Page 1

## UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

## **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

+ + + + +

## STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MEETING

+ + + + +

Thursday,

January 16, 2014

The meeting convened at 6:00 p.m., Errol Schwartz, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEMBERS PRESENT:

ERROL SCHWARTZ, Chair
CHRISTOPHER BELL (via telephone)
KENNETH ISAACS
JEROME SHELTON

## ALSO PRESENT:

MARIA BYRD, Office of Information Technology JAMES CONTRERAS, Director of Financial Aid JOEL LIMERICK, President and CEO of Document Systems, Inc. (DSI)

SMRUTI RADKAR, Assistant General Counsel
MICHAEL SINDRAM
DENISE SLAUGHTER, Provost's Office

MARC STROTHERS, Interim Director of Residence
Life

CONTENT	Page 2
AGENDA ITEM:	PAGE
1. Call to Order	
and Roll Call	3
2. Opening Remarks	
3. Approval of Minutes	(Not ready)
4. Admissions and Enrollment	4
5. Financial Aid	5
6. Housing	24
7. Records Management	50
8. Testimony	75
8. Closing Remarks	83
Adjournment	85

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

	Page 3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	6:15 p.m.
3	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: The time is now
4	6:15 on the 16th of January.
5	Ms. Slaughter, could you call the
6	rolls for this committee meeting.
7	MS. SLAUGHTER: Yes, sir. Errol
8	Schwartz, Chair.
9	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Here.
10	MS. SLAUGHTER: Christopher Bell.
11	MEMBER BELL: Present.
12	MS. SLAUGHTER: Jerome Shelton.
13	MR. SHELTON: Present.
14	MS. SLAUGHTER: Kenneth Isaacs.
15	MR. ISAACS: Present.
16	MS. SLAUGHTER: That's four
17	members, sir.
18	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: So we have a
19	quorum. Thank you much.
20	The first order of business is to
21	modify the agenda. We have someone who would
22	like to provide testimony. So I'm making a

_	Page 4
1	motion to modify the agenda, to add that item
2	right after the Records Management
3	presentation.
4	MR. ISAACS: Second.
5	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: All in favor?
6	(Chorus of ayes)
7	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: The ayes have it.
8	The agenda is now modified.
9	Item No. 3 is approval of the
10	minutes. The minutes are not available this
11	evening, so we will bypass that item, and
12	we'll get on to Item No. 4, which is
13	Admissions and Enrollment.
14	MEMBER EPPS: I will speak briefly
15	on that topic. The semester enrollment is
16	going well. The figures are not available for
17	us right now because they're still enrolling
18	students. Normally, the enrollment in the
19	spring is slightly less than fall semesters.
20	But we'll be reporting out the final numbers
21	to you, once they become available.
22	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: And you're doing

	Page 6
1	MS. SLAUGHTER: I can't either.
2	MR. CONTRERAS: Okay. Do you want
3	me to
4	MEMBER BELL: It's not clear,
5	right now.
6	MEMBER EPPS: Okay. Perhaps you
7	need to come over here.
8	MR. CONTRERAS: Okay.
9	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
10	MEMBER EPPS: Thank you.
11	MEMBER BELL: Thank you.
12	MR. CONTRERAS: This past fall
13	semester, we disbursed over \$27 million in
14	financial aid to approximatelymaking 4900
15	student award offers to over 4,400 graduates,
16	so we're two hundredgraduates, and over two
17	hundredand 293 law school students.
18	Normally, when I do the
19	presentation I want to focus on, briefly, cash
20	management, customer service, and program
21	compliance.
22	I provided sort of a breakdown of

those numbers, in terms of how much federal aid, institutional and industry gave, for your review, and a pie chart on that.

This past semester, we continued to look at process improvements in the Financial Aid Office. We've put in a call center, so that students weren't placed on hold, or had delayed calls when we have a rush of incoming students. So to balance the student contact in person versus on the phone, would be out of the call center. We also added an online chat to our Web site, and we're going to continue to make process improvements in that area as well.

We are also having a number of financial aid awareness sessions. We're working with DC OSSE and the U.S. Department of Education, where we will host, on March 15th, which is a Saturday, a FAFSA College Expo. The intent of the expo is to encourage students to complete the FAFSA early. We'll have continuous online FAFSA completion

sessions, in addition to DC OSSE providing updates on their programs as it relates to DC Tag, the mayor's opportunity fund, and the latest proposal on the DC Promise.

will also provide a presentation on financial aid, some of the changes, that include the Pell maximum lifetime limit, the 150 percent maximum loan limits, and other change and updates to the federal student aid programs.

We also have DC-CAP promoting their program, and we also have invited a number of area colleges and universities to participate in the college fair. We so we have 20 universities registered, to date. We look forward to providing that event in March, as well as having continuous sessions for students here on campus.

In terms of federal reporting, we did so at the phys-op. We are working on our annual IPEDS report, which is due in February, and we should have that finalized here in the

next coming weeks. We are in the process of also liquidating the federal Perkins loan program.

We recently completed our recertification for the program participation in the Federal Student Loans Program, Federal Student Aid Programs, and that certification was for three years, and I think Dr. Epps wanted to kind of sort of "chime in." I think we're going to have a separate meeting to kind of go over that recertification and what it means at a later time.

Is that correct, Dr. Epps?

MEMBER EPPS: That's correct.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Could you tell me something about the federal Perkins loan program. What's that?

MR. CONTRERAS: The federal

Perkins loan program was a program that was a

student loan program provided by the Federal

Government. They stopped awarding the program,

and universities only had the option of

reallocating, returning funds. So if the university had loaned out a \$100,000, and students were making the minimum repayment of maybe \$50, if, in a given year they had 5,000 or \$10,000, they can reallocate that money, but there was going to be no more continued contributions from the Federal Government in the program.

The program had sat dormant, and upon my arrival, as director, about a year and a half ago, we decided, with the Finance Office, that it would cost more to operate the program as it's no longer receiving continued federal funding. So the intent was to liquidate it, which means we assign the loans to the Federal Government, and they collect on the loans, or the students send the loan payments to them, rather than us having a third party servicer, and they collect the money on behalf of the university.

In addition to that, students in the Perkins loan program had a higher cohort

default rate than the federal direct loan program. So the intent was, while we're having a harder time of having students pay this back, although we will continue to do default management, and that was one of my other agenda items, for students in this program, we decided to liquidate it.

We had 60 borrowers in repayment, and thirty of them were delinquent, or going in to default, which kind of led to the higher cohort default rate.

So our numbers weren't high, proportionally, but percentage-wise, it appeared that they were higher than what needed to be. But we just felt that it would be best to liquidate, rather than continue to administer a program that was no longer being federally funded.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CONTRERAS: Segueing that in to the cohort default rate, this new year, just as an FYI, the Department of Education

will transition in to what they call a three year cohort default rate instead of a two year cohort default rate, which means for a number of schools--as you know, student loan seem to be the "hot button issue" nowadays with \$1.2 trillion in student loan borrowing, and there's an increase in student loan defaults, and students repaying, and UDC is a victim of that, and we see our cohort default rate rising. So as a result, we are enhancing our default prevention plan, which will include more sessions, one-on-one counseling sessions as students withdraw or graduate, informing students of their rights and responsibilities of repaying the student loan, repayment options, such as income contingent repayment, and depending on their programs, various ways they can pay off that loan, such as the Americorps community service, and teacher loan forgiveness program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we're going to kind a increase that awareness. There's really no reason to be

Page 13

defaulting on this loan, and if you can't pay it, there's always deferment, forbearance options.

We did provide online sessions. In fact, the Department of Education has online sessions, and they sent an e-mail out informing students to take advantage of the new income contingent repayment. But we feel that--I think more one-on-one discussion needs to be given to the students.

And we are working with our loan servicer, through the Department of Education, to identify students that are on the delinquent list, so that we can provide them with these options, and give them a link that says, look, if you're having a financial hardship, it takes less than five minutes to go online, and say, hey, I'm having a hardship, I can't pay my bill, and get a deferment or forbearance, and there's various reasons and options, either in school, financial hardship, medical, and I think they

I really don't think there's any reason any student should be on default. But I think they're scared of the loan, or something, and so they're not repaying, which is causing the higher rate. But we're going to do what we can, as part of the default plan, to make sure students are informed, so that we can lower that rate, not only to the benefit of the university but to the benefit of the student.

And that's my report in a nutshell. Any questions?

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: What's our default rate at this time?

MR. CONTRERAS: Well, when we had the two year rate, it was at 7 percent. Now with the three year rate, it goes up to 14.2 percent, which is our draft 2010. The official 2011 cohort default rate won't come out till February.

Now you'll notice too--you may notice on my report, that in 2010, we had 12

million, student lending. This past 12-13
year, we had approximately 20 million. So that
sort of the law of averages is, you know, the
higher amount, there's going to be a larger
proportion. But it could offset. So if we have
more students in repayment, and even though
there may be an increase in students
defaulting, the number might lower. So it's
really kind of "a numbers game" as to how
that's going to average out.

But it did increase as a result.

And that's not uncommon. In fact, I gave a presentation to the president's cabinet, that shows that UDC's default rate is on average with what's going on in the nation.

So a lot of schools experienced a decrease, and part of it too--were a number of factors. You know, the recession. Students graduating, unable to find employment in their, you know, their related programs, so they're somewhat underemployed, and I think is why President Obama implemented the income-

1 contingent repayment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I think we'll probably see some leveling off. It may increase slightly, but I think once we get the word out on these options for repayment, we will see some level-

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Professor 8 Shelton.

> I was going to MEMBER SHELTON: ask a question about the students paying full Your numbers indicate that in 2011tuition. 12, there's 634 full-time pay 6:28:58\* tuition students. In 12-13, we had 483, and in 13-14, we had 501. Do we know where those--you know, who those students are? Are we looking at those students and trying to determine-because we spend so much time with the need group.

Is there anyone looking at the demographics of the students who are able to pay their own tuition, or who pay outside of the grants, and other support systems?

1 Epps?

MEMBER EPPS: Sorry. I was reading my report. You caught me that time.

MEMBER SHELTON: Dr. Contreras has been dealing specifically with the most inneed students, but we have 10 percent who don't apparently need support.

Is anybody looking at that number, to see where they're coming from, what they're registering for, and try to increase that?

MEMBER EPPS: We will. We do k now that--and Mr. Contreras has made presentations on, and when anybody has asked me my ideas, we did pass on that we needed to have more scholarships for students, one, on emergency basis, and for those students who don't qualify for aid but still have a need.

MEMBER SHELTON: Well, that's why
I'm asking, are these 10 percent, or, you
know, 11 to 10 percent students--are they
getting--are they scholarship? Are they
athletes? Are they musicians? You know, who

they are. Because, you know, we started a program for the first time in athletics, and we didn't give scholarships, and when I saw the number, I'm trying to figure out where else are these tuition-paying students?

What brings tuition-paying students here? because we need full tuition-paying students. With Dr. Contreras' concern, and our concern about the delinquency, you have to be able to demonstrate an improvement in other avenues of student enrollment.

MEMBER EPPS: And also the number of--and that's very true in terms of like more scholarships for students as well.

MR. CONTRERAS: But if I can interject. I think one of the trends of self-paying students are international students.

MEMBER SHELTON: Okay. That's what

I was trying to find out, what--

MR. CONTRERAS: And we find more students competing for that.

MEMBER SHELTON: -- the sourcing is

	Page 19
1	for that groupthat percentage. What's the
2	strongest source? I have no way of knowing. So
3	it would be something we have to look at.
4	MEMBER EPPS: Right. And I'll get
5	you those details.
6	MEMBER SHELTON: Okay. We've got
7	to generate as much student population as we
8	can, so we can control our budget, get more
9	control of our budget. So if we have
10	something that works and brings in independent
11	money, we need to explore that, and try to
12	expand it.
13	MEMBER EPPS: Right, because our
14	institutional money that we were, you know,
15	able to contribute, is drying up as well.
16	MEMBER SHELTON: It's real out
17	there.
18	MEMBER EPPS: But I will get you a
19	breakdown of that 10 percent.
20	MR. CONTRERAS: Are there any
21	
	other questions?
22	MEMBER ISAACS: I have one

question quick. Why was the law school numbers excluded from the student loan under the 2013-14 financial aid disbursements?

MR. CONTRERAS: Yes. We listed the law school at 8.6 million, and law school eight. But I did provide, or you should have received a breakdown, that I'll be happy to give to you, if you didn't, that sort of shows the percentage, and all of the programs, as to how much is going in in each area.

The law school's a different branch. I mean, they have their own like branch and OP ID number. So we provide the numbers but we, yeah, we didn't include any overall totals. But just for your information, for the law school actually--I looked at that earlier myself--and their borrowing for the year is at, let's see, seven--they have about a little over 8 million in student loans.

MEMBER ISAACS: Well, is that 8 million the fourth item on this sheet?

MR. CONTRERAS: Yes. In terms of

Page 22

support of that is why did you not do the same type of listing for all the categories? I mean, you did, two, four, six, seven, eight distribution categories, and you didn't do the same thing for each other. I'd like to have seen all of them listed, so that I can see all of them over the three year period you were describing. Okay?

MR. CONTRERAS: Okay. Actually, I have it like in this summary sheet, and then I have the breakdown of all the programs, in great detail. I apologize. I did send that off, but I apologize if you didn't get that, cause that would have provided you with the detail of what we disbursed, and what's pending disbursement for the spring semester.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: We would appreciate getting a copy of that by e-mail, if you will.

MR. CONTRERAS: Absolutely. I'll send it.

MEMBER SHELTON: And there was

somewhere, we were mentioning the default rate. There hasn't been a significant in the default numbers, or it hasn't had the analyzing of--you know, at one point, I think we were around 13 or so percent.

MR. CONTRERAS: Yes. We were actually at 7.2 percent in the two year cohort default rate. Now when it goes to three year, and it's going on the draft 2010 year, it jumps to 14.2 percent.

Now as I mentioned, that's as of 2010 when we only have 12 million in student loan debt. Now for 12-13, we had approximately 19 million. So when the 2012 comes up, we're going to sort of see a change ,and there are a lot of variables that can result, either in an increase or decrease. Just more students borrowing, if there's more in default, but because we have more borrowers, that can lower the default rate, economy improving, people getting jobs and being able to repay it, the income contingent option. So I think there's

a lot of variables that can tip it, either
way, but I think with the university's
approach and the default prevention plan that
we're implementing, I think our efforts will
be instrumental in lowering, at least at the
university level.

MEMBER SHELTON: Thank you.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Thank you.

MR. CONTRERAS: Thank you.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Our favorite

topic, Housing.

MEMBER EPPS: Okay. First of all,
I would like to announce that Tawanna Lee, who
was our director of Residence Life, is no
longer at the university, and has moved on to
the University of Notre Dame. We certainly
wish her well.

In the meantime, we had Marc
Strothers Marc, will you stand, please--who
has picked up the torch, and is running the
Residence Life on a interim basis, in addition
to--as part of his other responsibilities.

We do have a wonderful RA staff, and our lead RA is a student from the law school, Travis Bills, and we have several other, at least three other RAs, and they are doing a wonderful job.

I have not had to get up at 3:00 o'clock in the morning, and run over to see what's going on. They have certainly done a excellent job in assisting the students to move in.

Currently, even in your report, it says we have two vacancies, but we do have three vacancies, and those are for women only.

So I think we are doing well with that, and we have posted the position. We have formulated a Search Committee, and we are reviewing--they've gone through their training, had to be trained to serve on the Search Committee, and they all have gone through their training, and they will probably start interviewing student candidates next week.

	Page 26
1	MEMBER SHELTON: Are we doing
2	anythingI'm sorry.
3	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Go ahead.
4	MEMBER SHELTON:doing anything
5	to work on the three vacancies?
6	MEMBER EPPS: Yes. We are. We do
7	have a waiting list.
8	MEMBER SHELTON: Okay. That's what
9	I waswe have
10	MEMBER EPPS: Yes. But, you know,
11	some of the students don't tell us till the
12	last minute, that they're not coming. And so
13	we do have a wait list and they have been
14	calling
15	MEMBER SHELTON: To contact
16	MEMBER EPPS: Yes, to contact
17	students to let them know that we do
18	MEMBER SHELTON: And that would be
19	on a half-year, or whatever it is?
20	MEMBER EPPS: Yes. Absolutely.
21	MEMBER SHELTON: Okay.
22	MEMBER EPPS: We're also starting

Page 28

MEMBER EPPS: And 31 units in the 1 2 Archstone. And the staff is certainly--I've 3 asked them to prepare for us how many males, 4 females, how many are local, how many are 5 international. So we'll get those statistics to you. I can say, of those students that are 6 7 in there, 70 are student athletes. We have 58 8 athletes in the Archstone and 12 in the 9 Consulate. So out of the total students, we 10 have about 144, as of today, that have checked 11 in, and so we can see the distribution is 12 really equal. Almost equal. I'm sorry. Could 13 MEMBER SHELTON: 14 you clarify? How many units do we have 15 available in the Consulate? I heard you say 16 that there was 31 students but--MEMBER EPPS: No. 31 units. 17 18 MEMBER SHELTON: I'm sorry? 19 MEMBER EPPS: There are 10 units 20 in the Consulate. Eight are for students, and 21 two units for RAs.

MEMBER SHELTON: And how many

22

1 MEMBER EPPS: Yes.

2 MEMBER SHELTON: If they're

3 available.

MEMBER EPPS: Yes. We will have to check with Facilities, to make sure that they are available, and that the students are still interested.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay.

MEMBER SHELTON: There's got to be a plan in place for checking to see what next year's residents will be, I presume, and is there a written plan for recruiting for the next fall semester? How are we in the discussions about looking for students who want housing in the--next fall? Is there--

MEMBER EPPS: Marc is at a disadvantage at this point because Mrs. Lee's resignation was just effective December 31st. So we have practically new staff, in terms of the RAs, as well as the director, we lost some RAs, along with Mrs. lee. But like I say, we do have excellent candidates in the pool, and

option to increase the rooms by five, and it

looks like there are five persons per room in

20

21

22

the Consulate.

	Page 35
1	MEMBER EPPS: Yes.
2	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: So that's 25
3	persons we can take care of.
4	MEMBER EPPS: Absolutely.
5	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: And we need to
6	know, before coming to the board of trustees,
7	to know, if there a demand for rooms.
8	MEMBER EPPS: Right.
9	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: And how many. And
10	start negotiations with the Consulate, to see
11	if we can increase our capacity.
12	What's our relationship like with
13	the Consulate and the Archstone? Are we
14	MEMBER EPPS: I'm laughing at that

MEMBER EPPS: I'm laughing at that because you're so timely. I think the Consulate management is much more student-friendly than that of the Archstone, and if I were to say there were a plan, that I would work with Facilities about, it would be--one of the plans would be to decrease the number of units there, slowly decrease the number of units that we have in the Archstone, and

	Page 38
1	MR. STROTHERS: You're welcome.
2	MEMBER EPPS: But our students do
3	go through training and orientation. In fact,
4	there is one scheduled for tonight.
5	MR. STROTHERS: 8:00.
6	MEMBER EPPS: At 8:00 o'clock
7	tonight, for all of the residents, and Marc
8	will review what the rules are in terms of
9	occupancy and
10	MR. STROTHERS: Safety.
11	MEMBER EPPS: And the safety
12	regulations.
13	MR. STROTHERS: Rules, regulations,
14	policy, safety, hygiene, health.
15	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: And these rules
16	are in line with the management's philosophy
17	of occupancy?
18	MR. STROTHERS: Yes. And that was
19	part of the purpose of having that meeting
20	with the Archstone staff on Tuesday. We wanted
21	to make sure that we were "all on the same
22	page," of, you know, discussion, and to

Page 41 code of conduct. In the District of Columbia, 1 2 it is very difficult to remove a resident from 3 housing, and for us to be moving down the line of suggesting that we would be moving students 4 5 out, puts us in, I think, potentially in violations of the laws of moving people out of 6 7 your house. And especially when they've paid 8 us their--you know--paid us. 9 MR. STROTHERS: The money. Sure. 10 MEMBER EPPS: That's right. 11 MEMBER SHELTON: But if they're in 12 violation of our code of students conduct, 13 that is a different process. 14 MR. STROTHERS: Process. That's 15 correct. 16 MEMBER SHELTON: And so I guess we're negotiating because we want to stay in 17 18 the Archstone. But don't we have -- we only have 19 a one year agreement with the Archstone. 20 MEMBER BELL: No. We have a one 21 year agreement that's subject to, I think up 22 to four renewals, and I'm not sure--I hear

your concern, Trustee Shelton, but I'm not sure that even if a student was removed from the housing, that that would be a per se violation under our code of conduct.

But if they were removed for failure to pay rent, or what have you, or not timely payment, I don't know that that would automatically mean that they would then be removed for non-academic performance at the university. I think it would have to be reviewed.

MEMBER EPPS: Some of the things that one of the RA--well, one of the things that one of the RAs stated, as well, was this violation could be like in the computer room, or somebody could say, if they were too noisy, they'd ask them, what room are you? and you know as well as I do, if you were making noise, you wouldn't tell them your right room anyway.

And so we don't know--I mean, it could be a guest there. It could be someone

	Page 44
1	MR. STROTHERS: No.
2	MEMBER EPPS: No.
3	MEMBER ISAACS: It's we're still
4	in control of
5	MEMBER EPPS: We're still in
6	control.
7	MR. STROTHERS: Yes.
8	MEMBER EPPS: Yes. And we have
9	been using the judicial hearing method
10	MR. STROTHERS: Process.
11	Absolutely.
12	MEMBER EPPS:to discipline our
13	students.
14	MEMBER ISAACS: I just wanted to
15	make sure, because if they were able to put
16	our students out, and that creates
17	MR. STROTHERS: Yes, notthey
18	can't do it arbitrarily. No. They just can't
19	do it arbitrarily. No.
20	MEMBER ISAACS: Okay; okay.
21	MEMBER BELL: I think we should be
22	careful, because I don't think that we've

denied them their ability, if a tenant is violating apartment procedures, to be removed or evicted. But that doesn't necessarily have to correspond with a removal or eviction from the university as well as the student.

So I would imagine there are things that a student could be in violation of their lease, that would not necessarily lead to their removal as a student from the university, or conversely, if they're doing things at that apartment that violated the lease, it could result in the removal as well.

I think there would be have to be a hearing under those circumstances, to see, but I do think they have the right, for violations of their community policies, to have a student removed. And I think even if a student is removed, we're "on the hook."

MEMBER EPPS: Well, what we've done is to, if students like "tear up things," and is to charge that back to the student. But we do have a hearing. But thank you very much.

1 We'll also check up on that as well.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. Any other

discussions on housing?

MEMBER EPPS: That's it.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay.

MEMBER EPPS: Unless Chairman
Bell, or Trustee Bell, chairman of Facilities
Committee--I don't think that Trustee Schwartz
was attending the Facilities meeting. But at
that meeting, you did speak about the
Facilities meeting--the Facilities Committee
supporting the solicitation of the Facilities
team working on a developer--to find a
developer for possible on-campus housing.

Did I state that correctly?

MEMBER BELL: Yes. That's correct.

There was concern stated by Barbara Jumper, as well as the president, that they were a little unclear about what the board's commitment was to housing, and what I tried to make clear is that the board of trustees has always been committed to student housing, and that

commitment is evidenced by the master plan that has been approved. Barbara wanted to know, that based on that approval, if she could have the ability to go ahead and start discussions with the State Department and city council members about future plans for housing.

And we all agreed that that is allowable, that that's a prerogative and operation of the university that doesn't have to come to the university's board of trustees approval.

But that if you were taking concrete steps towards how they, you know, wanted to expend dollars, in an amount that was above, or that required board approval, that you would have to come back and do that.

And so we didn't want her to think
that there was a lack of commitment to
housing, but, rather, the board is concerned
that there is an adequate supply of students,
and the student population would be able to

support housing, if it's one day approved to
be built on the university campus. And so
that's what we gave her the authorization to
do, was to pursue that, knowing full well that
it would have to satisfy certain criteria for
it to become a reality.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: And that's in line with what we voted on, and I think that's a good thing. My area questions--we're looking at a number of students participating in the housing plan, and I wanted to make sure that there was enough students to support that action, and then we can talk about sizing, or footprint for housing, to make sure we are building housing with a capacity to support the need.

MEMBER BELL: Exactly.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. That concludes the discussion on housing. We'll now move to Records Management.

MEMBER EPPS: Trustee Schwartz, I would like to invite Maria Byrd to the podium.

She has been very instrumental in working on-working with me--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Maria, if you could just come to the mike here. Have a seat, please.

--on records MEMBER EPPS: management. We have a consultant here tonight that will also--that she will introduce, who has been working on updating me, and the president's cabinet in regard to what our Records Management needs were. And so we've had a team of people working with the consultant, and we will follow up, after you hear the results of his presentation, with our university staff, in terms of helping them to realize where we are in the process of streamlining and making sure that our Records Management program is up to par with the federal, and also city rules and regulations. Also federal laws.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Thank you for being here.

MS. BYRD: Thank you. Good evening.

2 Maria Byrd, Office of Information Technology.

has stated, we're starting our way on a

Records Management project, which, for the

university, is a "very big deal," a very big

process. Not only are we looking at bringing

those records that are in warehouses, and also

in various buildings across campus, but

looking at our current information that we

have, and data we have, and paper we have, to

get those scanned in the system.

So we're looking at, really, how to address some documents that could date back to the early to mid 1900s, all the way to those documents that we're working with today.

We do have a current scanning process, which is used with our Banner system, that we're looking to keep intact. But we do have other documents, such as board resolutions, maybe president speeches, some documents that might not fall in to what we

currently scan our Banner system, which is mostly financial aid information, admissions, finance, and things of that nature.

So we had a consultant come on board to review kind of where we are, and what do we need to do? What are we not complying with?

We all know Middle States is

coming up very soon, and I know Records might

not be a big part of it, but being able to

know that we've filed our records

appropriately, indexed them appropriately,

that we've stored them accurately, that we

have a retention policy, that we know how long

things need to be stored, even though for

universities a lot of things are indefinite

cause of people's records.

But this will really ensure that
when we get FOIA requests, we're able to
respond with those in a timely manner, and
also that we're not hit with any fines for not
providing things that we're legally obligated

to provide. So I will now call up Mr. Joel
Limerick of DSI, who conducted a couple week
review, his team did, on our Records
Management process.

MS. SLAUGHTER: Sir, please press the button and introduce yourself.

MR. LIMERICK: Certainly. My name is Joel Limerick. I'm the president and CEO of DSI. We were, as Maria mentioned so eloquently, and Dr. Epps also mentioned, we were engaged for a couple of weeks to come on site and review the current state, and make some recommendations for going forward in terms of the next steps.

And so I wanted to first, just clarify the objectives of the study. The first was to identify and recommend steps required to modernize the UDC Records

Management infrastructure and policies, and the second was to recommend, make recommendations for restarting the Office of Records Management, their scanning operation,

based on best practices and a modernized infrastructure.

So I do want to say that we were heartened to find that there were already some good things going on.

For instance, in 2009 and 2010, there was a draft Records Management policy statement that was created, which was moving in the right direction. There was also a pretty well-thought-out records retention and disposition program document, that it looks like the university has already sort of made some movements towards designing the program.

There are some things that we would recommend, because right now, the university is not in compliance with certain executive orders. That designed program was never implemented, and so the university is in a situation now, where the mayor's order, 2007-50, the university would not be in compliance with that.

So I did want to just say a quick

summary of what the report found. That the-
MEMBER BELL: I'm sorry. This is

Trustee Bell. I'm sorry to interrupt you, but

4 I did want to get confirmation.

At the last meeting, I had asked whether or not our independent agency status meant that we have to comply in full, or in the same manner as other agencies that were subject to that executive order. So I was just curious. Or that mayor's order. I'm just curious as to if the Office of General Counsel was able to look in to that.

MR. LIMERICK: No. We did not get an official comment from that, but the independent status—the university can—the mayor's order is directed to executive agencies, and so technically, UDS could—it's not—UDS is not an executive agency from what I understand.

But there are some pretty good guidelines in that order, as well as federal directives, and that pretty much baseline,

some things that the university ought to do in order to have a records program that protects the university from liabilities.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: So we are not in violation, but there are some best practices that we can--

MR. LIMERICK: That's a better way to put it; yes. And--

MEMBER BELL: And thanks for--I'm sorry. I clearly want us to have a retention policy, but my concern is that we might have retention requirement that might differ, based on grants and other things that we have, where we'll need to be more expansive, or whether the template that the city is providing may not fit our needs.

So I just wanted to make sure that we're mindful of that. So that's why I raise the question.

MR. LIMERICK: Absolutely. And these orders, from the president's executive order, President Obama, to the mayor's orders,

they're very broad and general. I think if I was going to really summarize what we discovered, is that UDC is very close to having a well-functioning Records Management Program, but there are some implementation steps that, for some reason, have never been taken. And so there is a design. You do have an Office of Records Management. There is an ERP system, which can be leveraged, and there is some technology, which is outdated, which could be upgraded.

And so the university is not--it's not as far away as it may seem, but there re some basic things, like making sure that every department has an actual records coordination who's trained, making sure that the retention schedules are delineated and made official, and adopted, in an official way, by the leadership of the university, and also upgrading some of the technology that's outdated.

So that's kind of a--that's really

the overview of sort of--and I can skip over some of the slides here. I'm going to go down to the Current Challenges, just to maybe reiterate those. There's no approved university-wide records policy, or records schedule for retention or destruction.

There was a design, as we mentioned. We have included in the actual report, in the appendix, some forms. But the actual implementation of that would require the different departments to fill out a survey, appoint a representative who's responsible for coordinating with the records manager. These are basic things that the university ought to move quickly to do.

The student records, in

particular, are in multiple places. You have

some records, as Ms. Byrd mentioned, in

Banner. You have some records in Legacy

Imaging System, and there are also records in

over a 1,000 boxes, 1052 boxes in Building 1.

multiple rooms in Building 41, Taylor Street,

in a warehouse. They're also in some of the other warehouses available to UDC, and also in the Learning Resource Center.

And so these records ought to be cataloged, indexed, and digitized, I guess in the form of backfile conversion, so that you can make sure that you have the best available copy, those are available for court, those can be your official copy, and make sure that you're not vulnerable to have unauthorized access to student records, or fail to find a student record based on some legal, or some sort of inquiry.

Also the Office of Records

Management has a scanning system which has
been held up, and we estimate there's about

853 boxes of documents related to just about
every function in the university, from
procurement to legal. I think there's four
boxes related to the board of trustees.

There are admission records. There are student records. All of these are--the

plan was to scan them, but they have been unable to scan, based on some relatively simple technology issues that could be fixed.

And so in terms of opportunities, there are standards on the federal side for electronic recordkeeping, that have been sort of adopted in to the civilian world. The Joint Interoperability and Technology Command, within DOD, set up a standard for electronic recordkeeping. Industry has mostly adopted that. It's DOD 5015.

Implementing an electronic records management system, that would then supplement the functionality of Banner, would be something that we would see as an opportunity for the university. Defining the records management policies and procedures, the disposition schedules, and also educating staff, so that every one of, I think there's 36 different parts of the organization ,would have someone that was aware of records management policies, what is a record, what

their obligations are, how it should be indexed, and how it should be retained, and when it's time to dispose of it, what that process is.

And so there's an opportunity to make sure that every department, or every office in the university has a trained person who coordinates with the Office of Records Management.

And obviously, there's also these boxes that are on paper, which effectively make them lost to some of the things that you all are looking for, and to restart that scanning effort, which has sort of atrophied, over time, from the technology side, would be something that we would strongly suggest.

In terms of the implementation team, we wanted to put, elevate this from the report, because it's not rocket science, and really, what needs to happen is there would be a project manager. We estimate it's about a year-long project.

You would have an actual records manager, subject matter expert, who would work with you to finalize and update, and get these retention schedules made official, and signed off on, and also to help train some of these representatives that would then report back in to the Office of Records Management.

And someone who understands the technology enough to integrate with the good work that you've already done with your ERP system, and connect the records management functionality to a lot of the data that you're already creating at Banner.

The legal counsel would have to be involved, at least a couple of steps, for certifying that these are the disposition schedules, and also doing any updates along the way. And then we have a couple of suggestions in terms of someone would have to be on site in the Records Center, scanning.

And so we've had discussions with a couple of strategies. One would be having

contracted support for a period of time, and then rebuilding the actual Scan Center, so that it can function on a day forward basis.

Or maybe doing a larger backfile conversion, and then turning the keys to that system back over to the Office of Records Management.

And then perhaps the most important cast of characters would be these records management coordinators, who would be positioned inside of each organization within the university in order to maintain this program.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: So it seems we have a couple of tasks at hand. First of all, the numerous boxes of records to be scanned, and putting in place a retention and disposition policy that talks to the duration of keeping records, etcetera.

Is your recommendation that we filter the paper records before scanning, or scanning and then filter?

202-234-4433

MR. LIMERICK: Yes. It's a good

question. So time is money, often, and so it's a matter of whether it's worth allocating time to sifting through the records, or I mean, the process of scanning these days with certain equipment is less than a second, and so depending on the quality, and there's a lot of factors that—but, you know, storage is pretty cheap.

So I would suggest getting it all digitized. That way, you know it's in a digital repository. You know you can get rid of the paper. D.C. is a jurisdiction that has allowed the best available copy to be a digital copy, and so that can be admitted in to court. So it would be hard for me to sleep at night, knowing that student records, and other records are strewn throughout the university.

MEMBER SHELTON: The question is: How much and how long?

MR. LIMERICK: One year and-MEMBER SHELTON: You suggest that

in a year, that you can bring us up online,
digitally?

MR. LIMERICK: Right. So there are 2.6 million pages, we're estimating, across the entire universe of stuff. One scenario that we were thinking is maybe, we are a vendor, would help out with 750,000 or so pages, and then depending on what the leadership decides, turn the rest of the project over to Office of Records Management.

But at that point, you would have benchmarks and quality control, and a bunch of stuff that you would know about the data.

Another scenario would be to just get rid of all 2.6 million pages, and then turn it over for scanning, a lot of which would be done through Banner, and then also at the Records Management Office.

MEMBER SHELTON: How much?

MR. LIMERICK: Yes. Someone did suggest that you might mention that. It was about an \$893,000 number for a one-year

the agencies that -- or the parts of UDC that

are using Banner, they would also be updating

21

22

1 things.

MS. BYRD: So just to clarify,

Trustee Shelton, within Banner, we have a BDMS
system, and so basically you would have these
two repositories, one that is actually Banner,
and then the other one that's Records

Management, and so when someone would retrieve
the data, they would be retrieving it from
that top layer, which is the BDMS, and so both
of those systems would feed in to BDMS.

So they wouldn't know that it's as Joel has mentioned.

MEMBER SHELTON: And what is the strategy for corrupted paperwork? You know, eaten, digested. You know. Wet.

MR. LIMERICK: Right. There's only so much that can be done if a hard copy is--we did a project, a few years ago, for the recorder of deeds, and those records went back to the beginning of the jurisdiction. And so there are different scanners--from what we found, you don't necessarily need some of

issue impacts the amount of time it takes to prepare the documents for scanning. So if you would imagine, for scanning at the folder level, that's the fastest, and therefore the least expensive. If we are opening a folder and classifying particular documents—so let's say there's a five or six page application, there's a ten page student loan document, there are health records. If we're classifying at that level, it increases the cost because there's more time to prepare for scanning.

But it also enables you to do a more elegant security layer, so that you can say these records are health records, so they're HIPAA, they're locked down. But this application, maybe a wider range of people can see.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: A word about the software and the upgrades that may be required in this network you're proposing. Is it proprietary software? Or it's not?

MR. LIMERICK: No; absolutely not.

We haven't done any proprietary systems since the mid '90s. These are all off-the-shelf packages.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay.

MR. LIMERICK: Banner actually has some modules that could be exploited, so--

MEMBER SHELTON: So Dr. Epps, what are you asking us to do today?

MEMBER EPPS: I'm asking you tothere are a few other documents I'd like for
you to read, in terms of where we are as a
university and the policies that we already
had in place, that were draft and were never
adopted. So I'd like to bring all of this
together. I'd like to survey offices, and also
have them take a look at the policy and see
what we need to add, and what their
recommendations are, and probably bring
together our team again, and then come to you
with a full-blown proposal, to make sure that
we've addressed all the issues.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Making sure that

each office has the prescribed number of personnel for implementation of this proposal, and we'll have to procure the equipment, the scanning equipment, because that's not in place.

MR. LIMERICK: Yes. That's correct. It's aged out of usefulness.

MS. BYRD: So for the back project, you're saying that's included in your project, but after, once we're turning the keys over and when we're developing our office, yes, we would need to--

MEMBER SHELTON: You need to consider how much it will save us in rental space, as part of your submission, since we have it in so many unique places.

Also I agree that we definitely
need to step up the Records Management
recovery, because there's a lot--there's too
many places that it's housed in, and I worry
about Dunbar's history, that I used to
control. So I'm worried about where it is now,

	Page 71
1	that the new Dunbar has been established. So
2	I'd hate to see the UDC teachers' history get
3	misapplied somewhere, and Washington Tech, and
4	all those
5	MR. LIMERICK: There's a lot of
6	history here.
7	MEMBER SHELTON: Yes. A lot of
8	history.
9	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: I guess we look
10	forward with the full-blown proposal being
11	presented to this committee first, and then to
12	the board of trustees.
13	MEMBER EPPS: Right.
14	MR. LIMERICK: We thank you for
15	the opportunity to work with the university.
16	It's been a great pleasure.
17	CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Thank you much.
18	Thank you for you being here.
19	MS. BYRD: Thank you.
20	MEMBER BELL: Thank you.
21	MEMBER EPPS: Oh. I'm sorry.
22	Stacie was looking at me. I think shewas
44	Deacte was rooking at me. I chilly shewas

MS. MILLS: That word that I--of

22

all of those retention record policies in to one university policy, and just organizing that. But just to confirm. You're absolutely correct. The university is not subject to mayor's orders, generally, and we are certainly not subject to that mayor's order. But it's an order pursuant to the code, and a statute that is in force.

MEMBER BELL: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate that.

MS. MILLS: You're welcome.

MEMBER EPPS: Trustee Schwartz,

I'd like to recognize Wayne Sparks, who is the manager for the Records Management Office.

He's practically a one-man show, and he has operated, the best he could, with the equipment that we had, that often went out, and had to buy a new server. One time, we had so much soft--I mean, we were so full, in terms of the server, that he couldn't even log on to copy anything else. So that's the kind of shape that we're in, and we really do--

Page 74 we've just been doing temporary patches, and 1 2 he's done a great job with the staff that he 3 had, which are all part-time people, about 4 three or four part-time--two. He corrected me. 5 About two part-time people who are students, 6 I believe. 7 Title III. They're higher than the 8 Title III, part time. 9 CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Let me thank you 10 for the work that you're doing, and hopefully 11 help is on its way. Thank you. 12 If there are no more questions on 13 Records Management, we will move to item 14 number eight, which is the testimony. 15 Customarily, we would have a written testimony 16 but none was provided to the Board. Therefore, 17 you're allowed three minutes to present your 18 case. 19 Mr. Michaelson. Either one is 20 fine. Just go ahead. State your name for the 21 record. 22 MS. SLAUGHTER: No, sir. Press

Page 75 1 that middle button. The green light. 2 CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. It's on. 3 MR. SINDRAM: Good evening, 4 General. all those within the sound of my 5 voice. Michael Sindram, disabled veteran, who served our country more than most. In 6 7 preparation for this session, I had Councilman 8 Mendelson's staff, committee, forward hard 9 copy, written documents, and I'd like to go 10 through them briefly. We have a fall 2006 transcript. 11 12 4.0 GPA. I was in the paralegal studies class. 13 Four classes, enumerated accordingly. 14 Spring 2007. Again paralegal 15 studies, four courses, 4.0 GPA. That means, 16 General, straight A. I took my studies here 17 very seriously. Attended class religiously, 18 did all the written assignments. No excuse 19 about "the dog ate my homework." 20 You have here a letter from Dean 21 Petty, having made the dean's list.

You have a letter from a

22

wheelchair nun, Disabled Catholics in Action, and her name happens to be Mary Jane Owen. And I want to highlight, briefly. This letter is dated September 10th, 2007.

[Reading from Ms. Owen's letter:]

Upon arrival a few minutes before
the scheduled meeting I introduced myself to
Mr. Strothers and a security officer sitting
with him. I gave both my business card and
told them why I was there. Mr. Strothers
immediately suggested I had a negative
impression of him. Mr. Strothers immediately
arose from his seat and in a shockingly harsh
voice told Michael he had an envelop for him
and as I turned I saw him thrust it into
Michael's hand.

Mr. Strothers began to scream
loudly and the security officer to write up a
report asserting that Michael had just
threatened him with bodily harm. He repeated
those demands repeatedly as he stood over
Michael yelling at the top of his voice and he

wanted the security officer to take action against Michael. Michael had made no move toward Mr. Strothers, who stood over him apparently threatening that he, himself, had a gun and a knife. Quote, unquote.

Suddenly, his tantrum focused on me--speaking about Strothers. He asserted I had no right to be there and should get out. He was almost incoherent in his abuse. I then reminded him I was a witness to his unprofessional behavior which further engaged me. Him. I felt personally threatened and afraid.

Again, General, we're talking about a wheelchair nun, had no stake in this dogfight.

This exhibit is a memorandum from the Disability Resource Center, and it's marked off, Extended time for testing (double time) and Hard copies of all class material, in parens, (Disability Resource Center can assist in this task). That's where the snafu

came in, and that's where Strothers continually ran interference.

Specifically, there was a commercial law class, and the instructor was borrowed from the law school. She was taking her bar exam. Bar exam is very in depth, and it's very time-consuming. So the instructor—and commercial law is very hypertechnical had no time for students. What that instructor had orchestrated was an online course, and I actually "pushed the envelope." I'm a disabled veteran, cybernetically-challenged, and I paid for tender moments of bonding in the classroom. Not for online.

Well, it came to the point that Mr. Strothers ran interference. And it came also to a point for me to remain in the university, I had to undergo a psychiatric evaluation. You have an additional exhibit from Dr. Richard Rosse, chief of psychiatry, the VA Medical Center.

202-234-4433

Now the game that Strothers was

playing was, well, we got the report from the psychiatrist. It's the wrong date. Gotta do it again. And I want to read the relevant part on the record.

[Reading from cited letter:]

This letter is written by Richard

B. Rosse, MD, Board Certified in Psychiatry

and Chief of Psychiatry, Washington, D.C. VA

Medical Center. This writer has been

practicing in this Medical Center for the past

25 years.

Mr. Michael Sindram, a patient at this medical center, has signed a release of information allowing this writer to discuss his current mental state, and, quote, certifies to his emotional mental status is such that he is not likely to become physically or verbally aggressive with students, staff and or faculty on campus. Unquote. I so do certify to the above.

The patient has no history of violence against self or others, which is the-

-which in the mental field is thought to be
the best predictor of future violence. He
provides multiple letters of reference from
faculty attesting to his meritorious and
appropriate behavior in their classrooms;
hence there is no pervasive pattern of
violence or other inappropriate behavior.

It is clear that the behaviors described in the University of The District-- and so on, so forth.

He does not consume alcohol. He has no history of substance or alcohol abuse in the current medical record.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. Mr. Sindram, you have one minute to summarize.

MR. SINDRAM: Thank you, General.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: You have gone four minutes so far.

MR. SINDRAM: There is a--there's a letter from Councilmember Bowser dated

February 6, 2008. It mentions Clifton Coates, who was the veteran representative at the

time. To my understanding there's been on
response thereto.

I took it upon myself to write to President Sessoms, at the time, March 12th, 2009. Falling on tin ears. No reply.

January 29, 2010, to President Sessoms.

The point I raise is the Student
Handbook--I've heard it here--and I carefully
listened too to Mr. Strothers about the
housing--that if you have three violations,
where it had to be corrected--actually, the
time that I extended--and I understand the
Student Handbook clearly indicates that the
vice president of Student Affairs is to
orchestrate and oversee any kind of
disciplinary action.

Strothers, in my case--and this boils down to a personality clash--said: I'm going to take over. And so he did.

But the handbook, at the time, clearly indicates it's the president, and

president alone, has to make the final determination. That has yet to happen. You've got an affidavit from one of my classmates for one of the courses. It's self-explanatory, where a instructor failed to show up, I made noise, and hence was kicked out "under the bus."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, there was a time, General, you had to go to the back of the bus. I can't even get on.

> CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Ten seconds.

And remember me, the veteran. I don't know what we're going to accomplish here--and I trust that you have the hard copies--we're going to accomplish here. But I'm telling you--I see the same demon in this room--right-that demonized me, a 4.0 GPA student, a

MR. SINDRAM: I'll pass up, Veterans of Foreign War, for you. CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Okay. Thank you. MR. SINDRAM: Spiritual vitamin. disabled veteran who has served our country

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Thank you so much

22

for your support. Thank you.

MEMBER SHELTON: Good luck to you.

MEMBER EPPS: And we do currently have a search going on that is posted on our Web site, and we have a committee, and we have completed our interviews, and will be making a recommendation.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Does that search committee--needs to be trained also?

MEMBER EPPS: Yes. They were trained. They were certified.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: Is this vacancy number four? You wrote two. Then you added one. Is this a fourth vacancy?

MEMBER EPPS: We have many. That might be the fourth one. We have two RA vacancies, and the director of the Health Center, and then also Jane. So that you're right. That's four.

CHAIR SCHWARTZ: So should I be concerned about the Office of Civil Affairs and making sure that it's fully staffed? Or do

	<b>5</b> 0 10 50 14		1 25.0	
A	59:10 69:14	29:17 45:2,11	assisting 25:9	62:3
<b>\$1.2</b> 12:5	advanced 67:1	<b>apologize</b> 22:12,13	<b>assume</b> 67:11	<b>BDMS</b> 66:3,9,10
<b>\$10,000</b> 10:5	advantage 13:7	32:7	ate 75:19	beds 29:17
<b>\$100,000</b> 10:2	<b>Affairs</b> 1:5 32:12	apparently 17:7	athletes 17:22 28:7	began 76:17
<b>\$27</b> 5:18 6:13	81:15 84:21	77:4	28:8	beginning 66:20
<b>\$50</b> 10:4	affidavit 82:3	appeared 11:14	athletics 18:2	<b>behalf</b> 10:20
<b>\$893,000</b> 64:22	<b>afraid</b> 77:13	appendix 57:9	atrophied 60:14	<b>behavior</b> 40:15
<b>ability</b> 45:1 47:4	aged 70:7	application 68:7,16	Attended 75:17	77:11 80:5,7
<b>able</b> 16:20 18:10	agencies 54:8,17	applications 31:9	attending 46:9	behaviors 80:8
19:15 23:21 30:6	65:21	apply 34:13	attesting 80:4	believe 74:6
43:19 44:15 47:22	agency 54:6,18	appoint 57:12	authorization 48:3	<b>Bell</b> 1:12 3:10,11
51:10,19 54:12	agenda 2:2 3:21 4:1	appreciate 22:18	automatically 42:8	5:20,21 6:4,11
absolutely 5:14	4:8 11:6	73:10	available 4:10,16	37:13,14 41:20
22:20 26:20 34:8	aggressive 79:18	approach 24:3	4:21 28:15 33:3,6	44:21 46:7,7,16
35:4 44:11 55:20	<b>ago</b> 10:11 43:9,10	appropriate 80:5	34:15 58:2,7,8	48:17 54:2,3 55:9
68:22 73:3	66:18	appropriately	63:13	67:8,9,18 71:20
<b>abuse</b> 77:9 80:12	agree 70:17	51:12,12	Avenue 36:2	72:2,5 73:9 85:9
access 58:11	agreed 47:8	approval 2:8 4:9	avenues 18:11	85:11,17
accommodate 29:1	agreement 40:18	31:17,19 47:3,12	average 15:10,14	benchmarks 64:12
29:4	40:18 41:19,21	47:16	averages 15:3	<b>benefit</b> 14:9,10
accomplish 82:17	ahead 26:3 34:17	<b>approved</b> 30:19	award 6:15	best 11:16 53:1
82:19	47:4 74:20	47:2 48:1 57:4	awarding 9:21	55:5 58:7 63:13
accurately 51:13	aid 1:16 2:12 5:11	approximately	aware 59:21	73:16 80:2
Act 72:14	5:16,17 6:14 7:2,6	6:14 15:2 23:13	awareness 7:16	better 27:8 55:7
action 48:13 76:1	7:16 8:7,10 9:7	arbitrarily 44:18	12:22 39:2	<b>big</b> 50:6,6 51:10
77:1 81:17	17:17 20:3 21:1	44:19	ayes 4:6,7	<b>bill</b> 13:19
actual 56:15 57:8	51:2	<b>Archstone</b> 27:17,19	B	Bills 25:3
57:10 61:1 62:2	alcohol 80:11,12	28:2,8 29:13,14	<b>B</b> 79:7	<b>bit</b> 36:16 67:10
add 4:1 31:8 69:17	aligned 40:10	29:15 35:13,17,22	back 11:4 36:3	<b>board</b> 1:3,10 35:6
72:1	alignment 40:4	36:5 38:20 41:18	45:21 47:17 50:14	46:21 47:11,16,20
added 7:11 84:13	alleged 36:18 37:15	41:19 43:19	61:6 62:5 66:19	50:20 51:5 58:20
<b>addition</b> 8:1 10:21	allocating 63:2	<b>Archstone's</b> 36:19	70:8 82:9	71:12 74:16 79:7
24:21	allowable 47:9	area 7:14 8:13	backfile 58:6 62:4	board's 46:19
additional 78:19	<b>allowed</b> 63:13	20:10 48:9	<b>balance</b> 7:9	<b>bodily</b> 76:20
address 50:14	74:17	arose 76:13	<b>Banner</b> 50:18 51:1	<b>boils</b> 81:19
addressed 69:21	<b>allowing</b> 79:14	arrival 10:10 76:6	57:19 59:14 61:13	<b>bonding</b> 78:13
adequate 47:21	<b>Americorps</b> 12:19	asked 17:13 28:3	64:17 65:12,16,18	borrowed 78:5
adjourned 85:19	amount 15:4 47:15	54:5	65:22 66:3,5 69:5	borrowers 11:8
85:21	68:1	asking 17:19 39:22	bar 78:6,6	23:19
Adjournment 2:22	analyzing 23:4	69:8,9	<b>Barbara</b> 46:17 47:2	<b>borrowing</b> 12:6
administer 11:17	announce 24:13	asserted 77:7	based 47:3 53:1	20:17 23:18
admission 34:14	annual 8:21	asserting 76:19	55:12 58:12 59:2	Bowser 80:20
58:21	answer 72:8	assign 10:15	<b>baseline</b> 54:22	boxes 57:21,21
admissions 2:10	anticipate 30:1	assignments 75:18 assist 77:22	basic 56:14 57:14	58:17,20 60:11
4:13 34:12 51:2	anybody 17:8,13		basically 66:4	62:15
admitted 63:14	anyway 42:20	<b>Assistant</b> 1:18	basis 17:16 24:21	<b>branch</b> 20:12,13 <b>brand</b> 37:11 43:3
<b>adopted</b> 56:18 59:7	apartment 29:16	32:11	~ ************************************	DI anu 37.11 43.3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

breakdown 6:22	cataloged 58:5	<b>changed</b> 37:8,9	14:19 23:7	confirmation 54:4
19:19 20:7 22:11	categories 22:2,4	changes 8:7	<b>collect</b> 10:16,19	<b>confirmed</b> 37:19,21
<b>breaking</b> 67:10,18	Catholics 76:1	characters 62:8	<b>college</b> 7:19 8:14	confirming 72:10
<b>briefly</b> 4:14 6:19	caught 17:3	charge 45:21	colleges 8:13	confused 29:15
75:10 76:3	cause 22:14 51:17	chart 7:3	<b>Columbia</b> 1:1 41:1	connect 61:11
<b>bring</b> 64:1 69:14,18	causing 14:5	<b>chat</b> 7:12	<b>come</b> 6:7 14:19	Connecticut 36:2
bringing 50:7	center 7:7,11 58:3	<b>cheap</b> 63:8	32:5 47:11,17	consider 70:14
<b>brings</b> 18:6 19:10	61:20 62:2 77:18	<b>check</b> 33:5 46:1	49:4 51:4 52:11	<b>Consulate</b> 27:21,22
<b>broad</b> 56:1	77:21 78:21 79:9	checked 28:10	69:19	28:9,15,20 29:3
<b>budget</b> 19:8,9	79:10,13 83:11	checking 33:10	<b>comes</b> 23:14	29:10,11,22 30:3
building 48:15	84:18	<b>chief</b> 78:20 79:8	<b>coming</b> 5:9 9:1 17:9	30:20 32:22 34:22
57:21,22	<b>CEO</b> 1:16 52:8	chime 9:9	26:12 27:4 31:10	35:10,13,16 36:1
buildings 50:9	<b>certain</b> 48:5 53:16	Chorus 4:6	34:7 35:6 51:9	consultant 49:7,13
<b>built</b> 48:2	63:5 72:18	chose 31:1	Command 59:8	51:4
<b>bunch</b> 64:12	certainly 24:16	Christopher 1:12	comment 54:14	consume 80:11
<b>bus</b> 82:7,9	25:8 28:2 52:7	3:10	commercial 78:4,8	<b>contact</b> 7:10 26:15
<b>business</b> 3:20 76:9	73:6	circumstances	<b>commit</b> 31:11	26:16
83:7	certification 9:7	45:14	commitment 46:19	contacting 30:4
<b>button</b> 12:5 52:6	<b>certified</b> 79:7 84:11	cited 72:12 79:5	47:1,19	contingent 12:16
75:1	certifies 79:16	city 47:5 49:19	committed 46:22	13:8 16:1 23:22
<b>buy</b> 73:18	certify 79:20	55:15	committee 1:5 3:6	continually 78:2
bypass 4:11	certifying 61:16	<b>Civil</b> 84:21	25:16,19 46:8,11	<b>continue</b> 7:13 11:4
<b>Byrd</b> 1:15 48:22	<b>Chair</b> 1:9,11 3:3,8	civilian 59:7	71:11 75:8 84:5,9	11:16
50:1,2 57:18 66:2	3:9,18 4:5,7,22	<b>clarify</b> 28:14 52:16	community 12:19	<b>continued</b> 7:4 10:6
70:8 71:19	5:3,7,11 6:9 9:15	66:2	39:2,2 45:16	10:13
	11:19 14:13 16:7	clarity 37:14	competing 18:21	continuous 7:22
<u>C</u>	21:2,9 22:17 24:8	<b>clash</b> 81:19	complete 7:21	8:17
C 2:1 3:1	24:10 26:3 27:9	class 75:12,17	completed 9:4 84:6	contracted 62:1
<b>cabinet</b> 15:13 49:10	27:13,16,22 29:9	77:20 78:4	completion 7:22	Contreras 1:16
call 2:3,4 3:5 7:6,11	29:12 30:10,14	classes 75:13	compliance 6:21	5:12,14,15,20 6:2
12:1 37:5 52:1	31:16,22 32:9,15	classifying 68:6,9	53:16,21	6:8,12 9:18 11:20
calling 26:14	32:20 33:8 34:16	classmates 82:3	comply 54:7	14:15 17:4,12
calls 7:8	35:2,5,9 38:15	classroom 78:14	complying 51:6	18:8,15,20 19:20
camps 27:4	39:9 40:3,13	classrooms 80:5	computer 42:15	20:4,22 21:3,10
campus 8:18 48:2	43:13,17 46:2,5	clear 6:4 46:20 80:8	<b>concern</b> 18:8,9 42:1	21:14,20 22:9,20
50:9 79:19	48:7,18 49:3,21	clearly 55:10 81:14	46:17 55:11	23:6 24:9 37:18
candidates 25:21	55:4 62:13 67:7	81:22	concerned 47:20	37:22
33:22 34:3	68:18 69:4,22	Clifton 80:21	84:21	contribute 19:15
capacity 35:11	71:9,17 72:3,21	close 36:2 56:3	concludes 48:19	contributions 10:7
48:15	74:9 75:2 80:14	closely 34:12	83:5 85:7	<b>control</b> 19:8,9 44:4
card 76:9	80:17 82:11,14	Closing 2:20	concrete 47:14	44:6 64:12 70:22
care 35:3	83:3,5,16,19,22	Coates 80:21	<b>conduct</b> 39:4,6,12	controlling 43:19
careful 44:22	84:8,12,20 85:3,8	<b>code</b> 39:4,5,11,16	39:17,19 40:1,3	convened 1:9
carefully 81:9	85:13,19	39:19 40:1,13,21	40:14,22 41:1,12	conversely 45:10
case 74:18 81:18	chairman 46:6,7	41:1,12 42:4 73:7	42:4	conversion 58:6
cash 6:19	Challenges 57:3	<b>cohort</b> 10:22 11:11	conducted 52:2	62:4 65:10
cast 62:8	<b>change</b> 8:9 23:15	11:21 12:2,3,9	<b>confirm</b> 72:7 73:3	coordinates 60:8

<b>!!</b> 57.12
coordinating 57:13
coordination 56:15
coordinators 62:9
<b>copies</b> 77:20 82:18 <b>copy</b> 21:19 22:18
58:8,9 63:13,14
66:17 73:21 75:9
correct 9:13,14
32:19 39:13 40:16
41:15 46:16 70:7
73:4
corrected 74:4
81:12
correctly 46:15
correspond 45:4
corrupted 66:14
cost 10:12 68:10
council 47:6
Councilman 75:7
Councilmember
80:20
<b>counsel</b> 1:18 54:11
61:14 72:7
counseling 12:12
<b>country</b> 75:6 82:22
<b>couple</b> 52:2,11
61:15,18,22 62:14
<b>course</b> 67:4 72:16
78:10
<b>courses</b> 75:15 82:4
<b>court</b> 58:8 63:15
cover 39:7
created 53:8
creates 44:16
creating 61:13
criteria 48:5
curious 54:10,11
current 50:10,17
52:12 57:3 79:15
80:13
currently 25:11 32:16 51:1 84:3
Customarily 74:15
customer 6:20
cybernetically-ch
78:12
70.12
I

D
<b>D</b> 3:1
<b>D.C</b> 63:12 79:8
daily 5:5
<b>Dame</b> 24:16
<b>Darko</b> 83:9
data 50:11 61:12
64:13 66:8
date 8:15 50:14
79:2
dated 76:4 80:20
day 48:1 62:3
days 43:9,10 63:4
<b>DC</b> 7:17 8:1,2,4
DC-CAP 8:11
deal 50:6
dealing 17:5 40:14 Dean 75:20
dean's 75:21
debt 23:13
December 33:18
decide 31:7
<b>decided</b> 10:11 11:7
decides 64:9
decrease 15:17
23:17 35:20,21
<b>deeds</b> 66:19
<b>default</b> 11:1,5,10
11:11,21 12:2,3,9
12:11 14:3,7,14
14:19 15:14 23:1
23:3,8,18,20 24:3
defaulting 13:1
15:8
defaults 12:7
deferment 13:2,20
<b>Defining</b> 59:16
definitely 70:17
delayed 7:8
delicate 67:1
delineated 56:17
delinquency 18:9
delinquent 11:9
13:14
demand 30:21
34:17,18 35:7

**demands** 76:21

damagnaphics
demographics 16:20
demon 82:20
demonized 82:21
demonstrate 18:10
denied 45:1
<b>DENISE</b> 1:20
department 7:17
8:5 11:22 13:5,12
47:5 56:15 60:6
departments 57:11
depending 12:17
63:6 64:8
<b>depth</b> 78:6
described 80:9
describing 22:8
design 56:7 57:7
designed 53:17
designing 53:13
destroyed 67:3
destruction 57:6
detail 22:12,15
details 19:5
determination 82:2
determine 16:16
<b>developer</b> 46:13,14
developing 70:11
dictate 72:17
differ 55:12
difference 21:7
different 20:11
41:13 57:11 59:20
66:21
difficult 41:2
digested 66:15
digital 63:11,14
digitally 64:2
digitized 58:5
63:10
direct 11:1
directed 54:16
direction 34:10
53:9 72:13
directives 54:22
director 1:16,21
5:15 10:10 24:14
33:20 83:10 84:17
55.25 65.10 61.17

**disabled** 75:5 76:1 78:11 82:22 disadvantage 33:17 **disbursed** 5:17,18 6:13 22:15 disbursement 22:16 disbursements 20:3 disciplinary 81:17 discipline 44:12 disclosures 67:15 discovered 56:3 **discuss** 79:14 discussion 13:9 38:22 48:19 discussions 33:14 46:3 47:5 61:21 dispose 60:3 disposition 53:11 59:18 61:16 62:17 distinguish 67:12 distribution 22:4 28:11 **District** 1:1 41:1 80:9 document 1:16 53:11 68:8 documents 50:14 50:16,20,22 58:17 67:2,2 68:2,6 69:10 75:9 **DOD** 59:9,11 dog 75:19 dogfight 77:16 doing 4:22 25:5,14 26:1,4 45:10 61:17 62:4 67:22 74:1.10 dollars 21:16 47:15 65:2 dormant 10:9 **double** 77:19 **Dr** 5:14 9:8,13 16:22 17:4 18:8 37:14 43:14 50:3 52:10 69:7 78:20

**Disability** 77:18,21

83:6 85:4 draft 14:18 23:9 53:7 69:13 drying 19:15 DSI 1:17 52:2,9 due 8:21 Dunbar 71:1 Dunbar's 70:21 duration 62:17

 $\mathbf{E}$ **E** 2:1 3:1,1 e-mail 13:6 22:18 earlier 20:17 43:14 early 7:21 50:15 ears 81:5 eaten 66:15 **economy** 23:20 educating 59:18 **Education** 7:18 8:5 11:22 13:5,12 effective 33:18 effectively 60:11 **effort** 60:14 efforts 24:4 eight 20:6 22:3 28:20 29:2 74:14 83:6 either 6:1 13:21 23:16 24:1 74:19 **electronic** 59:6,9,12 **elegant** 68:13 elevate 60:18 eligible 21:15 eloquently 52:10 emergency 17:15 emotional 79:16 employment 15:19 **enables** 68:12 encourage 7:20 **enforce** 36:11 engaged 52:11 77:11 enhancing 12:10 enrolled 32:16 enrolling 4:17 enrollment 2:10

	I	I	I	I
4:13,15,18 18:11	evicted 45:3	favorite 24:10	footprint 48:14	56:1 72:7 75:4,16
<b>ensure</b> 51:18	<b>eviction</b> 39:22 45:4	February 8:21	forbearance 13:2	77:14 80:16 82:9
entire 64:5	evidenced 47:1	14:20 80:21	13:20	83:1
<b>entity</b> 40:18	<b>exactly</b> 32:8 48:17	<b>federal</b> 7:1 8:10,19	<b>force</b> 73:8	generally 73:5
enumerated 75:13	65:4	9:2,6,6,16,18,20	Foreign 82:13	generate 19:7
envelop 76:14	<b>exam</b> 78:6,6	10:7,14,16 11:1	foremost 50:3	<b>getting</b> 17:21 22:18
envelope 78:11	excellent 25:9	49:19,20 54:21	forgiveness 12:20	23:21 63:9
<b>Epps</b> 4:14 5:2,4,10	33:22	59:5	form 58:6	<b>give</b> 13:15 18:3
5:12,15,19 6:6,10	excluded 20:2	federally 11:18	<b>forms</b> 57:9	20:8 21:15
9:8,13,14 17:1,2	excuse 75:18	<b>feed</b> 66:10	formulated 25:16	<b>given</b> 10:4 13:10
17:11 18:12 19:4	executive 53:17	feeder 67:3	<b>forth</b> 80:10	83:13
19:13,18 24:12	54:9,16,18 55:21	<b>feel</b> 13:8	<b>forty</b> 29:7	<b>go</b> 9:11 13:18 26:3
26:6,10,16,20,22	exercise 32:21	<b>felt</b> 11:15 77:12	<b>forward</b> 8:16 52:13	30:21 38:3 47:4
27:11,14,18 28:1	<b>exhibit</b> 77:17 78:19	females 28:4	62:3 71:10 72:4	57:2 67:2 74:20
28:17,19 29:3,7	<b>expand</b> 19:12	<b>field</b> 31:6 80:1	75:8	75:9 82:9
29:11,14 30:4,9	expansive 55:14	figure 18:4	<b>found</b> 54:1 66:22	goes 14:17 23:8
30:12,15,18 31:3	<b>expend</b> 47:15	figures 4:16	foundation 21:7,16	<b>going</b> 4:16 7:13
31:5,18 32:2,5,18	expensive 68:5	<b>filed</b> 51:11	four 3:16 22:3	9:10 10:6 11:9
33:1,4,16 34:8,11	experienced 15:16	<b>fill</b> 27:9 57:11	29:16 41:22 58:19	12:21 14:6 15:4
35:1,4,8,14 36:8	expert 61:2	filling 30:2	74:4 75:13,15	15:10,15 16:9
36:13,15,22 37:4	expertise 34:2	<b>filter</b> 62:20,21	80:18 84:13,19	20:10 23:9,15
37:7,17 38:2,6,11	exploited 69:6	<b>final</b> 4:20 82:1	<b>fourth</b> 20:21 84:14	25:8 32:21 39:3,7
40:11 41:10 42:12	explore 19:11	finalize 61:3	84:16	40:8 52:13 53:5
43:9,14,16,22	<b>expo</b> 7:20,20	finalized 8:22	friend-making	56:2 57:2 67:5
44:2,5,8,12 45:19	extended 77:19	<b>finance</b> 10:11 51:3	43:10	81:20 82:17,19
46:4,6 48:21 49:6	81:13	<b>financial</b> 1:16 2:12	friendly 35:17	84:4
50:3 52:10 69:7,9	F	5:11,15,17 6:14	<b>full</b> 16:10 18:7 48:4	<b>good</b> 27:7 48:9 50:1
71:13,21 73:12		7:6,16 8:6 13:16	54:7 73:19	53:5 54:20 61:9
83:6,8,21 84:3,10	Facilities 31:14,20	13:22 20:3 51:2	<b>full-blown</b> 69:20	62:22 75:3 84:2
84:15 85:2,4,6	33:5 35:19 46:7,9	<b>find</b> 15:19 18:19,20	71:10	85:16
equal 28:12,12	46:11,11,12	46:13 53:4 58:11	full-time 16:12	<b>Gotta</b> 79:2
equipment 63:5	fact 13:5 15:12 38:3	<b>fine</b> 74:20	<b>fully</b> 14:1 84:22	Government 9:21
65:7,9 70:3,4	factors 15:18 63:7	<b>fines</b> 51:21	<b>function</b> 58:18 62:3	10:7,16
73:17	faculty 79:19 80:4	first 3:20 18:2	functionality 59:14	<b>GPA</b> 75:12,15
<b>ERP</b> 56:9 61:10	FAFSA 7:19,21,22	24:12 50:3 52:15	61:12	82:21
Errol 1:9,11 3:7	fail 58:11 failed 82:5	52:17 62:14 71:11	<b>fund</b> 8:3	Grad 21:6
especially 41:7		fit 55:16	<b>funded</b> 11:18	graduate 12:13
essentially 72:13	failure 42:6 fair 8:14	five 13:17 29:4	<b>funding</b> 10:14	graduates 6:15,16
established 71:1	fall 4:19 5:17 6:12	32:21 34:20,21	funds 10:1	graduating 15:19
estimate 58:16	33:13,15 50:22	68:7	<b>further</b> 40:6 77:11	grants 16:22 55:13
60:21	75:11	fix 65:3	future 47:6 80:2	great 22:12 34:4
estimating 64:4	Falling 81:5	<b>fixed</b> 59:3	<b>FYI</b> 11:22	71:16 74:2
etcetera 62:18	far 27:6 56:13	focus 6:19	G	green 75:1
<b>evaluation</b> 78:19	80:18	focused 77:6	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:1	<b>group</b> 16:18 19:1
evening 4:11 50:1	fastest 68:4	FOIA 51:19	game 15:9 78:22	27:5 34:7
75:3 83:7	favor 4:5	<b>folder</b> 68:3,5	general 1:18 54:11	groups 27:2,3
event 8:16	14101 7.3	<b>follow</b> 49:13	Scheral 1.10 34.11	guess 41:16 58:5
		<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

	<b>history</b> 70:21 71:2	important 62:8	82:5	<b>Jane</b> 76:2 83:9,9,10
guest 42:22	71:6,8 79:21	impression 76:12	instrumental 24:5	83:13,14 84:18
guidelines 54:21	80:12	improvement	49:1	<b>January</b> 1:8 3:4
O	hit 51:21	18:10	<b>intact</b> 50:19	81:6
0	hold 5:19 7:8	improvements 7:5	integrate 61:9	<b>Jerome</b> 1:13 3:12
<u> </u>	homework 75:19	7:13	integrated 65:12,13	<b>job</b> 25:5,9 74:2
TT	hook 45:18	improving 23:20	65:16	jobs 23:21
	hopeful 30:6,9	inadvertent 67:14	integration 65:9	Joel 1:16 52:1,8
	hopefully 74:10	inappropriate 80:7	intent 7:20 10:14	66:12
	hoping 34:1,1,4	include 8:7 12:11	11:2	<b>Joint</b> 59:7
~	host 7:18	20:14	<b>interest</b> 27:2,2 31:6	judicial 44:9
	hot 12:5	included 57:8	31:20	<b>Jumper</b> 46:17
0.4.0.4	house 41:7	67:16 70:9	interested 27:3	jumps 23:10
	housed 70:20	<b>includes</b> 65:6,8	33:7	jurisdiction 63:12
	housing 2:14 24:11	incoherent 77:9	interference 78:2	66:20
<b>happy</b> 20:7	32:14 33:15 34:14	income 12:16 13:8	78:16	
hard 63:15 66:17	39:11 41:3 42:3	15:22 23:22	interim 1:21 5:1	K
75:8 77:20 82:18	46:3,14,20,22	incoming 7:9	24:21	<b>k</b> 17:11
harder 11:3	47:7,20 48:1,11	increase 12:7,21	interject 18:16	keep 50:19 72:18
<b>hardship</b> 13:17,19	48:14,15,19 81:11	15:7,11 16:3	international 18:17	keeping 62:18
13:22	<b>hundred</b> 6:16,17	17:10 23:17 34:20	28:5	<b>Kenneth</b> 1:12 3:14
<b>harm</b> 76:20	27:15	35:11 36:1	internships 27:5	keys 62:5 70:10
harsh 76:13	hygiene 38:14	increases 68:10	Interoperability	kicked 82:6
	hypertechnical	indefinite 51:16	59:8	<b>kind</b> 9:9,10 11:10
health 38:14 39:3	78:8	independent 19:10	interrupt 54:3	12:21 15:9 51:5
68:9,14 83:10		54:6,15	interviewing 25:21	56:22 73:21 81:16
84:17	I	indexed 51:12 58:5	interviews 84:6	kindly 5:13
hear 5:20,22 41:22	<b>ID</b> 20:13	60:2	<b>introduce</b> 32:6 49:8	knife 77:5
49:14 67:19	ideas 17:13 34:4	indicate 16:11	52:6	know 12:4 15:3,18
heard 28:15 43:13	identify 13:13	<b>indicates</b> 81:14,22	introduced 76:7	15:20 16:14,14
81:9	52:17	<b>industry</b> 7:2 59:10	investigate 37:1	17:20,22 18:1
	identifying 40:21	information 1:15	<b>invite</b> 48:22	19:14 23:4 26:10
39:20 44:9 45:14	40:22	20:15 50:2,10	invited 8:12	26:17 31:7 34:1
	<b>III</b> 74:7,8	51:2 67:13 79:14	involved 61:15	34:14 35:6,7
	<b>imagine</b> 45:6 68:3	<b>informed</b> 14:1,8	<b>IPEDS</b> 8:21	36:20 38:22 39:1
	Imaging 57:20	informing 12:13	<b>Isaacs</b> 1:12 3:14,15	39:2,5 40:6,9 41:8
	immediately 76:11	13:7	4:4 19:22 20:20	42:7,18,21 47:3
help 27:8 61:5 64:7	76:12	infrastructure	21:13,18 43:17,18	47:14 51:8,9,11
	impacts 68:1	52:19 53:2	44:3,14,20	51:14 63:7,10,11
2 0	implement 37:12	inquiring 27:5	issue 12:5 68:1	64:13 65:17 66:11
v	implementation	inquiry 58:13	issues 59:3 69:21	66:14,15 82:8,16
high 11:12	36:20 56:5 57:10	<b>inside</b> 62:10	item 2:2 4:1,9,11,12	<b>knowing</b> 19:2 48:4
higher 10:22 11:10	60:17 70:2	instance 53:6	20:21 74:13 83:6	63:16
	implemented 15:22	instances 67:6	<b>items</b> 11:6	L
74:7	53:18	institutional 7:2		
	implementing 24:4	19:14	J	lack 47:19
HIPAA 68:15	59:12	<b>instructor</b> 78:4,7,9	<b>James</b> 1:16 5:15	larger 15:4 62:4
				latest 8:4

latitude 30:21	liquidate 10:15	luck 84:2	72:10,12 73:5,6	64:19 65:2,6,11
laughing 35:14	11:7,16	3.6	<b>MD</b> 79:7	66:13 67:9,18
law 6:17 15:3 20:1	liquidating 9:2	<u>M</u>	mean 20:12 22:3	69:7,9 70:13 71:7
20:5,5,11,16 21:1	<b>list</b> 13:14 26:7,13	maintain 62:11	42:8,21 63:4	71:13,20,21 73:9
21:4 25:2 78:4,5,8	29:22 30:5,5 32:1	majority 21:11	73:19	73:12 83:8,18,21
laws 41:6 49:20	32:3,14 34:19	making 3:22 6:14	means 9:12 10:15	84:2,3,10,15 85:2
<b>layer</b> 66:9 68:13	37:11 75:21	10:3 34:3 42:18	12:3 75:15	85:6,11,17,18
lead 25:2 45:8	<b>listed</b> 20:4 22:6	49:17 56:14,16	meant 54:7	members 1:10 3:17
leadership 56:19	listened 81:10	69:22 84:6,22	medical 13:22	47:6
64:9	listing 22:2	males 28:3	78:21 79:9,10,13	memorandum
Learning 58:3	<b>little</b> 20:19 36:16	management 2:16	80:13	77:17
lease 40:18 45:8,12	40:8 43:10 46:18	4:2 6:20 11:5	meeting 1:5,9 3:6	Mendelson's 75:8
leave 21:21 43:21	67:10	35:16 37:8,9	5:9 9:10 36:4	mental 79:15,16
83:1	loan 8:9 9:2,16,19	39:21 43:4 48:20	38:19 40:11 43:6	80:1
<b>led</b> 11:10	9:20 10:17,22	49:7,11,18 50:5	43:15 46:9,10,11	mention 64:21
lee 24:13 33:21	11:1 12:4,6,7,15	52:4,19,22 53:7	54:5 76:7 85:21	mentioned 23:11
Lee's 33:17	12:18,19 13:1,11	56:4,8 58:15	<b>MEMBER</b> 3:11	52:9,10 57:8,18
<b>left</b> 85:10	14:4 20:2 21:5,6	59:13,17,22 60:9	4:14 5:2,4,10,12	66:12
<b>Legacy</b> 57:19	23:13 68:8	61:7,11 62:6,9	5:19,21 6:4,6,10	mentioning 23:1
legal 58:12,19	loaned 10:2	64:10,18 65:18,19	6:11 9:14 16:9	mentions 80:21
61:14	loans 9:6 10:15,17	66:7 70:18 72:14	17:2,4,11,18	meritorious 80:4
legally 51:22	20:19 21:11	73:14 74:13	18:12,18,22 19:4	met 43:7
lending 15:1	local 28:4	management's	19:6,13,16,18,22	method 44:9
length 72:17	locked 68:15	38:16	20:20 21:13,18,22	<b>Michael</b> 1:19 75:5
let's 20:18 68:6	log 73:20	manager 37:9 43:2	22:22 24:7,12	76:14,19,22 77:2
letter 75:20,22 76:3	long 51:14 63:20	57:14 60:21 61:2	26:1,4,6,8,10,15	77:2 79:12
76:5 79:5,6 80:20	longer 10:13 11:17	73:14	26:16,18,20,21,22	Michael's 76:16
letters 80:3	24:15 37:7	manner 31:15 51:20 54:8	27:11,14,18 28:1	Michaelson 74:19
level 16:5 24:6 68:4	look 5:8 7:5 8:15		28:13,17,18,19,22	mid 50:15 69:2
68:10	13:16 19:3 40:7	<b>Marc</b> 1:21 24:18,19 27:12 32:2,10	29:3,6,7,8,11,14	middle 51:8 75:1
leveling 16:3	54:12 69:16 71:9	33:16 36:16 38:7	29:19 30:4,8,9,12	mike 32:5 49:4
leveraged 56:9	looked 20:16	43:5	30:15,16,18,19	million 5:18 6:13
liabilities 55:3	looking 16:15,19	March 7:18 8:16	31:3,4,5,18 32:2,5	15:1,2 20:5,19,21
Life 1:22 24:14,21	17:8 32:1 33:14	81:4	32:18 33:1,2,4,9	21:5,6,16 23:12
lifetime 8:8	40:7 48:9 50:7,10	Maria 1:15 48:22	33:16 34:6,8,9,11	23:14 64:4,15
light 75:1	50:13,19 60:13	49:3 50:2 52:9	35:1,4,8,14 36:8	65:2 67:5
Limerick 1:16 52:2	71:22	marked 77:19	36:13,15,22 37:4	Mills 72:5,6,22
52:7,8 54:13 55:7 55:20 62:22 63:21	looks 34:21 53:11	market 27:1	37:7,13,17 38:2,6	73:11
	lost 33:20 60:12	marketing 34:5	38:11 39:10,15,21	mind 36:4
64:3,20 65:4,8,15 66:16 67:17,21	<b>lot</b> 15:16 23:16 24:1 51:16 61:12 63:6	Mary 76:2	40:11,17,20 41:10 41:11,16,20 42:12	mindful 55:18 minimum 10:3
68:22 69:5 70:6	64:16 70:19 71:5	master 47:1	43:9,16,18,22	minute 26:12 80:15
71:5,14	71:7	material 77:20	44:2,3,5,8,12,14	minute 26:12 80:13 minutes 2:8 4:10,10
limit 8:8	loudly 76:18	matter 61:2 63:2	44:20,21 45:19	13:17 74:17 76:6
limits 8:9	lower 14:8 15:8	maximum 8:8,9	46:4,6,16 48:17	80:18
line 38:16 41:3 48:8	23:19	mayor's 8:3 53:19	48:21 49:6 54:2	misapplied 71:3
link 13:15	lowering 24:5	54:10,16 55:22	55:9 63:19,22	modernize 52:18
11111 13.13	10 Wei ing 27.3		33.7 03.17,22	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

modernized 53:1 33:19 34:4 37:11 65:19 70:1,11 order 2:3 3:20 participation 9:5 particular 57:17 modified 4:8 37:11 43:2,3 71:1 72:6 73:14 84:21 53:19 54:9,10,16 modify 3:21 4:1 73:18 officer 76:8,18 77:1 54:21 55:2,22 67:22 68:6 modules 69:6 night 63:16 85:16 **offices** 69:15 62:11 72:10,12,13 parts 59:20 65:21 noise 37:2 39:3 official 14:18 54:14 72:16 73:6,7 **moments** 78:13 party 10:19 money 10:5,20 42:19 82:6 56:17,18 58:9 83:20 pass 17:14 82:12 19:11,14 41:9 noisy 42:16 orders 53:17 55:21 61:4 patches 74:1 63:1 non-academic 42:9 **offset** 15:5 55:22 73:5 patient 79:12,21 month 83:12 nonpublic 67:13 Oh 21:20 27:14 organization 59:20 pattern 80:6 morning 25:7 Normally 4:18 6:18 43:16 67:21 71:21 62:10 pay 11:3 12:18 13:1 motion 4:1 notice 14:21,22 okay 5:3,7 6:2,6,8 organizing 73:2 13:19 16:12,21,21 move 25:10 48:20 **Notre 24:16** 11:19 18:18 19:6 orient 43:2 42:6 57:15 74:13 77:2 nowadays 12:5 21:9,18 22:8,9 orientation 38:3 paying 16:10 18:8 **moved** 24:15 number 7:15 8:13 24:12 26:8,21 43:11 18:17 12:3 15:8,17 17:8 27:22 29:6,8,15 **OSSE** 7:17 8:1 payment 42:7 movements 53:13 moving 41:3,4,6 18:4,12 20:13 30:8 31:4 33:8 ought 55:1 57:15 payments 10:18 **Pell** 8:8 53:8 83:12 35:20,21 48:10 37:22 39:9 44:20 58:4 **multiple** 57:17,22 64:22 70:1 74:14 44:20 46:2,5 **outdated** 56:10,21 **pending** 22:16 80:3 48:18 69:4 73:9 **outside** 16:21 people 23:20 41:6 83:6 84:13 musicians 17:22 49:12 65:16 68:16 **numbers** 4:20 7:1 75:2 80:14 82:14 **overall** 20:15 mute 85:12 11:12 15:9 16:11 85:5,10 oversee 81:16 74:3,5 20:1,14 23:3 **on-campus** 46:14 overview 57:1 **people's** 51:17 N once 4:21 16:4 numerous 62:15 Owen 76:2 percent 8:8 14:16 N 2:1,1 3:1 nun 76:1 77:15 70:10 Owen's 76:5 14:18 17:6,19,20 name 32:9 52:7 nutshell 14:12 one-man 73:15 19:19 23:5,7,10 P 74:20 76:2 **one-on-one** 12:12 30:7  $\mathbf{0}$ **P** 3:1 **nation** 15:15 13:9 percentage 19:1 O 2:1 3:1 **p.m** 1:9 3:2 85:20 nature 51:3 one-year 64:22 20:9 necessarily 45:3,8 o'clock 25:7 38:6 packages 69:3 percentage-wise ones 31:8 page 2:2 38:22 68:7 66:22 **Obama** 15:22 online 7:12,22 13:4 11:13 need 6:7 14:1 16:17 55:22 68:8 13:5,18 64:1 performance 42:9 17:6,7,17 18:7 objectives 52:16 pages 64:4,8,15 78:10,14 **period** 22:7 62:1 obligated 51:22 19:11 31:14 35:5 67:5 **OP** 20:13 **Perkins** 9:2,16,19 obligation 72:9 paid 41:7,8 78:12 48:16 51:6,15 opening 2:6 68:5 10:22 paper 50:11 60:11 obligations 60:1 55:14 66:22 69:17 operate 10:12 **person** 7:10 43:6 obviously 60:10 70:12,13,18 72:18 62:20 63:12 operated 73:16 60:7 needed 11:15 17:14 65:19 paperwork 66:14 operation 47:10 personality 81:19 needs 13:9 49:11 occupancy 30:7 par 49:18 52:22 personally 77:12 55:16 60:20 84:9 38:9,17 paralegal 75:12,14 opportunities 59:4 personnel 70:2 negative 76:11 off-kilter 40:8 **parens** 77:21 opportunity 8:3 **persons** 34:21 35:3 negotiating 41:17 off-the-shelf 69:2 part 14:7 15:17 59:15 60:5 71:15 pervasive 80:6 negotiations 35:10 **offers** 6:15 24:22 38:19 51:10 option 9:22 23:22 Petty 75:21 neighbors 37:5 office 1:15,20 7:6 70:15 74:8 79:3 32:21 34:20 philosophy 38:16 **network** 68:20 10:12 34:12 50:2 part-time 74:3,4,5 options 12:16 13:3 **phone** 7:10 never 53:18 56:6 52:21 54:11 56:8 participate 8:14 **phys-op** 8:20 13:15,21 16:5 58:14 60:7,8 61:7 participating 48:10 69:13 orchestrate 81:16 physically 79:18 new 11:21 13:8 62:6 64:10,18 85:14 orchestrated 78:10 **picked** 24:20

<b>pie</b> 7:3	68:11	programs 8:2,10	21:22 30:17 34:10	recertification 9:5
place 33:10 62:16	prerogative 47:9	9:7 12:17 15:20	55:19 63:1,19	9:11
69:13 70:5	prescribed 70:1	20:9 21:17 22:11	67:8 72:2,8	recession 15:18
placed 7:7	present 1:10,14	project 50:5 60:21	questions 14:12	recognize 73:13
places 57:17 70:16	3:11,13,15 74:17	60:22 64:10 65:1	19:21 48:9 74:12	83:9
70:20	presentation 4:3	66:18 70:8,9	quick 20:1 53:22	recommend 52:17
	6:19 8:6 15:13	Promise 8:4	_	52:20 53:15
<b>plan</b> 12:11 14:7 24:3 33:10,12	49:14		quickly 57:15	recommendation
,	.,	promoting 8:11	<b>quorum</b> 3:19	62:19 84:7
34:6 35:18 47:1	presentations 17:12	property 36:1	quote 77:5 79:15	
48:11 59:1		proportion 15:5	R	recommendations
planners 27:8	presented 71:11	proportionally 11:13	$\mathbf{R}$ 3:1	52:13,21 69:18
plans 35:20 47:6	president 1:16 5:1		<b>RA</b> 25:1,2 29:5	record 58:12 59:22
playing 79:1	5:4,8 15:22 32:11	proposal 8:4 69:20	42:13 84:16	73:1 74:21 79:4
<b>please</b> 24:19 32:6,9 49:5 52:5	46:18 50:21 52:8	70:2 71:10	RADKAR 1:18	80:13 85:3
	55:22 81:4,6,15	proposing 68:20	raise 55:18 81:8	recorder 66:19
pleasure 71:16	81:22 82:1	proprietary 68:21	raised 72:8	recordkeeping 59:6
Plus 21:6	president's 15:13	69:1	ran 78:2,16	59:10
podium 48:22	49:10 55:21	protects 55:2	range 68:16	records 2:16 4:2
point 23:4 30:17	presiding 1:9	protocol 67:12	<b>RAs</b> 25:4 28:21	48:20 49:6,11,17
33:17 64:11 78:15	press 52:5 74:22	<b>provide</b> 3:22 5:8,13	33:20,21 42:14	50:5,8 51:9,11,17
78:17 81:8	presume 33:11	5:16 8:6 13:4,14	43:6	52:3,18,22 53:7
policies 36:19 43:3	pretty 53:10 54:20	20:6,13 52:1	rate 11:1,11,21	53:10 55:2 56:4,8
43:12,20 45:16	54:22 63:8	provided 6:22 9:20	12:2,3,9 14:6,9,14	56:15 57:5,5,13
52:19 59:17,22	prevention 12:11	22:14 74:16	14:16,17,19 15:14	57:16,18,19,20
69:12 73:1	24:3	provides 80:3	23:2,8,20 27:10	58:4,11,14,21,22
policy 36:13,14,18	private 67:13 83:13	<b>providing</b> 8:1,16	read 69:11 79:3	59:12,16,21 60:8
38:14 51:14 53:7	probably 16:2	51:22 55:15		61:1,7,11,20 62:6
55:11 57:5 62:17	25:20 69:18	Provost's 1:20	reading 17:2 76:5 79:5	62:9,15,18,20
69:16 72:17 73:2	<b>problem</b> 30:1	psychiatric 78:18		63:3,16,17 64:10
pool 33:22	procedures 45:2	psychiatrist 79:2	ready 2:8 real 19:16	64:17 65:18,19
population 19:7	59:17	psychiatry 78:20		66:6,19 68:9,14
47:22	process 7:5,13 9:1	79:7,8	reality 48:6	68:14 70:18 72:14
position 25:15	, ,	<b>Public</b> 72:14	realize 49:16 reallocate 10:5	72:18 73:14 74:13
83:11	41:13,14 44:10	<b>purpose</b> 38:19		recovery 70:19
positioned 62:10	49:16 50:7,18	pursuant 72:13	reallocating 10:1	recruiting 33:12
possible 46:14	52:4 60:4 63:4	73:7	really 12:22 14:2	34:5
posted 25:15 84:4	72:19	pursue 48:4	15:9 28:12 50:13	reference 80:3
potentially 41:5	procure 70:3	<b>pushed</b> 78:11	51:18 56:2,22	regard 49:10
practically 33:19	procurement 58:19	<b>put</b> 7:6 44:15 55:8	60:20 73:22	regarding 72:9
73:15	Professor 16:7	60:18	reason 12:22 14:2	registered 8:15
practice 83:13	<b>program</b> 6:20 8:12	puts 41:5	56:6	registering 17:10
<b>practices</b> 53:1 55:5	9:3,5,6,17,19,19	putting 62:16	reasons 13:21	regrading 5:16
practicing 79:10	9:20,21 10:8,9,13	0	rebuilding 62:2	regulations 38:12
predictor 80:2	10:22 11:2,7,17		receive 21:15	38:13 49:19
premises 36:21	12:20 18:2 21:8	qualify 17:17	received 20:7	reiterate 39:1 57:4
preparation 75:7	49:18 53:11,13,17	quality 63:6 64:12	receives 5:5	related 15:20 58:17
<b>prepare</b> 28:3 68:2	55:2 56:5 62:12	<b>question</b> 16:10 20:1	receiving 10:13	58:20

relates 8:2	Residence 1:21	<b>rocket</b> 60:19	59:18 61:4,17	34:18 35:10 45:14
relationship 35:12	24:14,21	roll 2:4 67:3	scholarship 17:21	59:15 68:17 69:16
relatively 59:2	resident 41:2	rolls 3:6	21:17	71:2 82:20
release 79:13	residents 33:11	room 29:4 34:21	scholarships 17:15	seeing 31:9
relevant 79:3	38:7	42:15,17,19 43:1	18:3,14 21:7	seen 22:6
religiously 75:17	resignation 33:18	82:20	school 6:17 13:21	Segueing 11:20
remain 78:17	resigned 83:11	rooms 27:10,13,14	20:1,5,5,16 21:1,4	self 18:16 79:22
remaining 30:2	resolutions 50:21	27:18 29:5 32:17	25:3 78:5	self-explanatory
<b>Remarks</b> 2:6,20	Resource 58:3	32:18 34:20 35:7	school's 20:11	82:4
remember 82:16	77:18,21	57:22	schools 12:4 15:16	semester 4:15 5:18
reminded 77:10	respond 51:20	Rosse 78:20 79:7	Schwartz 1:9,11	6:13 7:4 22:16
remitted 21:8,17	response 81:2	rule 39:16	3:3,8,9,18 4:5,7	33:13
removal 45:4,9,12	responsibilities	rules 37:11 38:8,13	4:22 5:3,7,11 6:9	semesters 4:19
removar 43.4,5,12	12:14 24:22	38:15 40:4 49:19	9:15 11:19 14:13	send 10:17 22:12
removed 36:21	responsible 57:13	run 25:7	16:7 21:2,9 22:17	22:21
42:2,5,9 45:2,17	rest 64:9	running 24:20	24:8,10 26:3 27:9	sent 13:6
45:18	restart 60:13	rush 7:8	27:13,16,22 29:9	separate 9:10 65:13
renewals 41:22	restarting 52:21		29:12 30:10,14	<b>September</b> 76:4
rent 31:1 42:6	result 12:10 15:11	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$	31:16,22 32:9,15	seriously 75:17
rental 40:17 70:14	23:16 45:12	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1	32:20 33:8 34:16	serve 25:18
repay 23:21	results 39:5 49:14	safety 38:10,11,14	35:2,5,9 38:15	served 75:6 82:22
repaying 12:8,15	retained 60:2	39:3	39:9 40:3,13	server 73:18,20
14:5	retention 51:14	sake 37:14	43:13,17 46:2,5,8	service 6:20 12:19
repayment 10:3	53:10 55:10,12	sat 10:9	48:7,18,21 49:3	83:15,17
11:8 12:15,16	56:16 57:6 61:4	satisfy 48:5	49:21 55:4 62:13	servicer 10:19
13:8 15:6 16:1,5	62:16 72:16 73:1	Saturday 7:19	67:7 68:18 69:4	13:12
repeated 76:20	retrieve 66:7	save 70:14	69:22 71:9,17	session 75:7
repeatedly 76:21	retrieving 66:8	saw 18:3 34:2 76:15	72:3,21 73:12	sessions 7:16 8:1,17
reply 81:5	returning 10:1	saying 30:22 70:9	74:9 75:2 80:14	12:12,12 13:4,6
report 5:6,13,16	review 7:3 38:8	says 13:16 25:12	80:17 82:11,14	Sessoms 81:4,7
8:21 14:11,22	51:5 52:3,12	scan 51:1 59:1,2	83:3,5,16,19,22	set 59:9
17:3 25:11 54:1	reviewed 42:11	62:2	84:8,12,20 85:3,8	seven 20:18 22:3
57:9 60:19 61:6	reviewing 25:17	scanned 50:12	85:13,19	shape 73:22
76:19 79:1 85:7	31:12	62:15	science 60:19	shape 73.22 sheet 20:21 22:10
reporting 4:20 8:19	Richard 78:20 79:6	scanners 66:21	scream 76:17	Shelton 1:13 3:12
reports 5:1	rid 63:11 64:14	67:1	se 42:3	3:13 16:8,9 17:4
repositories 66:5	right 4:2,17 6:5	scanning 50:17	search 25:16,19	17:18 18:18,22
repository 63:11	19:4,13 21:13	52:22 58:15 60:14	84:4,8	19:6,16 21:22
representative	31:2,19 35:8	61:20 62:20,21	seat 49:4 76:13	22:22 24:7 26:1,4
57:12 80:22	36:12 37:3,3,6	63:4 64:16 67:11	second 4:4 52:20	26:8,15,18,21
representatives	40:19 41:10 42:19	68:2,3,11 70:4	63:5	28:13,18,22 29:6
61:6	45:15 53:9,15	scared 14:4	seconds 82:11	29:8,19,20 30:8
requests 51:19	64:3 65:3,5 66:16	scenario 64:5,14	security 68:13 76:8	30:16,19 31:4
requests 51.19 require 57:10	71:13 77:8 82:20	schedule 27:7 57:6	76:18 77:1	33:2,9 34:6,9
required 47:16	84:19	scheduled 38:4	see 12:9 16:2,5 17:9	39:10,15,21 40:17
52:18 68:19	rights 12:14	76:7	20:18 22:6 23:15	40:20 41:11,16
requirement 55:12	rising 12:10	schedules 56:17	25:7 28:11 33:10	42:1 63:19,22
requirement 33.12	113111g 12.10	2011044100 20.17	25.1 20.11 33.10	44.1 03.17,44
			<u> </u>	l

64:19 65:2,6,11	51:9	States 51:8	40:15,21 42:2	<b>support</b> 16:22 17:7
66:3,13 69:7	sorry 5:22 17:2	statistics 28:5	45:5,7,9,17,18,21	22:1 48:1,12,15
70:13 71:7 83:18	26:2 27:20 28:13	status 54:6,15	46:22 47:22 57:16	62:1 84:1
84:2 85:18	28:18 29:12 37:13	79:16	58:11,12,22 63:16	supporting 46:12
shockingly 76:13	54:2,3 55:10 67:9	statute 73:8	68:8 81:8,14,15	sure 14:7 30:18
<b>short</b> 5:13	71:21 85:11	statute 73.8	82:21 83:10	31:6 33:5 34:13
shortly 31:12	sort 6:22 9:9 15:3	statutes 72.17	students 4:18 6:17	38:21 40:9 41:9
shor uy 31.12 show 73:15 82:5	20:8 23:15 53:12	stay 41.17 step 70:18 72:4	7:7,9,21 8:18 10:3	41:22 42:2 44:15
85:4	57:1 58:13 59:6	steps 47:14 52:14	10:17,21 11:3,6	48:11,14 49:17
shows 15:14 20:8	60:14	52:17 56:6 61:15	12:8,13,14 13:7	55:17 56:14,16
side 59:5 60:15	sound 75:4	stood 76:21 77:3	13:10,13 14:8	58:7,9 60:6 69:20
sifting 63:3	source 19:2	stopped 9:21	15:6,7,18 16:10	69:22 84:22
sign 83:19	sourcing 18:22	storage 63:7	16:13,15,16,20	survey 57:12 69:15
<b>signed</b> 61:4 79:13	space 70:15	stored 51:13,15	17:6,15,16,20	survey 37.12 07.13 synthe 72:20
significant 23:2	space 70.13 spaces 30:2	straight 75:16	18:5,7,8,14,17,17	system 50:12,18
simple 59:3	<b>Sparks</b> 73:13	strategies 61:22	18:21 23:17 25:9	51:1 56:9 57:20
<b>Sindram</b> 1:19 75:3	speak 4:14 32:12	strategy 66:14	26:11,17 27:12	58:15 59:13 61:11
75:5 79:12 80:15	46:10	streamlining 49:17	28:6,9,16,20 29:1	62:5 65:18 66:4
80:16,19 82:12,15	speaking 67:19	Street 57:22	29:4,16 30:5	systems 1:17 16:22
83:4	77:7	strewn 63:17	31:13 32:3,13	65:14 66:10 69:1
sir 3:7,17 39:14	specific 72:16	strict 36:9 43:5	33:6,14 34:13,19	03.14 00.10 07.1
52:5 74:22	specifically 17:5	<b>stricter</b> 36:10	36:10 38:2 39:1	T
sit 43:1	78:3	strike 36:13,17	41:4,12 43:20	<b>T</b> 2:1,1
site 7:12 52:12	speeches 50:21	39:16	44:13,16 45:20	<b>Tag</b> 8:3
61:20 84:5	spend 16:17	strongest 19:2	47:21 48:10,12	take 13:7 35:3
sitting 76:8	Spiritual 82:15	strongly 60:16	74:5 78:9 79:19	69:16 77:1 81:20
situation 53:19	spring 4:19 22:16	Strothers 1:21	studies 75:12,15,16	taken 56:7
six 22:3 68:7	75:14	24:19 32:4,7,10	study 21:8 52:16	takes 13:17 68:1
sizing 48:13	Stacie 71:22 72:6	32:10,11 36:5,7	stuff 64:5,13	talk 39:4 48:13
skip 57:1	staff 25:1 28:2 31:9	36:12,14,17 37:3	subject 41:21 54:9	talking 27:3 31:8
Slaughter 1:20 3:5	33:19 36:5,22	37:6,20 38:1,5,10	61:2 72:11,15	77:14 85:12
3:7,10,12,14,16	38:20 49:15 59:19	38:13,18 39:13,18	73:4,6	talks 62:17
6:1 52:5 74:22	74:2 75:8 79:19	40:2,5,16,19 41:9	submission 70:15	tantrum 77:6
sleep 63:15	staffed 84:22	41:14 43:8 44:1,7	substance 80:12	task 77:22
slides 57:2	stake 77:15	44:10,17 76:8,10	Suddenly 77:6	tasks 62:14
<b>slightly</b> 4:19 16:3	stand 24:19 83:9	76:12,17 77:3,7	<b>suggest</b> 60:16 63:9	Tawanna 24:13
slowly 35:21	standard 59:9	78:1,16,22 81:10	63:22 64:21	Taylor 57:22
smaller 29:18	standards 59:5	81:18	suggested 76:11	teacher 12:19
<b>SMRUTI</b> 1:18	start 25:21 35:10	student 1:5 6:15	suggesting 41:4	teachers 71:2
snafu 77:22	47:4	7:10 8:10 9:6,7,20	suggestions 61:19	team 46:13 49:12
<b>soft</b> 73:19	started 18:1	12:4,6,7,15 14:3	summarize 56:2	52:3 60:18 69:19
software 65:9	starting 26:22 50:4	14:10 15:1 18:11	80:15	tear 45:20
68:19,21	state 46:15 47:5	19:7 20:2,19	summary 22:10	<b>Tech</b> 71:3
solicitation 46:12	52:12 74:20 79:15	21:11 23:12 25:2	54:1	technically 54:17
somebody 42:16	stated 42:14 46:17	25:21 28:7 32:12	summer 27:1	technology 1:15
somewhat 15:21	50:4 72:10 85:4	35:16 39:4,5,12	supplement 59:13	50:2 56:10,20
soon 31:12 43:15	statement 53:8	39:16,19 40:1,14	<b>supply</b> 47:21	59:3,8 60:15 61:9
	1	•	•	•

telephone 1:12	46:8 47:18 48:8	trained 25:18 56:16	71:2	unsubsidized 21:5
tell 9:15 26:11 32:2	56:1 58:19 59:19	60:7 84:9,11	<b>UDC's</b> 15:14	update 61:3
42:19 43:20	71:22	training 25:18,20	<b>UDS</b> 54:17,18	<b>updates</b> 8:2,10
telling 82:19	thinking 64:6	38:3	<b>unable</b> 15:19 59:2	61:17
template 55:15	<b>third</b> 10:19	transcript 75:11	unauthorized	updating 49:9
temporary 74:1	thirty 11:9	transition 12:1	58:10	65:20,22
ten 27:18,20 68:8	thought 80:1	Travis 25:3	unclear 46:19	upgraded 56:11
82:11	threatened 76:20	<b>trends</b> 18:16	uncommon 15:12	upgrades 68:19
tenant 45:1	77:12	tried 46:20	underemployed	upgrading 56:20
tender 78:13	threatening 77:4	trillion 12:6	15:21	usefulness 70:7
terms 7:1 8:19	three 9:8 12:1	true 18:13	undergo 78:18	
18:13 20:22 33:19	14:17 22:7 23:8	trust 82:18	understand 54:19	V
36:10 38:8 49:15	25:4,13 26:5	<b>Trustee</b> 5:19 29:20	72:19 81:13	<b>VA</b> 78:21 79:8
52:14 59:4 60:17	29:17 30:12,14	42:1 43:17 46:7,8	understanding	vacancies 25:12,13
61:19 69:11 73:20	36:13,17 37:15,18	48:21 54:3 66:3	81:1	26:5 29:21 30:1
testimony 2:18	39:16,22 40:2	67:8 72:2,5 73:12	understands 61:8	30:11,13 84:17
3:22 74:14,15	74:4,17 81:11	85:9	<b>unique</b> 70:16	vacancy 84:12,14
testing 77:19	<b>thrust</b> 76:15	trustees 1:3,10 35:6	<b>unit</b> 29:1	variables 23:16
thank 3:19 6:9,10	Thursday 1:7	46:21 47:11 58:20	units 27:20,21 28:1	24:1
6:11 11:19 24:7,8	till 14:19 26:11	71:12	28:14,17,19,21	various 12:17
24:9 29:8 37:22	time 3:3 5:5 9:12	<b>try</b> 17:10 19:11	29:2 30:21 31:1	13:20 50:9
45:22 49:21 50:1	11:3 14:14 16:17	<b>trying</b> 16:16 18:4	31:14 32:22 35:21	vendor 64:7
71:14,17,18,19,20	17:3 18:2 31:1,3	18:19 31:5 34:17	35:22	verbally 79:18
73:9 74:9,11	32:22 60:3,15	34:17	universe 64:5	versus 7:10
80:16 82:14 83:3	62:1 63:1,3 68:1	<b>Tuesday</b> 36:7,8	universities 8:13,15	veteran 75:5 78:12
83:16,18,22 84:1	68:11 72:18 73:18	38:20 43:8	9:22 51:16	80:22 82:16,22
85:6,8,13,15,15	74:8 77:19,20	<b>tuition</b> 16:11,12,21	university 1:1 10:2	83:1
85:17,18	78:9 81:1,4,13,21	18:7 21:8,17	10:20 14:10 24:6	<b>Veterans</b> 82:13
thanks 55:9	82:8	tuition-paying 18:5	24:15,16 27:4	vice 32:11 81:15
thereto 81:2	time-consuming	18:6	36:3 42:10 43:2	victim 12:8
they'd 42:17	78:7	turn 64:9,15	45:5,10 47:10	violated 43:20
thing 22:5 27:7	timely 31:15 35:15	turned 76:15	48:2 49:15 50:6	45:11
37:11 48:9	42:7 51:20	turning 62:5 70:10	53:12,16,18,20	violating 45:2
things 42:12,13	tin 81:5	tweak 40:6	54:15 55:1,3	<b>violation</b> 37:2
45:7,11,20 51:3	tip 24:1	Twelve 83:14,14	56:12,19 57:15	39:11 41:12 42:4
51:15,16,22 53:5	Title 74:7,8	two 6:16,16 12:2	58:18 59:16 60:7	42:15 45:7 55:5
53:14 55:1,13	today 28:10 50:16	14:16 22:3 23:7	62:11 63:18 65:17	violations 36:19
56:14 57:14 60:12	69:8	25:12 28:21 29:5	69:12 71:15 72:11	37:16,19,21 39:3
65:20 66:1 67:15	told 76:10,14	43:9,10 65:13	73:2,4 78:18 80:9	39:10,22 40:21,22
think 9:8,9 13:9,22	tonight 38:4,7 39:8	66:5 74:4,5 84:13	83:17	41:6 45:16 81:11
14:2,3 15:21 16:2	49:7 85:14	84:16	university's 24:2	violence 79:22 80:2
16:4 18:16 23:4	top 66:9 76:22	type 22:2 36:18	43:11 47:11 72:9	80:7 <b>vitamin</b> 82:15
23:22 24:2,4	topic 4:15 24:11	U	university-wide	
25:14 27:11,19	torch 24:20	U.S 7:17 8:5	57:5	<b>voice</b> 75:5 76:14,22 <b>voted</b> 48:8
30:20 35:15 41:5	total 27:15 28:9	UDC 12:8 52:18	unprofessional	voted 48:8 vulnerable 58:10
41:21 42:10 44:21	totals 20:15	56:3 58:2 65:21	77:11	vuillerable 38:10
7/16/17/16/17 16 17	<b>train</b> 61:5	20.2 20.2 03:21	<b>unquote</b> 77:5 79:20	
44:22 45:13,15,17			1 *	$\mathbf{W}$

	 	 	l	
wait 26:13 29:22	weeks 9:1 52:11	12:2,2 14:16,17	<b>2006</b> 75:11	6
waiting 26:7 30:5	welcome 38:1	15:2 20:18 21:4	<b>2007</b> 75:14 76:4	<b>6</b> 2:14 3:6 80:21
32:1,3,14,16	73:11 83:4	22:7 23:7,8,9	<b>2007-50</b> 53:20	<b>6:00</b> 1:9
34:19	well-functioning	31:10 41:19,21	72:12	<b>6:15</b> 3:2,4
want 6:2,19 33:15	56:4	63:21 64:1	<b>2008</b> 80:21	<b>6:28:58</b> 16:12
36:15,20 37:7,12	well-thought-out	year's 33:11	<b>2009</b> 53:6 81:5	<b>60</b> 11:8
41:17 47:18 53:3	53:10	year-long 60:22	<b>2010</b> 14:18,22 23:9	<b>634</b> 16:12
53:22 54:4 55:10	went 66:19 73:17	<b>years</b> 9:8 66:18	23:12 53:6 81:6	
72:3 76:3 79:3	weren't 7:7 11:12	79:11 83:14,14	<b>2011</b> 14:19 16:11	7
85:8,13,14	<b>Wet</b> 66:15	yelling 76:22	<b>2012</b> 23:14	<b>7</b> 2:16 3:7 14:16
wanted 9:9 38:20	wheelchair 76:1	7	<b>2013</b> 5:17 20:2	<b>7.2</b> 23:7
44:14 47:2,15	77:15	Z	<b>2014</b> 1:8	<b>7:37</b> 85:20
48:11 52:15 55:17	<b>wider</b> 68:16	0	<b>2015</b> 27:6	<b>70</b> 28:7
60:18 72:1,7 77:1	wish 24:17		<b>21</b> 3:21	<b>75</b> 2:18
<b>War</b> 82:13	withdraw 12:13	1	<b>22</b> 3:22	<b>750,000</b> 64:7
warehouse 58:1	witness 77:10	12:3 3:1 57:21	<b>24</b> 2:14	
warehouses 50:8	women 25:13 30:13	<b>1,000</b> 57:21	<b>25</b> 35:2 79:11	8
58:2	30:14	<b>10</b> 3:10 17:6,19,20	<b>28</b> 32:13	<b>8</b> 2:18,20 3:8 20:19
Washington 71:3	wonderful 25:1,5	19:19 28:19 31:1	<b>29</b> 81:6	20:20
79:8	word 16:4 68:18	<b>100</b> 30:7	<b>293</b> 6:17	<b>8.6</b> 20:5
way 19:2 24:2 40:7	72:21,22	<b>1052</b> 57:21		<b>8:00</b> 38:5,6
50:4,15 55:7	work 21:8 26:5	1032 57:21 10th 76:4	3	83 2:20
56:18 61:18 63:10	31:14,20 35:19	<b>11</b> 3:11 17:20	<b>3</b> 2:4,8 3:3 4:9 21:5	<b>85</b> 2:22
67:12 74:11	61:2,10 71:15	<b>12</b> 3:12 14:22 16:12	<b>3.9</b> 21:2	<b>853</b> 58:17
<b>Wayne</b> 73:13	74:10	23:12 28:8	<b>3:00</b> 25:6	9
ways 12:17	working 7:17 8:20	<b>12-13</b> 15:1 16:13	<b>31</b> 27:20,20,20 28:1	
<b>we'll</b> 4:12,20 7:21	13:11 34:11 37:10	23:13	28:16,17	93:9
16:2 28:5 30:6	46:13 49:1,2,9,12	12th 81:4	<b>31st</b> 33:18	<b>90s</b> 69:2
46:1 48:19 55:14	50:16	<b>13</b> 3:13 23:5	<b>36</b> 59:20	
70:3	works 19:10	<b>13-14</b> 16:13	4	
<b>we're</b> 6:16 7:12,16	<b>world</b> 59:7	<b>14</b> 3:14 20:3	<b>4</b> 2:10,10 3:4 4:12	
9:10 11:2 12:21	worried 70:22	<b>14.2</b> 14:17 23:10	1	
14:6 23:14 24:4	worry 67:14 70:20	<b>144</b> 28:10	<b>4,400</b> 6:15	
26:22 30:9 34:1,3	<b>worth</b> 63:2	<b>15</b> 3:15 30:21	<b>4.0</b> 75:12,15 82:21	
39:7 40:8 41:17	<b>wouldn't</b> 42:19	<b>150</b> 8:8	<b>4.5</b> 21:5	
44:3,5 45:18 48:9	66:11	15th 7:19	41 57:22	
50:4,13,16,19	write 76:18 81:3	<b>16</b> 1:8 3:16	44 27:11	
51:19,21,22 55:18	<b>writer</b> 79:9,14	<b>16th</b> 3:4	<b>4400</b> 27:12	
64:4 68:9 70:10	written 33:12 74:15	<b>17</b> 3:17	<b>483</b> 16:13	
70:11 72:15 73:22	75:9,18 79:6	<b>18</b> 3:18	<b>4900</b> 6:14	
77:14 82:17,18	<b>wrong</b> 79:2	<b>19</b> 3:19 23:14	5	
<b>we've</b> 5:18 7:6 19:6	<b>wrote</b> 84:13	1900s 50:15	<b>5</b> 2:12,12 3:5	
44:22 45:19 49:11			<b>5,000</b> 10:4	
51:11,13 61:21	X	2	<b>50</b> 2:16	
69:21 74:1	Y	<b>2</b> 2:6 3:2	<b>501</b> 16:14	
<b>Web</b> 7:12 84:5		<b>2.6</b> 64:4,15 67:4	<b>501</b> 10.14 <b>5015</b> 59:11	
week 25:22 52:2	yeah 20:14 21:4,10	<b>20</b> 3:20 8:15 15:2	<b>58</b> 28:7	
	year 10:4,10 11:21		30 20.7	
L				

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Student Affairs Committee

Before: UDC

Date: 01-16-14

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus &