 84:15	building 17:1 22:12	79:2	66:10,15 67:8	54:19,20 59:18
big 24:5	30:19 31:1 36:22	capricious 72:2	70:18 73:17,21	60:17 67:18 78:3
bigger 85:15	39:21 66:8,21	care 35:9 40:22	75:1,9 76:6 77:1,5	clearly 8:1 27:19,21
bit 4:5 36:13 53:5	68:18 69:6,12,14	careful 19:2	77:9,16 79:22	47:18
54:7 55:2 82:1	69:18,22 76:19	carried 91:12 94:13	80:2,8,11 81:16	close 41:15 44:20
blanket 93:20	78:11	94:14	81:22 82:8,20	closing 13:10
bless 10:10,12 20:9	buildings 32:3,5	carrier 91:12	83:1 86:12 88:2,4	102:16
20:11 33:19	39:20	carries 5:5 97:12	88:15,19 95:2,4	closure 38:8
blessing 10:1,6	built 36:2,22	carry 95:7	95:11,17 97:2,5,8	Coach 2:9 4:8 82:3
18:19 19:20 22:17	burden 20:6 79:14	carrying 41:14	97:11,19 99:8	Coaches 83:2
blow 52:4	bus 4:8 83:17 84:3	94:9	102:13 103:3,6	college 11:20 61:11
board 1:4,13 3:7	84:15 86:2 87:6	case 93:12	104:11,18,22	64:12,17 69:4
7:13 8:2 9:9 10:7	88:10 89:5,15	cash 45:15	105:5,11	71:5 92:18 95:9
16:1 17:12 18:12	90:9,13,16,21	Cassandra 1:22	Chair's 55:15	color 58:22 59:7
18:18 19:21 20:14	91:1 93:11,19	87:1,19 88:3	Chairman 22:3	Columbia 1:1 37:6
21:10 22:4,17	buses 81:21 82:3	causes 84:12	53:17 95:20 97:17	86:3
23:15 24:2,15	83:4,5,14,22 84:8	cautious 31:5 51:19	97:18	come 14:20 18:7
25:9 27:1,15 28:9	84:9,21 85:2,8,8	CDL 91:1	chance 4:16	23:10 24:21 25:22
29:22 30:4 32:4,7	85:11,13,16,18,19	center 103:4,8	changed 68:4,9,11	32:6 39:10 40:8
42:13 44:9 46:17	85:21 86:11 87:5	certain 23:2	77:13 82:1	43:6 46:14 55:13
46:18 49:11 50:10	87:21 88:5 89:6	certainly 55:14,20	cheaper 90:17	56:15 57:11,15,17
51:13 56:8 57:17	89:21 90:19 91:20	58:16 62:7 75:19	101:6	69:9,20 81:12
58:21 59:7,15	92:1,13	certainties 36:12	check 45:14	82:15 84:17 87:8
64:10,16 65:14,14	business 2:11 4:10	certification 43:14	chicken 30:14	91:1 103:21
65:16,18 66:13	25:4,11 29:14	certified 91:3	Chief 9:18	comes 24:10
75:18,22 80:4,14	57:1 81:17 97:15	cetera 40:3 68:19	choose 19:2	comfort 34:5 35:18
80:16 81:6,11	97:16,20 100:16	68:19 69:7,7 70:1	Chris's 52:11	36:13
83:16	100:17	70:2	Christmas 104:10	comfortable 9:6
Board's 21:16	businesses 61:13	chair 1:12,14 3:3	Christopher 1:12	17:12 20:14 53:5
bolts 15:18	buy 17:1	3:12,22 4:2,19,21	1:14	68:20
book 41:14		5:4,12 7:1 8:4,8	church 89:15	coming 52:15 59:18
bottom 34:7	C	10:15 12:11,14	circumstances 24:1	60:12 75:15,17
branch 64:21 65:1	calculate 61:19	14:14 17:15,18,22	City 6:13 9:17 10:5	82:18 88:5
68:21 76:19	call 2:3,3 3:9 17:4	18:16 20:21 21:1	20:8,8,10 27:11	commit 40:4 49:11
branches 76:15	70:6 83:17 86:22	23:12 26:15,17	45:4 46:9 48:16	commitment 8:14
bring 9:19 11:22	campus 6:3 7:4,8	28:16 30:13 37:11	69:11,21 86:3	8:18 27:19 33:22
38:8 39:19 40:2	7:19 11:3 12:7	37:14,17 41:20	98:17 99:12 103:9	committed 25:1
82:6 99:1	21:10 33:20 50:5	42:4 44:8,12,16	City's 28:13	44:1 50:1
bringing 13:2	61:16	44:22 45:3,12	clarification 21:9	Committee 1:5 3:7
broader 28:12	candid 87:2 100:2	47:6 48:2,21 49:2	23:11 44:6 47:5	24:22 34:8 47:13
broken 83:15 87:5	candidly 87:11,14	49:5,8,16,20	51:3	47:15 54:22 55:14
brought 83:21	capable 66:21	50:18 51:10,16	clarify 47:7	56:16 58:18 75:13
budget 13:7 70:8	capacity 78:5	56:22 57:8,11	clarity 8:12	81:9 86:10 99:7
88:6,8 102:7	capital 1:23 55:17	59:13 62:20,21	clear 7:3 8:11 14:16	Committees 81:8
build 17:6 31:16	57:14,14 88:6,9	63:5,7,9,11,12,15	18:15 19:18 29:11	communicated
36:2 43:15 46:16	88:12 95:4	63:16,19,22,22	29:12,16 31:13	52:17
	•	•	•	•

community 11.10	90:1,22	92:11	15:11 22:8,20	16:2
community 11:19 34:11 35:8 61:11	,		26:2 33:16 34:11	disagrees 29:9
	continuing 43:13 contract 4:8 9:10	covering 19:14 92:1		discuss 9:4 40:8
64:12,16 69:4 71:5 95:8			35:8 39:9,13 45:4 45:13,18 46:10	
	82:3,11,14,15 90:13	create 52:18,19	<i>'</i>	discussion 4:8 8:7
company 91:4,21	,	creates 96:10	48:6,15 53:16	16:14 21:22 22:8
competing 43:17	contraction 41:22	creating 95:8	64:20 67:2,21	27:13 33:5,12,14
completely 12:22	contracts 82:17	Crider 63:21 68:22	68:16 70:20 77:17	41:21 47:12 48:5
79:1	control 48:19 49:17	current 14:3 79:7	Department's	51:7,9 53:6 54:7
complex 9:12	70:11	Curry 1:17 3:18,19	33:11	55:6 61:14 62:3
concept 11:2,4 15:8	convene 80:1	curtilage 99:15	design 9:4,7,12	62:14 68:15 80:9
conceptually 10:12	convened 1:11	cutting 104:7	33:7 34:1,3	80:21 87:4 98:5,6
concern 11:13,16	conversation 21:5	cycle 83:11 86:17	designers 43:6	discussions 10:5
11:21 16:3 20:19	53:22 63:5,18	D	Desiring 77:4	16:15 29:4 44:14
27:12 29:7 38:22	96:2 97:22 99:2	D.C 11:12 22:21	detail 18:22	44:19 45:2 54:4
44:1 48:7,18	100:20,21 101:9	data 13:22 31:3	detailed 27:13	98:1
91:16 96:11	conversations		details 56:13	display 14:9
concerned 16:22	39:10 51:18,19	date 9:7 26:20	determine 6:11,14	dispose 89:12
38:14 42:20 48:3	54:9	102:3	90:14	District 1:1 37:6
60:4 79:5 89:2	convinced 41:3	day 33:12 36:4 78:8	determined 27:21	44:14 86:2
concerns 8:21 34:6	copy 19:14	day-to-day 25:11	developed 62:18	document 5:20
37:10 55:7 70:19	correct 21:7 30:6	days 34:12	80:7	19:12 96:16
78:5	90:6,7 91:9,13	DC 1:12	developer 9:11	documentation
conclude 67:5	94:11 98:12	deal 9:21 17:5	13:5 20:5 23:22	51:8
concluded 63:2	corrected 82:5	35:22 69:1,10,12	24:10,10 25:8,14	DOE 76:12
64:6	correction 7:6	69:13	25:15,21 45:7	doing 7:9,9,13,14
concrete 11:21	cost 6:20 9:13 13:4	dealing 70:20	46:1 55:11	10:19 16:6 20:17
44:13	13:12,14 14:18,22	debating 59:7	developers 43:5	22:14,21 23:3,4,8
condition 72:3	19:6,10,15 20:4	December 104:7	development 20:5	23:9 35:5,16,17
conditions 15:16	27:6 28:12 31:7	decide 13:18,19	85:7	42:17 46:11 61:22
conducive 78:17,20	31:11 35:6,11	decided 64:4,11	devote 100:4	71:15 85:18 103:6
conference 86:6	40:10,11 42:12	100:15	dialogue 8:10 9:17	dollar 14:11,11
confirm 94:16	53:8 55:15 56:17	decides 64:16	27:14 56:12 62:2	55:18 61:20
confusion 10:17	56:17 60:10 61:16		dictate 27:20	dollars 25:9 42:12
23:14	69:3,8 70:19 71:8	34:21	different 12:8	56:21 57:10,14
Connecticut 86:7	73:5,15 74:15	definitely 44:4	13:15 23:7 29:21	70:12 98:18
consensus 55:9	79:21 84:5 87:18	58:16 83:9 95:16	32:5 34:20 44:18	door 13:10 76:17
consider 18:6 83:3	90:15 92:11,12	102:22	52:18 78:13 80:3	93:9,9
consideration 14:5	costing 74:3	definition 23:8 65:1	difficult 23:12	dorms 43:15
14:6 61:1 82:10	costs 11:22 12:20	76:15,18	43:16 59:20	Dow 66:18
82:12 86:10	17:14 55:12	definitive 30:4	diligence 46:22	downtown 56:12
construction 1:23	Council 48:16	delay 103:12	directed 62:15	Dr 3:17,20 62:5,8,9
2:7 4:9 5:9 81:17	51:21 103:9	demand 31:3 32:7	direction 7:15 8:3	63:20 68:22 80:1
consultants 66:17	couple 30:21 34:12	demonstrated	10:3 20:13 21:15	draft 62:20,21
contained 54:8	course 60:18 99:13	14:10	22:15 28:2 75:21	drain 73:2
CONTENTS 2:1	cover 92:2,12,13,14	demonstration	80:13,15	drive 16:16 90:13
continue 22:18	94:18	38:5	disagree 40:20	driver 90:16,21
35:19 79:4,8,14	covered 90:11 92:3	Department 9:2	disagreement 16:1	91:1
<u> </u>	-	-	-	-

drivers 89:18 91:20	Estate 1:21 6:2	4:9 6:2 24:22 34:8	fill 38:22 40:5	formal 19:21 20:16
due 46:22	et 40:2 68:19,19	42:16 46:11 62:19	62:17	21:6 47:11 51:14
dynamics 12:5,6	69:7,7 70:1,1	79:1 80:6 81:12	filling 36:5	formally 47:19
	evening 92:8,9	facility 2:7 5:9 22:9	final 33:6 102:17	64:11
E	event 62:16 104:8	81:17	finality 28:4	formerly 66:18
earlier 70:16	events 26:19 94:10	fact 6:19 9:20 10:9	finally 103:19	forth 38:6
earning 19:16	everybody 23:7	11:8 19:10,15	finance 36:3 62:17	forward 6:8,9,15
east 22:10	35:15 104:15	20:6 26:2 33:19	102:16	6:21 7:8,16,19,22
easy 38:10 73:9	everyone's 4:16	38:20 61:9 63:2	financed 15:19	8:2,16 10:1,13
Ed 68:16	evidence 26:7	75:21 76:14 77:11	finances 35:3	11:21 12:19 13:1
Education 15:11	Ex 1:17	77:15 99:11	financial 8:14,17	18:19 19:19,21
64:20 67:2,22	exacerbate 52:5	faction 61:11	9:18,20 10:10	22:18 27:17,20
70:20 77:17	exact 74:17	factions 61:10	16:17,21 17:10	28:9,10,22 34:16
effective 84:5 90:15	exactly 26:3 49:15	factor 24:6 85:15	19:7 31:11 52:1	40:12 43:20 47:21
efficient 73:1	example 10:6 85:7	factors 11:14 18:5	71:3	70:13 84:5 103:15
egg 30:14	exceeded 83:11	24:17 46:21 71:12	financially 20:7	103:20
either 18:3 29:15	86:18	facts 25:5 46:6	35:13	found 23:7
70:6	exceeds 57:1,2	Faculty 85:9	financing 6:15 10:1	foundation 13:20
element 27:22	exception 57:20	fair 58:11 81:4	20:10	four 5:8 29:11 89:4
33:18 41:1 79:1	exchange 99:19	faith 7:22 20:18	find 24:14 62:15	89:6
elements 10:2	100:8	fall 103:21	69:19 70:7 71:20	four-bus 89:3
eliminated 62:16	Excuse 92:15 104:9	far 29:16,18 75:1	79:20 99:20	four-year 71:6
embarrassing 87:6	Executive 1:20	85:1 86:18 87:13	101:17	frame 23:18 103:22
emotional 77:2,4	75:12 81:8	87:20	finding 72:15 99:14	framework 15:2
emotionally 76:16	exercise 20:19	fashion 60:21	fine 14:10 66:14	FRANKLIN 1:20
en 4:11 83:15	65:15	fast 31:6	firm 27:19	3:11,13,15,17,20
engage 23:21 25:8	existed 84:4	favor 5:2 97:9	first 29:17 30:18	3:22
27:10	expanded 30:22	favorable 99:16	39:20 50:18 64:10	free 11:4 61:12
engaged 28:13	expect 59:10	feasibility 23:21	64:13 65:13,14	86:14
90:17	expense 75:2 78:9	25:5 48:16	71:14 72:18 73:12	freeing 70:1
engaging 55:22	78:22 92:6 93:14	feedback 67:4	81:13 90:10 102:8	freezing 103:18
Engineering 82:14	101:2	feel 11:4 15:12	102:9	freshmen 18:3
enrollment 24:5,12	expenses 12:20	26:10 70:3 86:14	five 25:19 45:6 74:4	friends 52:8
26:2 27:21 36:7,8	42:9 79:5	feeling 53:4	87:3 90:10	frivolous 37:22
38:17,17,19,20,21	experience 101:16	feels 17:12	five-year 90:8	frozen 103:22
43:10,14 46:20	explain 71:2 89:4	Felton 1:15 3:13,14	fix 89:15	full 10:6 12:19 15:3
52:1	explained 93:10	4:20 8:9 16:11,12	flagship 11:20	36:16 100:21
enrollment's 45:16	exploratory 28:11	17:16,21 28:18	fleet 83:5 89:3,5	101:12
entail 27:8	explore 100:4	30:7,11 31:18	flop 99:18	full-time 90:15,21
enter 20:4	101:8	48:18,22 49:3,6,9	folder 4:15	fully 14:4
entering 9:10	exposes 20:15	49:18,22 50:6	folk 67:6	fund 15:10
entitled 59:1	expressed 11:16	77:18 86:15,16	folks 22:12 39:9	funded 55:17
environment 41:17	extremely 73:16	87:22 89:17 97:4	95:18	funding 6:13
Erik 1:23 104:3		98:10,13 100:8,10	follow 99:22 101:11	further 22:10,10
escalate 75:3 79:14	<u>F</u>	101:5 105:4	following 50:2,16	38:3 98:4
especially 100:12	facilitate 71:4	figure 79:15 81:10	forget 33:17	future 48:7 59:21
established 76:14	facilities 1:5,21 3:7	figuring 79:12	forma 19:4	60:6

	26.14 29.2 20.4	14.21	14.0 15.0 0 14 10	i
<u>G</u>	36:14 38:2 39:4	14:21 hard 21:1 29:13	14:8 15:8,9,14,18	incurring 11:22
gain 54:11 98:8	40:9,17 42:6,12		16:2,5,8,10,19	indicate 95:6
game 93:11	42:17 43:9,10	harder 72:16	18:1,12 21:10	indicated 68:22
gap 62:17 80:22	45:6,12,13,16,18	Harris 72:21 73:2	23:16,19 24:15,17	information 10:9
gathering 31:3	45:20 46:15,18	78:13,22	24:19 25:1,12,16	12:17 13:20 15:7
generated 101:18	48:11 49:6 51:4	hate 43:12	26:8,9 29:1 30:20	17:11 58:18,20
102:2	51:20 52:2,4,4,18	HBCU 15:10	34:10,14 35:16	102:3
generating 19:11	53:19 56:16,17 59:22 60:4 73:8	HBCUs 70:21	36:1,17 40:19,21	informed 13:21
85:13	75:20 77:8 79:10	He'll 36:2	41:11 43:21 45:5	initiate 13:15
gentlemen 4:4		heading 63:1 headline 43:12	45:14,20,21,22	initiating 6:9
geographical 66:20	79:13,13,15 80:14		48:7,11,15 50:5	inside 50:21
geographically	86:5,13,22 89:12	heads 82:22	52:1 60:1,7 98:1	instance 57:19
64:22 65:2	89:22 91:11 99:2 99:3	health 93:5,8	99:14 100:16	instances 85:2
getting 16:6,9		hear 30:3,4 39:8	101:5	institute 93:21
30:14 36:9 38:9	good 5:14 7:22	heard 7:5 19:19	housing's 26:4	institutions 68:11
39:13 89:3,12	20:17 60:8 92:8,9	21:9 26:22 42:7	Howard 41:18	insurance 91:7
103:13	104:15	47:8 55:8 70:15 91:11	I	92:1,13,16,19
give 5:18 9:22	gosh 82:13		I-95 87:7	93:2,3,6,8,8,9,21
33:22 34:3,4	gotten 11:9 68:8	hearing 28:5 30:6	idea 11:6 53:7	94:6,19
51:12 80:14 93:1	76:3	38:15 93:13	55:18,19,20 59:1	insure 9:5
given 9:8 52:6	government 22:21	Hello 3:3	ideally 45:5 73:5	insured 91:21
gives 38:21 47:20	72:8,11 84:12	help 14:17 15:1	ideas 73:12	93:12
51:7 55:2 102:9	gradually 32:6	16:5 34:15 46:21	identify 69:8 70:14	intend 33:12
giving 82:21	great 37:1 39:16	55:5 60:1 71:4,13	immediately 56:2	intent 49:1,4
glad 62:10 89:2	86:7 103:7	73:6 77:4 79:20	impact 17:10 95:6	interest 7:18
go 4:6 5:8 9:2 13:1	ground 24:2 25:6	95:7	imperative 20:13	interested 7:18 16:19
13:19,19 15:11	46:6	helpful 27:16 28:7 55:6	implying 42:3	
17:1,13 18:21	group 93:4		importance 38:19	interpret 49:10
20:13 21:3,6 22:8	groups 91:7	helps 39:2 Hi 87:19	important 16:18	interpretation 6:5
22:19 23:20 26:4	grow 102:9		43:7 63:22 95:17	interpreted 52:17
26:11 29:16,19	growth 11:16	high 73:16 91:8	include 15:15 50:5	interpreting 49:17 interstate 83:16
31:10 36:6 42:10	guess 8:9 10:16	higher 75:7	98:6	
42:22 43:2,5	13:12,14 35:1,5	hire 25:21 90:12,15 90:18	included 31:15	investment 18:20 18:20 48:11
44:10 45:3 47:13	37:19 38:7 39:17		65:19 92:6	
47:20 48:14 51:10	39:18,21 43:22 53:14 61:22 99:22	hiring 25:14 90:21 91:1	income 101:17	invoking 22:21 involve 19:4
51:21,22 53:3		historically 83:5	102:11	involved 35:3
54:14 67:5 69:21	guidance 55:2 guidelines 100:6	home 41:16	incorporate 95:16	involved 55.5 involvement 28:13
71:6,18 81:20	guys 12:8 23:13	hook 36:5	96:16	involves 25:12
82:2 86:5 93:11	guys 12.0 23.13		incorrectly 91:18	IRS 100:6
99:11 102:8		hope 18:14 hopefully 4:16	increase 90:20	isolated 66:21
goals 95:7	hanging 23:3	43:10 59:18 71:15	increases 11:18	issue 6:18 9:15
goes 84:15 86:3,6	happen 14:8 39:4	host 8:21	incubator 61:13	10:14 25:3 26:22
going 4:4,6 13:4,13	48:11 76:11 78:1	housing 6:4 7:4,8	incur 9:14 20:3	28:14 35:22 39:22
14:7 16:16 22:7	happened 93:12	7:19 9:3,5,12	27:6	44:19 60:18 75:10
22:11 24:14 25:10	happening 36:15	10:21 11:1,2,6,11	incurred 14:18	78:6 83:19 87:9
26:4 28:18 29:9	Happy 3:4 5:15	11:14 12:1,4,7	15:1 28:12	99:5,13
31:15 34:13 36:5		11.14 12.1,4,1	10.120.12	77.3,13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

issues 18:9 33:4 104:16,20 105:10 72:11,15,17 74:21 little 4:5 8:12 28:21 \mathbf{M} 29:6 36:13 53:5 **justify** 11:14 90:20 75:15,16,18 76:10 34:10 101:9 **mailing** 68:19 it'll 81:12 88:17 76:15,18,20,20 54:7 55:2 82:1 maintenance 89:18 K item 5:8 64:7 97:15 77:7,12 78:3,4,12 live 18:3 89:21 90:1 keep 7:9,13 23:3,8 101:11 78:21,22 79:16,18 **living** 49:12 major 9:15 39:22 42:6 64:5 79:11 items 5:19 82:6,9 80:5 85:1 87:20 location 45:21 69:7 **making** 8:14 16:3 80:12 98:9 99:2 92:18 93:9,10,19 78:4,6,10 79:21 82:11 34:21 36:18 51:14 102:20 95:18 98:7 99:3,9 locations 73:1,2 Manager 1:23 J keeps 75:15,16 99:17 101:2,8,11 logical 27:9 mandating 35:10 **KENDRICK** 1:17 **JAMES** 1:18 102:7,21 103:4 logically 27:4 **manner** 38:1 **January** 1:10 3:8 kids 94:10 knowing 19:7 **Lohmes** 66:18 **March** 82:19 **JEROME** 1:16 kind 10:13 15:17 knowledge 94:3 long 38:1 40:17 market 12:5 41:12 **Jim** 75:9 104:13 16:20 17:11 30:13 known 67:14 42:5 59:6 66:8 market-rate 37:2,8 **iob** 14:13 31:4 33:3 41:6 knows 70:10 74:21 83:20,22 master 7:11 11:5 **Joe** 44:17 53:2 84:13 90:14 **long-term** 25:12 11:12 32:1 45:19 \mathbf{L} **Joseph** 1:15 42:1,7 **kinds** 70:16 **look** 9:12 13:17 50:3,4,8,9 52:22 jump 14:3,15 30:17 know 10:11 14:12 Ladies 4:4 19:12.22 34:1 53:3 laid 29:20 53:20,21 16:20 17:4 18:7 35:4 36:14 45:16 matter 12:2 49:20 **JUMPER** 1:21 22:4 23:9,17,22 land 6:14 9:21 46:2 58:21 68:10 52:21 76:20 96:5 5:11,14 7:2 8:5,19 24:9 25:17,18,20 **landlord** 71:18 79:11,12,19 99:4 **Mayor** 103:11 18:14,17 20:22 26:10,11,12 27:7 **late** 104:6 99:7 103:16 mean 8:11,17 11:11 21:8,14,20 26:13 28:4,9,19 29:8,9 law 36:8 96:18 **looking** 17:2,8 17:7 22:6 23:6,14 26:16,18 29:20 31:8,11,17,20 lav 15:2 18:10 27:18 28:3 24:16 25:10 29:3 31:21 32:12,15,19 33:17 34:16,19,21 lay-in 17:5 28:4 36:20 45:8 29:5,20 32:9 33:2,10 44:2,10 34:22 35:2,3,7,20 lease 17:7 69:20 55:19 56:9 58:4,4 34:19 35:3 36:9 44:13,17 45:1,11 36:7,8,17,19,20 70:1 71:17,19 58:8 70:8 75:10 37:22 38:1,9,10 47:4,8,16 48:1 37:1,5,7,19,19 72:14,17 74:1 looks 37:1 102:11 38:13,13 39:1,1 50:9,14 51:2 54:3 38:8,10,11,13 79:18 85:13 loose 68:21 40:10,12 41:1 55:5 56:19 57:4,9 39:11,17 40:2,3,6 **leasing** 87:11 **Lorton** 83:13 42:5,11,14 43:4 57:12 58:6,11,15 40:10,13,16 41:2 **leaving** 72:22 lose 85:16 47:2 48:2 49:13 58:19 59:3 60:14 41:5,6,7,9,19,19 **leery** 42:9 **losing** 43:14 49:14.16.20 51:17 60:16 62:5 74:1,6 41:21 42:1 43:15 left 23:2 36:5 72:17 lost 82:13 88:16 52:7 53:12,15,16 74:9,13,18 75:5 44:17 45:15 46:5 76:6 **lot** 18:9 26:21 44:18 56:20,22 57:1 78:17 81:20 82:5 46:13,14,20 48:1 legislative 52:7 52:8 71:12 73:4 58:22 59:13 63:7 82:9,21 83:2 48:10,19,22 49:3 legitimate 39:1 77:22 86:5 63:15 68:3 71:1 86:22 88:3,7,17 49:11,12 51:7,18 let's 17:13 29:10 Lyons 1:18 3:20,21 71:17 72:2,10,16 88:21 89:6,8,11 52:3,10,11,19,22 level 18:22 27:12 22:2 37:13,15,18 73:7 74:10,11,22 89:20 90:8 91:9 28:3 35:18 47:12 53:3,4,4,8,11,12 42:2 58:12 59:6 75:16 76:20 77:2 91:13,17 92:4 53:16,17,20 54:13 52:5 96:10 59:11 60:9,13 77:5,21 83:10 93:15 94:1,7,11 54:14,16,17,19 liability 91:4 62:4,5,8,9,10 63:8 86:17.21 99:10 94:15 95:1,3,10 55:3,21 56:16 **life** 83:11 86:17 63:10,13,17,20 means 11:3 28:22 95:14,19 96:3,7 57:6,21 58:3,9,13 likelihood 16:20 64:2,15 65:6,9,16 44:18.20 79:17 58:13,14,21,22 **limited** 101:4 96:12,15,19,22 66:1 67:3,9,19 meet 58:18 98:12,16,21 100:2 59:9,14 60:10 line 34:7 39:20 41:9 68:1,6,12,14 meeting 1:7,11 3:6 100:9,17,22 63:6 64:3,5 66:3 46:19 75:14 76:8 77:3,6 5:22 7:6 19:20 101:13,21 102:5 67:1 68:2,3,9 70:6 **list** 84:13 77:10 80:1,6,10 21:10 23:5 27:1 102:15,21 103:5,7 70:8 71:10,13 literally 77:2 83:14 81:15 100:14 34:13 38:18 49:10

50.7 62.12 90.1	60.9 96.20 99.4			92.1 00.9 01.14
59:7 62:13 80:1	69:8 86:20 88:4	necessity 85:7	nuances 9:21	83:1 90:8 91:14
99:10 102:18	88:13 99:11	need 7:2 8:22 9:22	number 17:7 53:12	92:5,15 94:22
105:13	101:12	10:20 16:21 22:19	57:7 58:3,10,10	95:1 96:3,15 97:1
meetings 22:20 48:20 49:7 56:4	money's 60:12	24:4 30:2,11,12	64:18	97:5,9,12,19
	months 9:9 46:13	39:4,5,15 41:20	numbers 36:14	98:19 103:2,3
75:16	46:15	42:7 44:8 45:7	38:15 54:16 69:1	104:11 105:6
meets 55:12	morning 38:18	46:17 50:22 52:20	numerous 83:13,16	old 41:4 83:9
Member 17:12	motion 4:18,21 5:5	64:17,19 70:12,13	84:14 85:10	on-campus 40:21
members 1:13	97:5,12 105:2,5	75:12,21 79:10,15	nurturing 95:8	once 24:11 25:14
51:21 54:22 81:8	Motor 2:9 4:8 82:3	80:9 81:12 84:3	nuts 15:18	26:11 54:8
mention 51:22	83:2	90:20 99:5,22	0	ones 95:14
mentioned 10:22	move 4:5,8 6:9,15	103:19	object 11:7 46:3	open 54:15 76:17
23:4	6:21 7:7,16,19	needed 12:16 13:21	objections 5:1,4	77:7 86:13
Merry 104:10	10:1,3 12:19	15:13,20 67:5	•	opening 104:7
met 39:8 41:9 66:20	18:18 19:19,21	84:22 96:21 98:4	obligated 69:16	operating 7:10
method 84:6	27:17,20 28:8	needs 8:12 22:9	obligating 46:4	operation 25:11
Metro 41:14	34:16 37:21 38:14	24:21 33:18 78:18	obtain 12:6	operational 25:2
micromanaging	39:5,15 40:12	78:20	obvious 84:10	operations 42:15
59:16	42:7,8 61:10,15	negative 52:2	obviously 7:16 9:16	89:19
Middle 66:16,16,19	64:11,16 65:17	negotiate 6:13	25:9 57:20	opinion 29:22
67:7,13,21 68:4,8	66:7 69:3,6,15,19	Ness 64:12,17	occasions 83:14,17	78:13
77:13	69:22 70:13 75:17	net 6:20	occupancy 104:6	opportunities
midst 102:16	75:17 80:12 84:5	neutral 17:20 19:6	Office 6:3 88:8	85:10,12
million 48:10 56:21	103:20	19:10	104:4	opportunity 6:16
57:10,13 58:1	moved 7:22 21:15	never 20:2 32:15	Officer 9:18	19:5,11 79:18
61:20 62:15 63:3	22:10 68:17,18	39:13 64:3,10	official 48:10	85:17
64:7 69:3 70:5,7	97:4,6 105:3	73:10 80:16 81:1	officially 103:14	opposed 15:17
72:15,19,20 73:6	movement 103:18	81:1 101:17 102:1	officials 48:5 54:9	17:12 97:11
74:5,6,15,16	moving 6:8 7:14	102:10	Officio 1:17	opposition 21:16
mind 42:10	8:2,16 10:13	new 3:4 5:15 14:21	offset 73:6	option 40:20
mindful 55:15	11:21 20:15 22:14	41:8 69:6 83:22	oh 12:12 21:18	options 16:17 37:7
59:14	22:18 28:1,10,22	86:7 87:13 90:19	29:10 36:21 82:5	62:18 70:9 72:13
minimum 61:20	31:5 34:20 38:21	103:10,10	82:13 89:8,11	73:8 80:3,7
minor 18:20	43:20 61:21 73:1	nice 3:4 89:15	okay 4:2 5:6,10,14	order 2:3 14:17
minute 39:11	80:16 103:15	nine-month 56:6	7:1 8:4 12:11	15:1 33:19 42:12
minutes 2:5 4:7,14	multiple 85:3	Nobody's 52:8	14:13 18:16 20:21	62:17 80:12
4:15,22 5:6 51:7,9		non-agency 71:22	20:22 21:6,21	organization 94:14
misinterpret 49:10	N	72:7	24:12 26:15,15,17	original 32:1,8
misunderstand	name 17:3 70:6	non-government	26:17 30:16 37:14	ought 64:11
93:1	narrow 15:5	72:5	42:21 45:1,11	outcome 27:20
misunderstanding	nationally 36:15	normally 57:5	46:16 51:9 54:14	outlived 83:10
22:13	navigate 34:15	North 60:19 61:6	54:15 55:4 59:3	outliving 87:10
mode 28:11	near 36:10	79:2 86:5	60:15 63:9,15,19	outside 29:8 44:11
model 32:3,18 38:5	necessarily 15:20	not-to-be-named	64:1,12,22 65:6,9	overall 38:21 74:7
models 14:9	54:2 58:5	61:3	65:12 66:1 68:1	owner 69:12,13,18
money 6:22 14:17	necessary 6:11	notice 76:6	68:12 73:15 79:9	
26:21 35:12 42:14	33:13	notifying 7:18	81:14,22 82:8,20	P
	ı	<u> </u>	1	<u>I</u>

P-R-O-C-E-E-D	percent 37:5	position 8:13 19:16	price 99:21	provoked 80:18
3:1	percent's 103:14	35:14	pricing 92:7	public 52:20 54:9
p.m 1:11 3:2	period 50:21 56:6	positioned 9:4	primarily 5:19 9:18	92:20
105:12	90:2	possibly 27:22	primary 84:9	public/private 6:10
P.R 72:20 73:2	permanent 99:4	100:6	priority 87:4	publicly 7:17 20:16
78:12,22	perspective 15:16	postal 66:11,22	private 17:6	pulling 53:9
package 13:3,3,11	peruse 4:16	potential 8:17 53:7	pro 19:4	pump 70:14
16:17 94:6	phase 40:3 82:16	potentially 28:11	probably 7:21 56:5	purchase 86:21
packages 16:21	phases 13:15 32:2	52:19	56:6 64:6,20	88:14 93:4,4
page 95:5	pick 39:8	practice 103:10	78:15 83:6 101:6	purchased 84:18
paper 39:8 40:9	picked 93:14	predecessor 65:8	problem 30:14	purchasing 83:22
parcel 16:9	piece 13:2	predominant 84:10	39:18 43:6 45:8	88:10
Pardon 74:13	pieces 34:20 40:22	84:20	45:17 58:16 96:8	pure 44:7
parents 94:13	place 34:2 83:21	preface 74:11	problematic 87:12	purpose 5:16 88:9
park 1:22 87:1,19	86:4	preliminary 19:4	problems 52:2	96:6
87:19 88:1 102:14	plan 5:20 6:1 7:11	premier 95:8	procedure 12:18	purposes 85:14
parking 101:12,16	10:19,21 11:5,12	preoccupied 60:4	proceed 6:3 7:4	pushing 87:3
101:20 102:2	14:7 15:6,9,21	prepare 12:17	27:2 28:5 33:15	put 8:6 40:9 44:3
part 6:1 8:10 15:5	30:20 31:13 32:1	prepared 13:18	44:7,9 47:9,10	45:20 56:17 62:11
16:9 31:2 42:15	32:8 45:19 50:3,4	present 1:13,19	51:4 55:9,21 62:3	73:12 86:9 95:12
42:16 46:11 63:3	50:7,8,9,11,13,17	3:12,14,16,19,21	87:16	putting 5:16 62:7
70:4 104:6	52:22 53:4 60:22	60:5	proceeding 34:8	
participate 4:12	65:20,21,22 70:13	presentation 33:1,2	process 12:18 53:2	Q
participated 16:13	73:13,14 80:18,20	41:4	71:11	qualify 99:16
participating 105:9	81:3 93:21	presented 9:8 19:9	procurement 95:5	Quashie 1:22 90:6
particular 96:6	planning 2:7 4:9	20:2 53:2 65:12	produce 72:11	92:2,8,9,10,21
particularly 37:4	5:9 25:12 81:17	65:13 82:12 102:5	professional 13:14	93:5 94:3
53:6,18 54:10	plans 26:1	presenting 9:6	47:20	question 8:20 12:4
parties 7:18 44:11	please 11:3 92:22	101:19	professionally 59:1	21:19,20 23:13
partner 9:19 40:2	103:22	president 1:18,21	program 43:14	26:18 27:4,16
56:3	plus 79:1	3:21 6:2 22:2	70:15 82:14 84:14	30:1 35:1 40:1
partners 40:8	point 16:10 24:3,22	37:13,15,18 42:2	progressing 43:20	61:5 62:1 63:1
partnership 6:10	27:8 32:13,17,21	58:12 59:6,11	prohibitive 61:16	64:2 65:5 66:2
20:1 55:11	33:7,21 37:21	60:9,13 62:4,10	61:17	86:17 89:2 95:2
parts 61:21 89:14	38:7 48:3 52:11	63:8,10,13,17,20	project 1:23 13:15	96:14 101:15
PASS 85:8	62:1 65:4 87:5	64:2,15 65:6,9,16	13:16 39:16 40:12	103:8
path 75:20	94:20	66:1 67:3,9,19	59:2	questioning 96:10
pathway 71:5	pointed 17:19,22	68:1,6,12,14 69:2	projected 13:12	questions 34:14,17
patiently 104:2	points 54:12	75:14 76:8 77:3,6	36:10 69:2	40:16 61:2 64:5
pay 18:8 37:2 69:16	policy 64:21 93:2,3	77:10 80:6,10	projecting 74:19	84:17 86:14 97:3
Paygo 88:12	94:18,19 103:10	81:15 98:2 99:5	property 7:20	104:12,13 105:1
pays 18:1	politics 76:2,5	99:17 100:14	100:5	quick 82:13
pencil 40:9	popping 80:13	104:4	proposal 46:16	quickly 60:19
people 39:5 40:16	population 11:17	presidential 100:16	protect 52:9	quorum 3:10 4:1
42:21 49:17 54:18	11:19 12:6 16:4	presiding 1:12	provide 6:12 18:21	quote 23:3
68:4,9 69:5 77:12	26:9	presume 102:8,9	58:6	
perceive 21:11	portion 36:11	previous 41:4	provided 4:15	
				radius 100:5
	1	•	•	•

10 10 70 11		1 , , 05 0	1 60 14	1.5.15.615.40.5
raising 19:18 73:11	refurbished 83:12	retreats 85:9	sake 68:14	seek 5:17 6:15 43:5
75:10	regardless 17:13	revenue 17:20	sale 89:13	98:16
range 12:20 53:13	REGINALD 1:15	19:11,16 85:13,22	salvageable 89:14	seeking 21:8 44:6
56:10 99:21	REGULAR 1:7	89:12 98:8,11	satisfied 9:22 26:1	47:17 51:5 55:11
reaction 52:3 80:19	relates 5:19 7:3 9:3	102:1	satisfying 16:8	82:18
read 48:4,5	21:10 34:9 55:22	review 25:5	savings 72:19 73:5	seen 38:5 53:21
ready 24:12 38:9	relationship 8:15	reviewing 42:18	73:19	selection 56:8
42:22 43:2 49:11	20:5	RFP 23:21 24:9	saw 37:15	sell 85:21 98:7
80:4	reliable 84:3,6	25:7 43:5 46:10	saying 10:19 12:21	Senior 1:23
reaffirm 29:1	87:14	56:18	12:22 14:1 17:13	sense 15:7 39:2
real 1:21 6:2 11:20	reluctant 25:13	ribbon 104:7	30:12 36:21 42:5	67:11 81:14 94:8
13:13 33:4,13	remains 84:22	riders 91:22	42:7 43:1 44:5	98:7
44:21 63:16 80:2	remarks 4:10	right 3:5 10:3 15:17	45:13 47:10,19	sentence 29:6
82:13	remember 17:3	17:18 21:13,17,21	49:19 52:10,13,13	separate 64:22 65:2
realistic 13:17	remind 19:1	21:22,22,22 30:3	52:14 74:12 76:18	66:8 68:18
realistically 56:6	renovate 69:4,5	31:3 35:10 36:7	80:12 91:18 94:4	sequence 6:8 26:19
reality 33:7 52:21	renovations 101:20	37:4 38:11 40:10	says 29:1 43:12	serious 33:17 38:1
realize 14:17 15:1	rent 37:3,8 69:16	41:8 44:12,16,22	45:20	service 84:3 90:9
reallocated 88:20	rental 85:18 86:21	45:18 48:21 49:2	scenario 20:3,9	sessions 58:18
88:22	renting 87:21	49:5 51:20 52:3,6	scheduling 22:20	Seventeen 72:17
really 12:10 13:2	report 5:12	52:8 54:5,6,14	schematic 34:4	shaky 41:6
16:16 22:11 28:14	request 56:20	56:18 57:2 59:20	schematics 9:8 33:9	share 19:5
33:11 36:6 48:12	67:13 71:14 77:11	65:13 66:7 69:14	46:2	shared 62:20,21
52:20 64:3 78:6	77:19 81:2 83:3	71:19,21 72:7	scheme 10:10 19:8	71:8
87:10 88:17	83:22 86:10 88:8	73:9 76:8 77:14	58:22	Shelton 1:16 3:15
reason 6:19 19:17	requested 102:15	78:14,19 81:2,16	schemes 59:8	3:16 4:18 12:10
62:6 84:2,21	102:21	82:4,16 84:1 85:1	school 36:8 92:16	12:12,14,15 15:4
103:10	require 93:18	85:18 86:12 88:16	92:20 96:18	29:18 30:1,9
reasonable 27:15	required 18:2	89:21 90:21 94:17	scope 31:18,19,21	32:10,13,17,22
72:1,3	51:15	97:14 98:13,14,15	35:1	33:8 47:11,18
reasons 13:9 64:8	requirement 9:2	98:19 104:22	se 10:20	50:2,7,12,16,20
recall 9:1 14:11	57:16 66:20 67:11	right-sizing 65:20	second 4:20 39:20	51:6,11 58:17,20
19:1 32:19	requirements 16:8	65:22 73:12,14	seconded 4:22 97:7	59:4,9 89:1,7,9
recollection 17:17	rescue 83:18 87:8	80:18 97:22	97:8 105:4,6	91:6,10,14,22
recommendation	research 77:11	road 26:11 36:21	Secondly 64:15	92:5,15,22 93:7
47:14 61:15	residential 41:16	56:2	Secretary 1:20	93:17 94:4,9,12
recommendations	49:12	roll 2:3 3:9	sector 17:6	94:21 97:7 101:10
61:1,9	respect 15:3,5	room 14:20	security 34:5	101:14,22 102:6
reconcile 43:16	71:17 72:14	rooms 30:21 31:16	see 3:4 11:17 13:11	102:19 103:1
reconsider 29:10	respectively 83:7	31:17 36:6	14:2,10 23:13	104:1,9,14 105:3
record 14:19 77:22	respond 60:21 61:5	route 4:11 83:15	26:6 29:7,21	Sherry 1:22 56:9
records 77:19	61:7 76:13 94:2	run 25:3 35:12	33:18 34:8 39:18	90:4 91:17 92:4,4
red 41:9	restriction 49:15	running 52:8 54:11	39:22 41:22 43:12	92:9,9
reduced 71:9	result 71:9	rushing 40:15	69:21 77:11 87:6	short 51:13 61:17
reference 10:21	resurface 99:6		90:18 103:17	74:12,14,16,20
referenced 80:20	retain 98:17	S	seeing 5:4 11:16	show 34:3
reflect 14:19 77:20	retreat 16:14	safe 56:10	28:10	shown 32:4
	-	-	-	-

11.50.5	1 . 100 0	10 17 22 21 11	167041416	10 6 15 10
side 52:7	special 88:8	19:17,22 21:11	16:7 24:14,16	talking 13:6 15:18
sign 103:11	specific 27:14	27:4,10 29:13,17	29:1 35:16,17	16:7 22:12 37:20
signs 14:11,12	specifically 66:6	40:14 50:18 89:22	36:16 46:21 60:7	38:11 39:12 40:18
simple 44:7	specifics 28:21 30:8	94:15	84:18	42:6 47:2 48:6
simply 86:19	30:10,12	steps 11:22 22:4,5	supported 15:9	53:18,22 54:17
singled 95:22	spend 14:16 33:12	24:8 29:12 35:19	supporting 8:2,13	64:5 76:4 92:20
sir 32:12 96:19	42:14 75:1 77:22	35:22	supportive 12:3	103:21
104:16	86:20	stop 59:20	13:10 51:8 54:21	talks 48:10
sit 22:7 90:16	spending 60:20	stopping 14:6	54:22 76:16	tangents 43:17,18
sitting 41:13 59:7	spent 26:20,21	stops 12:21 14:4	supports 23:15	tax 99:16,16
situation 14:3	87:20	Strategic 5:20 6:1	35:15	team 14:13 27:7
36:19 71:3	spoke 95:15	10:19 15:6 60:22	suppose 48:17	33:14 103:16
six 46:13,15	spoken 19:10	70:13	86:18	teams 85:4
sizable 26:20	spring 83:21	strategies 68:10	sure 3:9 4:12 6:17	technically 57:13
sizing 65:13 81:3	103:21 104:8	79:9	16:3,13,19 20:17	57:16
84:1	stab 10:16	Street 60:19 79:3	22:3,16 24:20	tell 24:8 27:6,7 30:2
slip 43:13	stabilization 11:18	structure 54:19	31:14 36:18 38:2	44:9 51:20 86:20
small 6:21 36:11	staff 83:21 85:9	struggling 70:21	43:1,3 49:8 54:20	102:1
social 95:6	stagnant 24:13	student 11:17,19	55:15 58:7 61:7	telling 13:4
solicitation 6:9	30:22	12:5 16:4 18:12	68:6,6 76:12 77:7	ten 50:21
7:17 19:22 20:16	stakeholder 53:18	35:16 36:1,16	78:3 80:10 87:14	ten-year 50:11,12
21:7,12 22:6,22	stakeholders 9:17	37:5 84:11 92:19	94:2 96:1 99:6	50:17
27:5,5 31:7,10,12	10:5 27:10 29:4	103:4,8	101:21	tenant 69:15
31:15,16 35:6	44:14 56:1,12	students 18:2,7	surely 70:7	term 13:17 61:17
53:7 54:15 55:4	standing 83:20	28:1 37:2 40:18	survey 14:2	74:12,15,16,21,21
55:10 56:7,15,18	start 38:11 44:19	41:8 71:6 83:16	surveys 41:3	terminal 47:14
84:8	53:21 54:8 75:20	83:18 84:6 87:7	sustained 26:8	terms 6:13 8:17
solicitations 29:3	101:19	91:2 92:12,14,17		33:14 60:22 69:11
solid 14:7	started 97:22	93:18 94:10,19	<u>T</u>	testimony 41:11
somebody 29:8	state 9:2 19:3 22:7	studies 16:6 23:21	table 2:1 8:6 9:19	thank 4:2 8:19
56:8 69:19 89:14	22:20 26:2 33:11	25:4 42:11,17,20	10:14 44:4 55:7	81:15,19 82:10
89:14	33:16 34:10 35:8	42:22 43:2	76:10 102:20	86:12 88:3 104:20
soon 29:7	39:9,12 41:10,15	study 48:16	take 6:16 10:15	104:21 105:8,10
sooner 70:14	41:19 45:4,13,18	stuff 31:4 42:18	20:6 29:11,12	theoretically 18:1
sophomore 18:3	46:9 48:6,15	43:7	35:18,20,21 40:21	99:20
sorry 12:13 17:3	53:15 69:22	sublet 72:12	56:3 62:8 63:4	they'd 77:6
21:2 32:14 51:9	statement 30:5	subsidizing 79:20	70:10 105:1	thing 8:13 17:4
62:9 63:10,14	81:6	sudden 35:21	taken 73:10 75:19	30:19 43:16 59:17
69:13 82:10 91:17	States 66:16,17,19	sufficient 10:9	talk 8:15 22:9 38:2	64:13 67:4
sort 28:21	67:7,14,21 68:4,8	47:12	39:5 40:17 45:4	things 35:5,8 38:8
sought 84:7	77:13	suggest 18:15	46:8,9 48:14	39:3,6 40:7 43:11
space 61:12,13 69:4	status 61:4,6 68:21	suggested 27:1 44:4	50:19 64:19 71:2	54:1 59:14 60:6
69:5,20 78:15	stay 41:15 73:15	80:17	73:18 75:12 80:3	64:18 70:16 91:11
79:18	100:15	suggests 57:22	81:9 82:3 89:17	think 10:4,13,18
speak 19:14 87:17	staying 74:21	summarized 60:20	talked 15:10 38:17	11:13 15:6,20,22
90:4	step 6:7 9:10,16	summary 95:5	40:2 67:6 72:18	16:3,9 17:10,15
speaks 19:13	11:4,4 18:21 19:7	support 10:22 11:8	73:4 99:9,18	18:11 23:15 24:4

24.5 7 14 17 26.4	timaly 70.4	78:19 81:22 86:15	unanimausly 5.5	volid 22.12
24:5,7,14,17 26:4	timely 70:4		unanimously 5:5	valid 33:13
26:6 27:15,16	times 83:11 85:5	86:16 87:22 89:1	97:13	value 53:10 55:19
28:5 29:13 30:3	86:5 87:6	89:7,9,17 91:6,10	understand 7:3	Van 64:12,17
30:15 32:21 34:19	timing 35:4 36:18	91:14,22 92:5,15	14:16,22 20:14	various 54:1 85:10
35:7,9 36:13	today 3:8 5:17 19:9	92:22 93:7,17	39:2 42:11 58:7	vary 84:9
39:16 40:13,19,20	31:8,14 38:3 75:4	94:4,9,12,21	58:15 86:17 96:5	vendor 87:12
41:7,20 42:13,21	78:16 79:7 102:18	95:20 96:4,9,13	96:12	venture 61:20
43:4,7,19,21 45:6	told 66:13 103:14	96:17,20 97:1,4,7	understanding	versus 86:21
45:10 46:3,8,9,10	tonight 62:2	97:17,20 98:10,13	5:21 6:4,18 7:11	Vice 1:21 6:2 69:2
47:8,9 52:12	tool 86:8	98:14,19 99:1	7:12 8:1 10:8	104:4
54:18,21 55:1,8	topic 81:18	100:8,10,12,18	18:18 23:8 34:22	view 12:9 24:20
56:10 57:19 60:1	total 13:14	101:1,5,7,10,14	38:16 51:4 55:10	25:2 31:1
60:6,7,16 71:10	towed 83:13	101:22 102:6,19	93:16	viewed 21:16
71:11 72:6,6,8,13	track 90:22	103:1 104:1,9,12	uniformity 23:18	vigorous 103:17
73:7 75:11,14	transit 83:15 84:6	104:14 105:3,4	units 46:16	Virginia 41:10,15
77:21 79:11,19	85:3 86:4	trustee's 70:6	university 1:1 6:12	41:18 83:13
80:5,8,22 81:4,7	transport 91:2	Trustees 1:4,13 3:7	6:20 9:13 11:6,10	virtue 91:21
81:12,20 82:2	transportation	4:11 10:18 11:3,7	18:1 20:3,8 25:13	vision 15:2 80:19
85:15 90:17 94:16	87:1 94:6	11:15 12:3 23:15	43:13 44:11 46:5	vote 30:4 34:9
98:2 100:19,20	treatment 99:17	51:13 61:5	46:12 53:11 71:3	51:14 73:10,11
thinking 21:17 26:5	Trinity 41:18	truthful 41:6	71:7 83:6 85:17	voted 5:22 65:16
39:19 45:22 59:21	trouble 59:12	try 10:15 54:11	86:2,11 87:15	80:16
62:22 63:11 84:17	true 98:15	72:12 76:10 89:15	91:5,15 92:3	votes 64:16
90:22	trustee 3:14,16,19	trying 23:1 47:6	93:14,18 94:19	
Thompson 1:23	4:18,20 8:8,9	70:22 83:12 89:3	95:7 96:18 99:15	<u>W</u>
104:3,3	12:10,12,14,15	90:14	99:21	wait 39:11 90:16
thought 16:14 17:2	14:20,21 15:4	Tuesday 1:9 3:8	University's 59:19	waiting 87:7 104:2
41:5 47:21 51:1	16:11,12 17:16,21	turn 4:14	83:4 98:1	waive 64:21 67:10
53:2 54:6 56:20	21:2,4,13,18,21	turning 37:16	update 5:18	waiver 71:11 99:12
61:18 66:19 72:9	26:14 28:18 29:18	two 35:19,21 39:20	upset 39:13	waiving 76:17
73:21	30:1,7,9,11,16,18	40:22 50:11 74:2	upside 19:15	walls 29:9
thoughts 12:15	31:18,19 32:10,13	82:6,9,11,17 83:4	USDE 67:6,10	want 14:1 15:22
three 25:18 32:3,4	32:17,22 33:8	83:5 84:8,8,21	use 6:14 9:21 57:14	19:1,2,13,18
35:21 41:8 45:5	34:18 47:5,11,18	85:2 86:11 89:9	83:4 85:8,8,10,18	20:17 21:2 22:3
52:3 70:18	47:22 48:18,22	90:19 98:5	88:9,22 92:13	22:13,16 25:7
threshold 12:2 18:9	49:3,6,9,18,22	two-mile 99:14	98:17	26:6 35:11 37:11
55:13 57:22	50:2,6,7,12,16,20	two/three 36:20	usefulness 83:10	38:8 39:7 42:8
throw 35:12	51:6,10,11,16,17	type 81:5,5 99:18	87:10	44:2,4 45:7 46:1
thrown 81:3	54:5 56:14 57:6	Typically 54:5	user 84:10,10,20	47:9 50:19 51:22
time 11:15 12:18	58:2,8,17,20 59:4		users 84:9 95:15	52:1 53:8 54:16
13:19 23:18 24:16	59:9 60:11,15	<u>U</u>	96:16,17	57:2 59:15,18,21
26:5,21 54:10	61:3,4 65:3,7,10	U.S 64:20 67:21	uses 95:12	60:3 76:1,17,21
56:1,7 59:20	65:18,21 66:5,12	68:16	usually 54:7 94:5,6	76:22 77:7 78:2
60:20 61:16 62:4	67:17,20 68:2,7	UDC 41:17 48:5,9	utility 84:14 85:20	81:11 87:15 95:21
63:4 77:22 85:3	68:13 73:14,18	49:11 87:6	101:4	95:22 99:6 100:15
90:3 100:3,4	74:3,8,10,14,20	Um-hum 5:11 58:7		103:16
102:17 104:15	75:2,6 77:18 78:2	64:14 73:15 94:7	V	wanted 6:16 13:10
	•	•	•	•

23:10 30:17 41:15	39:8 40:5 46:1,16	zero-base 102:7		
41:16 70:16 96:4	50:3 53:22 59:1	Zoning 50:10,10	8	
		Zonnig 50.10,10	80 37:4	
102:19	64:3 65:4 79:20	0	801 38:9,12 60:18	
wants 43:15 86:14	98:2 99:18 102:7		60:21 61:4,6 63:2	
wards 72:22	week 48:4	1	64:5 72:20 75:17	
warranty 90:2,5,9	went 48:9 67:1 68:3	195:5	75:17,17 78:3,4	
90:11	68:8	104 2:13	79:2 80:17 84:13	
Washington 1:12	west 22:11	15 104:8	81 2:9	
wasn't 29:11 42:2	wheels 37:16	17 73:19,21		
61:18 72:21 80:21	Wilson 22:12	17-year 74:1	9	
water 41:4	wise 10:4	17 year 7 1.1		
way 10:21 14:12	words 19:2	2		
29:13,21 31:10	work 9:20 13:4	20 103:14		
35:17 40:4 46:5	17:5 24:11 25:22	20/20 80:19		
52:18 59:5 68:10	42:16 56:11 62:19	200 31:16 32:2,5		
81:7 89:12 90:12	100:7	39:17,21 43:15		
98:8	Workforce 85:6	46:16		
ways 17:8	working 6:12 7:22	200/300 37:2		
we'll 4:7,7 38:22	20:7	2014 1:10 3:8		
56:16 60:9 82:18	workings 9:20	2017 45:14		
90:18 99:22	worth 29:17	25 83:6,7		
103:20 105:1	wouldn't 46:15	28 83:6		
we're 4:6 7:9,10 9:6	67:15	20 03.0		
11:10 13:18 16:19	wow 36:21	3		
16:22 17:7 20:12	wrinkle 62:12	3 2:3		
20:17 21:4 22:7	writing 77:19	30 83:7		
22:14 23:1 24:12	wrong 26:10	300 31:17		
25:1 27:18 28:1	T 7	307 19:12		
30:13 31:2,13	X			
35:5,13,18 36:4	X 17:7 25:8 29:2	4		
36:19 38:3 39:4	Y	4 2:5 70:5,7		
40:13,18 42:8,8		400 40:5		
42:22 43:16 45:6	year 3:4 5:15 14:21	44 69:6		
45:8,13,20,21	17:7 36:10,10	4H 84:11		
47:10 50:14,20	74:2,4,4 86:19			
52:12,15 55:11	87:20,22 88:1	5		
59:6,11,22 63:1	101:12 102:8,9	5 2:7 72:20 74:6		
69:14,15 70:8	104:6			
74:18 76:9 79:10	years 25:18,19	6		
79:15,17 85:18	36:21 45:6 50:11	6:00 1:11		
86:6,22 87:4,10	50:14,21 70:10	6:08 3:2		
89:11 101:19	72:17 73:19,22	600 32:1 39:19 40:4		
104:5	74:2 83:7 87:3	600-bed 49:12		
we've 11:9 16:4	90:10			
20:2 25:1 26:1,20	yield 6:20	7		
26:21 30:21 31:1	York 86:7	7 1:10		
37:19,21 38:5	7	7:27 105:12		
,	Z	7th 3:8		
	ı	ı	<u>ı </u>	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Board of Trustees Facilities

Committee Meeting

Before: UDC

Date: Tuesday, January 7, 2014

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus &